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Abstract

Background and objectives Climate change is the biggest global health threat of the twenty-first century. Health care itself is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, and dialysis programs contribute disproportionately. Nephrology societies have called for increased recognition and action to minimize the environmental effect of dialysis care, but little data exist regarding environmental sustainability practices within dialysis facilities worldwide. This survey reports a baseline of environmental sustainability practices of dialysis facilities in Australia and New Zealand.

Design, setting, participants, & measurements An online survey was used to collect data regarding key areas of environmental sustainability practices within dialysis facilities between November 2019 and December 2020. An invitation to complete the survey was sent to the heads of all dialysis facilities in Australia and New Zealand.

Results Responses were received from 132 dialysis facilities, representing 33% (122 of 365) of dialysis services in Australia and New Zealand. Most responses were from public satellite facilities (53 of 132; 40%), in-center dialysis facilities (33 of 132; 25%), and co-located dialysis and home therapies facilities (28 of 132; 21%). Opportunities for improvement in environmental sustainability practices were identified in three domains. (1) Culture. A minority of facilities reported having an environmental sustainability strategy in place (44 of 132; 33%) or undertaking sustainability audits (27 of 132; 20%). Only 7% (nine of 132) reported the inclusion of environmental training in staff induction programs. (2) Building design, infrastructure, and energy use. Few facilities reported the use of renewable energy (18 of 132; 14%), reclaiming reverse osmosis reject water (16 of 126; 13%), or the use of motion-sensor light switches (58 of 131; 44%). (3) Operations. A minority of facilities reported waste management education (47 of 131; 36%), auditing waste generation (23 of 132; 17%), or that environmental sustainability was considered in procurement decisions (33 of 132; 25%).

Conclusions Environmental sustainability is not currently prioritized in clinical practice, building design and infrastructure, or management systems in Australian and New Zealand dialysis facilities responding to this survey.
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Introduction

Climate change is considered the biggest global health threat of the twenty-first century (1). Although it has been suggested that health care professionals must lead in responding to the health threat of climate change (2), health care itself is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions (3,4), and within health care, dialysis programs have a disproportionately large carbon footprint, waste generation, and resource consumption profile (5). Despite this, little data currently exist regarding environmental sustainability practices within dialysis facilities worldwide.

In Australasia, climate trends and extreme weather events have already combined to cause irreversible change to natural systems, increasing health effects and socioeconomic costs (6). Yet, in 2018, Australia and New Zealand were among the highest global producers of per capita greenhouse gas emissions (7), with health care responsible for 7% of total emissions in Australia (3) and up to 8% in Aotearoa New Zealand (8). Growing recognition of the environmental effect of health care has led to ten medical colleges within Australia declaring climate change a health emergency and launching the Healthy Climate Future campaign (9). The Australian Medical Association and the Doctors for the Environment Australia have also called on the Australian health care sector to reduce carbon emissions by 80% by 2030 and achieve net zero by 2040 (10). Achieving these ambitious targets, which mirror those set by the National Health Service in England (11), will take concerted effort from each specialty, in addition to support from government and health policy.

In 2011, the per patient annual carbon footprint of conventional satellite hemodialysis (HD) in Australia...
was approximately 10.2 t CO2-eq, which represented more than half an average Australian household’s annual emissions at that time (12). Although fewer data exist regarding peritoneal dialysis, its environmental effect is also likely to be substantial (13). Currently, 17,558 patients are treated with dialysis across Australia and New Zealand, representing between 567 and 590 per million population (14). Although this presents a huge opportunity for greenhouse gas emissions reduction, progress toward environmentally sustainable kidney care in Australia and New Zealand remains in the early stages, with available data regarding baseline environmental attitudes, knowledge, and practice patterns currently limited to a single state (Victoria) (15).

This survey of environmental sustainability practices within dialysis facilities in Australia and New Zealand seeks to expand existing data and provide a baseline against which improvements can be made.

Materials and Methods

Study Design, Recruitment, and Data Collection

Between November 2019 and December 2020, an online survey was used to collect data regarding key areas of environmental sustainability practices within dialysis care, including environmental strategy, building infrastructure and energy use (lighting, heating, and electrical equipment), water, waste management, transport, procurement practices, paper use, and climate change preparedness (Supplemental Material). An invitation to complete the survey was sent to the heads of all dialysis facilities in Australia and New Zealand, with the option of delegating the task to an appropriate person within their facility. A single follow-up telephone call was made to non-responding dialysis facilities to ensure the link had been received and encourage participation. The individual completing the survey remained anonymous. Data were recorded electronically using REDCap 8.3.2 (2019 Vanderbilt University). The study received ethical approval from the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee (HC190771).

Data Linkage

Following closure of the survey, data linkage was used to associate dialysis facility–specific metadata held by the Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplant Registry (ANZDATA) to survey responses. ANZDATA is a clinical quality registry that collects data on the treatment and outcomes of patients with kidney failure in Australia and New Zealand. Dialysis services recorded within ANZDATA may incorporate multiple facilities; for example, a home therapies dialysis facility and a co-located in-center or satellite dialysis facility may be recorded under a single dialysis service code. No patient-level data linkage was performed for this study.

To aid interpretation of data, both the number of responses to each question and the proportion of responses out of the total number of respondents are presented because not all respondents answered every question.

Results

Responses to the survey were received from 132 dialysis facilities, representing 122 different dialysis services and 33% (122 of 365) of dialysis services listed within the ANZDATA registry (Table 1). Responders were overwhelmingly nurse unit managers or dialysis nurses (118 of 132; 89%), with nephrologists (seven of 132; 5%) and service managers (five of 132; 4%) completing the majority of remaining surveys. Most responses were from dialysis facilities in Victoria (52 of 132; 39%) and New South Wales (35 of 132; 27%), although when the number of responding services is viewed as a proportion of the total number of services within each state, Tasmania ranked the highest, with responses received from 100% (four of four) of dialysis services (Table 1). Most responses were from public satellite facilities (53 of 132; 40%), followed by private/public satellites (26 of 132; 20%) and public in-center facilities (38 of 132; 29%).

Table 1. Dialysis facilities that responded to the survey of environmental sustainability practices in Australia and New Zealand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>No. of Dialysis Facilities Responding, n</th>
<th>No. of Dialysis Facilities Responding as a Proportion of the Total No. of Dialysis Facilities Included in Analyses, %</th>
<th>No. of Dialysis Services Represented by Responding Facilities</th>
<th>No. of Dialysis Services in State</th>
<th>Proportion of Dialysis Services within the Region that Responded, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australian Capital</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Territory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Territory</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of dialysis facilities responding (n) is shown as a proportion (percentage) of the total number of responding facilities included in the analysis. Dialysis services recorded within the Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplant Registry may incorporate multiple facilities; therefore, the number of dialysis services represented by responding dialysis facilities is also presented as the number of responding services in each state and the proportion (percentage) of the total number of services within each state. No., number.
by in-center dialysis facilities (33 of 132; 25%) and co-located dialysis and home therapies facilities (28 of 132; 21%) (Figure 1).

Environmental Strategy (Policies, Plans, and Initiatives)
A minority of facilities reported having an environmental sustainability strategy in place (44 of 132; 33%), whereas even fewer reported ever having undertaken a sustainability audit (27 of 132; 20%). Thirty-nine percent (51 of 131) of facilities reported a formal green group within their organization, and 26 of 131 (20%) had a formal green group within their department. Despite this, 70% (92 of 132) of facilities reported efforts to raise awareness of environmental sustainability, which was mostly through either informal discussion (87 of 92; 95%) or having systems in place to allow staff to provide suggestions around carbon reduction and recycling (36 of 92; 39%). Less than half of responding units (63 of 132; 48%) had previously attempted to introduce a “green” project, but of those that had, 81% (51 of 63) felt that it had been successful. Only 7% (nine of 132) of dialysis facilities reported the inclusion of environmental training in staff induction programs (Figure 2).

Building Infrastructure and Energy Use

Lighting. Although the majority of responding facilities reported that lights were switched off when not required most or all of the time (114 of 127; 90%), less than half had motion-sensor light switches (58 of 131; 44%). Fluorescent tube lighting was the most common lighting type within responding facilities (62 of 130; 48%), with a lesser number reporting the use of light-emitting diode lighting (35 of 130; 27%).

Heating. Most facilities reported that appropriate temperatures were generally maintained (103 of 132; 78%), although a lesser number had accessible thermostats or heating controls (72 of 132; 55%). Sixty-one percent of facilities reported shading over north-facing windows (79 of 130).

Electrical Equipment. Almost all facilities reported configuring computers/monitors (125 of 132; 95%) and photocopiers (118 of 132; 89%) to automatically enter sleep or
hibernation mode when not in use. Fewer reported actively encouraging staff to shut computers down (85 of 132; 64%), and less than half indicated that energy-efficient appliances were preferentially purchased (61 of 131; 47%).

**Energy Supply.** Nearly half of respondents were unaware of whether renewable energy was used within their dialysis facility (56 of 132; 42%). A minority of facilities reported use of renewable energy (18 of 132; 14%), with solar energy predominating (13 of 18; 72%).

**Water**
The majority of responding facilities reported dual flush toilets (106 of 132; 80%); however, water-saving taps were present in less than half of the facilities (58 of 128; 45%). Of the 126 facilities providing HD treatments, 13% (16 of 126) reported reclaiming reverse osmosis reject water, which was mostly redirected for use in toilets or gardens. Roof rainwater salvage occurred in 17% (22 of 131) of units.

**Waste Management**
More than half of facilities reported recycling bins in clinical areas for hard plastics (89 of 132; 67%), but fewer than half had recycling bins for soft plastics (41 of 131; 31%) or polyvinyl chloride (50 of 132; 38%). Sixty-seven percent of facilities reported collection of pallets by dialysis product suppliers on delivery (89 of 132), but in the facilities where this did not occur, only 41% (seven of 17) recycled them themselves (Figure 3).

Efforts to recycle were described as good or moderate in half of dialysis facilities (65 of 129; 50%). Formal staff education regarding optimal waste management was reported by only 36% (47 of 131), and auditing of the amount of waste generated was reported by less than one fifth of the facilities (23 of 132; 17%) (Figure 3).

**Transport**
Fifty-eight percent (77 of 132) of facilities reported secure parking for bicycles, with similar availability of showers/changing facilities (75 of 132; 57%) and public transport access (76 of 132; 58%). Carpool/share programs for staff were available at 17% (22 of 132) of facilities. Transport services for patients on dialysis were available at 37% (49 of 132) of facilities, and information about public transport was provided to patients in 44% (58 of 132). Walking and cycling were encouraged where appropriate to patients in only 14% (19 of 132) of facilities, and low-emission vehicles were used for patient transport in 2% (two of 132). The use of phone or videoconference was reported in more than half of facilities for consultations with patients (80 of 132; 61%) and for regular staff meetings that would usually require staff to travel (77 of 130; 59%) (Figure 4).

**Procurement Practices**
A minority of facilities reported that sustainability was considered when choosing products or suppliers (33 of 132; 25%). Products were mostly delivered weekly (84 of 132; 64%), with delivery frequency determined by storage space in the majority of facilities (96 of 132; 73%).

**Paper**
Electronic health records were in use in 67% (88 of 132) of facilities. Printing was actively discouraged in 77% (102 of 132), and double-sided printing was reported as routine in 84% (111 of 132). The use of recycled paper for copying was reported by 61% (80 of 131). Automatic hand dryers were...
infrequently used in place of paper towels, with availability reported in clinical areas by only 2% (three of 131) and public areas by 13% (17 of 131).

Climate Preparedness
Most facilities reported educating patients regarding preparedness for extreme weather events (112 of 132; 85%), while 82% (106 of 130) reported having a defined emergency strategy in place for these.

Home Therapies
Thirty-five home training facilities responded to the survey, representing 35 of 102 (34%) home training services in Australia and New Zealand. These were either co-located dialysis and home therapy facilities (28 of 35; 80%) or stand-alone home therapies facilities (seven of 35; 20%).

Only 40% (14 of 35) of home therapy facilities reported access of patients to either cardboard or polyvinyl chloride recycling. Of the 29 home HD facilities, around half reported having patients who reclaimed reverse osmosis reject water at home (15 of 29; 52%), although in most cases (13 of 15; 87%), only a minority (1%–25%) of patients at the facility were involved. Formal assistance to help establish water capture or reuse systems was provided in only 24% (seven of 29) of facilities. Forty-one percent (12 of 29) of home HD facilities reported patients using renewable energy, although formal assistance to establish such sources of power was offered by only one facility (one of 29; 3%).

Discussion
This study provides a baseline for environmental sustainability practices within dialysis facilities in Australia and New Zealand. It highlights major deficiencies and thereby opportunities in three major domains.

Environmental sustainability practices, education, and improvements are currently not prioritized. Most facilities reported only informal efforts to raise awareness of environmental sustainability, and strategies or policies to drive this were not often in place. The survey indicated that audits were infrequent, that few facilities included formal training in staff induction programs, that green groups were not prominent in either dialysis facilities or umbrella organizations, and that green projects had been instigated in less than half of facilities.

Environmental sustainability has not been prioritized in dialysis facility development to date. Although responding facilities reported attempts to minimize energy use where possible (e.g., switching off lights or configuring electronic devices to enter hibernation modes), these attempts were not universal. Environmentally sustainable building design features were reported in the minority of facilities.

Environmental sustainability has not been prioritized in dialysis facility development to date. Although responding facilities reported attempts to minimize energy use where possible (e.g., switching off lights or configuring electronic devices to enter hibernation modes), these attempts were not universal. Environmentally sustainable building design features were reported in the minority of facilities.

Responses suggest that waste management systems are mostly inadequate, with recycling and collection of dialysis pallets not available in all facilities and audits of waste generation only infrequently performed. Use of paper was not optimized in all facilities, and sustainability was not often considered in procurement decisions.

These results suggest that although environmental awareness may have increased in the nephrology sector overall (16,17), little progress has been made in terms of unified
environmental sustainability strategies and practices in Australia and New Zealand since the survey of Victorian facilities was conducted in 2017 (15). It should also be noted that the facilities responding to this survey are likely to represent the most environmentally engaged facilities in Australia and New Zealand, suggesting that overall environmental performance is likely to be even poorer than the results of this survey suggest. The fact that only 5% of responders were nephrologists is important, possibly reflecting a lack of perceived responsibility with regard to environmental sustainability among this group. Targeted engagement may therefore be important in generating the change that is needed.

In recognition of this lack of progress and the need for meaningful change in environmental sustainability practices in kidney care in Australia and New Zealand, the Australia New Zealand Society of Nephrology, through its Environmental Sustainability Committee, has proposed two major initiatives:

1. A comprehensive set of environmentally sustainable design guidelines, which are to be appended to the Australasian Health Infrastructure Alliance guidelines for dialysis facilities, to help integrate best-practice sustainability considerations into dialysis facility design, construction, and operation; and

2. A Green Stars program to provide a framework through which kidney care services can be supported in implementing green initiatives and demonstrate their achievements against a set of national criteria.

It is important to acknowledge that infrastructure implementation, such as installing water-saving taps and energy-efficient lighting and retrofitting reverse osmosis reject water salvage, may involve up-front costs and that opportunities to introduce such changes may be more restricted where dialysis facilities are placed within larger hospitals or leased buildings. However, these measures are typically cost saving in the medium term and should therefore be encouraged through formal support from hospitals, health services, and governments. A number of simple measures that were absent in a proportion of dialysis facilities surveyed, such as ensuring that all lights and electrical equipment are switched off when not required, universal use of recycled paper and double-sided printing, and appropriate shading for sun-facing windows, should be a requirement in all dialysis facilities (and health care facilities more broadly) and could be maintained through staff education at little or no additional cost.

One area where this survey has demonstrated a change from the earlier surveys in Victoria (15) and the United Kingdom (18) is in the increased frequency of videoconferencing for consultations with patients and to replace in-person staff meetings. Where appropriate, the ongoing use of telemedicine may be beneficial in reducing the carbon footprint of travel related to dialysis care and improving convenience; however, digital platforms also contribute a carbon footprint, and a formal analysis of telehealth is necessary to adequately inform improvement initiatives.

Nephrology societies around the world advocate for expanding home therapies treatment programs, which can increase patient autonomy, improve quality of life, and reduce financial costs (19). Home HD is, however, associated with a higher carbon footprint (20) and water consumption profile (21) than in-center HD. Accordingly, priority should be given to providing formal assistance (practical and financial) to patients on home HD to enable them to utilize renewable energy and recapture reverse osmosis reject water.

The support of industry is critical in reducing the environmental effect of dialysis care. As a nephrology community, we must move toward incorporating sustainability criteria into procurement contracts, transferring the responsibility for waste and environmental innovation to dialysis companies from financially stretched health services. Contracts should necessitate recycling of waste by dialysis providers and incentivize improvements and development among competitors at all stages of the product life cycle. This shift will be particularly important in supporting environmental sustainability practices in lower- and middle-income countries, where dialysis provision is forecast to grow the most (22). Disruptive innovations, such as the Ellen Medical Devices Point-of-Care Affordable Peritoneal Dialysis System (23) and sorbent technologies for dialysis regeneration (24), may also offer environmental savings in the future, and further research should be prioritized. Dialyzer reuse may offer another opportunity, with the potential to lead to large reductions in raw material use and medical waste generation (25). However, there would simultaneously be increased liquid waste from the germicides used for dialyzer disinfection, notwithstanding that questions remain about safety. Both life cycle environmental research and high-quality studies of the safety would be required before this could be recommended.

This study has several limitations. Compromises were necessary in the design of the survey to ensure that it remained user-friendly and minimally burdensome. High-quality data addressing key areas of environmental sustainability practices were sought, but it was not feasible to incorporate every aspect of dialysis care. Despite the use of different recruitment strategies, 33% of dialysis services in Australia and New Zealand responded. Moreover, responders were volunteers and therefore may represent dialysis facilities with an interest in environmental sustainability. This could have biased responses, and it is possible that our results are not representative. The nature of this survey limited quantitative data collection, and some of the data collected were subjective. Hopefully, however, as environmental auditing increases in dialysis facilities in the future, our ability to collect objective data will also increase.

This study provides a baseline for environmental sustainability practices in dialysis facilities in Australia and New Zealand and proves the feasibility for broad surveying of environmental attitudes, knowledge, and practice patterns. In turn, this allows for better understanding of differences and opportunities for change across world regions.
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An Environmental Survey of Dialysis Practice in Australia and New Zealand

Thank you for completing this survey, which aims to better understand current practice surrounding environmental practice within dialysis units in Australia and New Zealand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is your job title?</th>
<th>Nurse Unit Manager</th>
<th>Nephrologist</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If Other, please specify __________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which Country are you in?</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

What health service do you belong to? __________________________
What is the name of your dialysis service? (Please select one)

- Albany Hospital
- Alfred Hospital
- Alice Springs Hospital
- Angliss Hospital
- Ararat Hospital
- Armadale-Kelmscott Memorial Hospital
- Armidale Hospital
- Atherton Hospital
- Auburn Hospital
- Austin Home Therapies Centre
- Austin Hospital
- Barnsdale Hospital
- Ballarat Hospital
- Ballina Hospital
- Bankstown Lidcombe Hospital
- Bathurst Base Hospital
- Bega Dialysis Unit
- Belconnen Dialysis Clinic
- Bendigo Hospital
- Berri Satellite
- Blacktown Hospital
- Blacktown Regional Dialysis
- Box Hill Hospital
- Brewarrina Hospital
- Broadmeadows Satellite
- Broken Hill Hospital
- Brookwater Dialysis Centre
- Broome Renal Health Centre
- Bunbury Hospital
- Bundaberg Hospital
- Busselton Hospital
- Cairns Home Haemodialysis Training
- Cairns Hospital
- Cairns Private Hospital
- Caloundra Public Hospital
- Campbelltown Dialysis Centre
- Canberra Community Satellite Centre
- Canberra Hospital
- Cannington Dialysis Clinic
- Carnarvon Hospital
- Casey Hospital Berwick
- Casterton Hospital
- Caulfield Satellite Centre
- Ceduna Hospital
- Cherristie Dialysis Centre
- Clare Satellite
- Coburg Satellite
- Coffs Harbour Hospital
- Cohuna District Hospital
- Colac Hospital
- Concord Hospital
- Concord Repatriation Satellite Unit
- Cooktown Satellite
- Coolbellup Home Therapy Centre
- Cooma Hospital
- Corryong Satellite
- Cowra Dialysis Unit
- Craigieburn Dialysis Unit
- Cranbourne Satellite
- Dalby Hospital
- Dandenong Hospital
- Daylesford Hospital
- Deniliquin Hospital
- Derby Hospital
- Diamond Valley Dialysis Centre
- Donald Hospital
- Dubbo Base Hospital
- East Street Self Care - Rockhampton
- Eastern Health Incentive Dialysis
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Western Health</th>
<th>Western Hospital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whyalla Satellite Unit</td>
<td>Williamstown Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wodonga Hospital</td>
<td>Wollongong Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's and Children's</td>
<td>Wonthaggi Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woorabinda Hospital</td>
<td>Yarrabah Satellite Dialysis Centre - Queensland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarram Hospital</td>
<td>Yarrawonga Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorke Dialysis Clinic</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is the name of your dialysis service? (Please select one)**

- Auckland Home Dialysis Unit
- Auckland Hospital
- Bay of Islands
- Carrington Hospital
- Christchurch Hospital
- Dunedin Hospital
- Gisborne Hospital
- Grafton Dialysis Training Unit
- Greenlane Hospital
- Hastings Hospital
- Hawkes Bay Hospital
- Horowhenua Dialysis Unit - Levin
- Kaitaia Hospital
- Manukau Satellite
- Middlemore Hospital
- Nelson Hospital
- Northshore Hospital
- Palmerston North Hospital
- Porirua Community Dialysis
- Rotorua Hospital
- Starship Children's Hospital
- Taranaki Hospital
- Tauranga Hospital
- Waikato Hospital
- Waitakere Satellite
- Waitemata Community Dialysis Centre
- Wellington Hospital
- Whakatane Hospital
- Whangarei Hospital
- Other

If Other, Please Specify

________________________

If Other, Please Specify

________________________

**What state/territory is your dialysis unit in?**

- New South Wales (NSW)
- Western Australia (WA)
- South Australia (SA)
- Queensland (QLD)
- Victoria (Vic)
- Tasmania (Tas)
- Northern Territory (NT)
- Australian Capital Territory (ACT)

**What is the postcode of your dialysis unit?**

________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is your dialysis unit location</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>○ Major City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Inner Regional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Outer Regional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Remote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Very Remote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Type of service provided? | ☐ In-Centre Dialysis Unit  
|                          | ☐ Public Satellite Dialysis Unit  
|                          | ☐ Private Satellite Dialysis Unit  
|                          | ☐ Home Dialysis Training Facility (if stand alone from main unit)  
|                          | ☐ Co-Located In-Centre and Home Units  
|                          | ☐ Co-Located In-Centre and Satellite Units  
|                          | ☐ Other  

If Other, please specify:  

________________________  

| What haemodialysis machines do you use? (please select all that apply) | ☐ Baxter (Gambro) Artis  
|                                                                          | ☐ Fresenius 4008  
|                                                                          | ☐ Fresenius 5008  
|                                                                          | ☐ Other  
|                                                                          | ☐ Not Applicable  

If Other, please specify:  

________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are you a home therapies service responsible for managing patients receiving dialysis at home (either PD or HD)?</td>
<td>Yes - both PD and Home HD&lt;br&gt;Yes - only PD&lt;br&gt;Yes - only Home HD&lt;br&gt;No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What type of machines are used by your home haemodialysis patients? (please select all that apply)</td>
<td>Baxter (Gambro) Artis&lt;br&gt;Fresenius 4008&lt;br&gt;Fresenius 5008&lt;br&gt;Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Other, please specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you offer cardboard box recycling to patients dialysing at home?</td>
<td>Yes&lt;br&gt;No&lt;br&gt;Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, what proportion of patients utilise this service?</td>
<td>None&lt;br&gt;1-25%&lt;br&gt;26-50%&lt;br&gt;51-75%&lt;br&gt;76-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding cardboard box recycling for patients dialysing at home:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do any of your home dialysis patients have access to PVC recycling?</td>
<td>Yes&lt;br&gt;No&lt;br&gt;Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, what proportion of patients utilise this service?</td>
<td>None&lt;br&gt;1-25%&lt;br&gt;26-50%&lt;br&gt;51-75%&lt;br&gt;76-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding home dialysis patients' access to PVC recycling:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do any of your home haemodialysis patients reclaim reverse osmosis reject water for use in other areas of their homes (e.g. garden, laundry?)</td>
<td>Yes&lt;br&gt;No&lt;br&gt;Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, what proportion of home haemodialysis patients?</td>
<td>None&lt;br&gt;1-25%&lt;br&gt;26-50%&lt;br&gt;51-75%&lt;br&gt;76-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding reclaiming reverse osmosis reject water for home haemodialysis patients:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you offer any formal assistance or support to home haemodialysis patients to help them establish a water capture and reuse system?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding assistance or support for home haemodialysis patients to help them establish a water capture and reuse system:

---

Do any of your home dialysis patients use renewable power sources (e.g. solar power)?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

If yes, what proportion of home dialysis patients?

- None
- 1-25%
- 26-50%
- 51-75%
- 76-100%

Which renewable power sources are used? (please select all that apply)

- Solar
- Other

If Other, please specify:

---

Comments regarding renewable power sources for home dialysis patients:

---

Do you offer any formal support or assistance to home dialysis patients to help them set up renewable power systems?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding support or assistance to home dialysis patients to help them set up renewable power systems:

---

Have any of your patients that dialyse at home asked you about environmental sustainability regarding their dialysis?

- Yes, most of our patients are keen to be environmentally sustainable
- Some of our patients are interested in being environmentally sustainable
- Only a few of our patients are interested in being environmentally sustainable
- None of our patients are interested in being environmentally sustainable
- Don't know

Comments regarding home dialysis patients' interest in environmental sustainability:

---

Do you have any further comments regarding home practice or sustainability initiatives for home dialysis patients?

---

Confidential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Does your unit have an environmental sustainability strategy/policy or action plan? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Don't know                                                                   |
| Comments regarding environmental sustainability strategy/policy or action plan: |                                                                                   |
| Is there a formal 'Green' group that is responsible for environmental initiatives within your hospital or unit? | ☐ Yes, only within our unit  
☐ Yes, only within our organisation/hospital  
☐ Yes, both our unit and our organisation/hospital have 'green' groups  
☐ No, neither our renal unit or our organisation/hospital have any groups that are responsible for environmental initiatives |
| Comments regarding a 'Green group' within your hospital or unit: |                                                                                   |
| Has your unit undertaken a sustainability audit (typically incorporating an assessment of energy, water re-use, transport & waste) or similar analysis? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ In progress  
☐ Don't know                                                                |
| Have you ever introduced a 'Green' project in your unit? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Don't know                                                                |
| If yes, was it successful? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Don't know                                                                |
| Please provide further information on why it was or was not successful. |                                                                                   |
| Are you currently running any 'Green' projects in your unit? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Don't know                                                                |
| Comments regarding 'Green' projects or audits within your unit: |                                                                                   |
| Do you use re-usable cups / crockery for patients? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Don't know                                                                |
<p>| Comments regarding re-usable cups / crockery for patients: |                                                                                   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are efforts made in your unit to try to raise awareness about the issue of environmental sustainability in healthcare?</td>
<td>Yes, No, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, how is this done? (please select all that apply)</td>
<td>Informal discussion among staff, Formal teaching e.g. via in-services, Proactive quality assurance projects, Inclusion of environmental training in staff induction programs, Systems in place to allow staff to provide suggestions around carbon reduction and recycling, Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Other, please specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding sustainability in health awareness:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any further comments regarding climate change in relation to your renal unit?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do clinical areas have recycling bins for hard plastics? (*) For reference, hard plastics are rigid, e.g. concentrate bottles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do clinical areas have recycling bins for soft plastics other than PVC? (*) For reference, soft plastics can be crumpled in your hand, (e.g. a plastic wrapping from fistula or dressing pack)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do clinical areas have recycling bins for polyvinyl chloride (PVC)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding recycling of PVC/plastics:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the suppliers of haemodialysis products take back pallets on delivery?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If suppliers don't take back pallets after delivery, does your unit recycle them?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding recycling of haemodialysis pallets:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does your health service support waste recycling practices?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How does your health service support waste recycling? (please select all that apply)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Other, please specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| How would you best describe efforts made to recycle by your health service? | ○ Good - materials that can be recycled are usually recycled and/or materials that should not be recycled are very rarely placed in recycling bins  
○ Moderate - materials that can be recycled are sometimes recycled and/or materials that should not be recycled are sometimes placed in recycling bins  
○ Could be better - materials that can be recycled are typically not recycled and/or materials that should not be recycled are frequently placed in recycling bins  
○ My healthcare organisation does not support waste recycling practices  
○ Not sure                                                                 |

Comments regarding the efforts made to recycle by your health service:

________________________________________________________

Do staff receive any formal education about optimal waste management?  
○ Yes  
○ No  
○ Don't know

Comments regarding waste management education:

________________________________________________________

Does your unit formally audit the amount and type of waste generated?  
○ Yes  
○ No  
○ Don't know

Comments regarding waste audit within your unit:

________________________________________________________

Do you have any further comments regarding waste management in your renal unit?  

________________________________________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is reverse osmosis reject water reclaimed in your unit and used in other areas in your facility?</td>
<td>Yes, No, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, where is the reclaimed water directed? (please select all that apply)</td>
<td>Toilets, Laundry, Gardens, CSSD (Central Sterile Services Department, Other)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Other, please specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding reclaiming reverse osmosis water:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is any rain water salvaged from the roof of the unit or facility?</td>
<td>Yes, No, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding rain water salvage:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are toilets in your unit dual flush?</td>
<td>Yes, No, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are water-saving taps installed in the sinks in the unit (clinical areas, bathrooms etc.)?</td>
<td>Yes, in all sinks, Yes, in most sinks, Yes, in a few sinks, No, not at all, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding water saving:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any further comments regarding water use in your renal unit?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Does your unit/organisation have Electronic Health Records in place of paper files?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding Electronic Health Records:


Does the copy paper used by your unit contain recycled content?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Are printers set to double sided?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Is printing actively discouraged where appropriate?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding printing in your unit:


Do bathroom facilities use automatic hand dryers or paper towels in CLINICAL areas?

- Automatic hand dryers
- Paper towels
- Other

If Other, please specify


Do bathroom facilities use automatic hand dryers or paper towels in PUBLIC areas?

- Automatic hand dryers
- Paper towels
- Other

If Other, please specify


Do you have any further comments regarding paper use in your renal unit?


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What is the usual frequency of delivery of products and supplies (please select one of the following)?</td>
<td>Daily, Weekly, Fortnightly, Monthly, Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What determines the frequency with which products and supplies are delivered to your unit?</td>
<td>Storage space, Determined by supplier, Health service policy, No obvious rationale, Other, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Other, please specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is environmental sustainability considered when choosing the products and supplies you use in your facility?</td>
<td>Yes, No, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, In what way does environmental sustainability impact the decisions?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any further comments regarding procurement in your renal unit?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are computers and monitors configured to enter a hibernation mode, sleep mode or be automatically shut down when they are not in use?  
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Are staff actively encouraged to shut computers down overnight?  
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding computer use:
_________________________________________________

Do photocopiersons automatically switch into stand-by mode when not in use?  
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding photocopiersons:
_________________________________________________

Are energy efficient appliances preferentially purchased by your unit?  
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding appliances:
_________________________________________________

Do you have any further comments regarding the equipment in your renal unit?  
_________________________________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are any of the following travel support/ options/ programs provided to STAFF? (please select all that apply)</td>
<td>Secure parking for bicycles, Showers and changing facilities, Buildings accessible by public transport, Car pool/ car share programs, Low emission vehicles for unit based activities/visits (e.g. hospital car fleet), None of these are provided, Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Other, please specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are any of the following sustainable travel support/ options/ programs provided to PATIENTS? (please select all that apply)</td>
<td>Dialysis Patient Transport Services (minibus, car), Information about public transport, Walking &amp; cycling encouraged where appropriate, Low emission vehicles used for patient transport, None of these are provided, Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Other, please specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are any of your patients contacted by phone or video-conference call rather than attending clinic?</td>
<td>Yes, No, Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, what proportion of your patients are contacted by phone or video-conference call rather than attending clinic?</td>
<td>1-25%, 26-50%, 51-75%, 76-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding phone/video calls rather than attending clinic:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are any staff meetings conducted by teleconference that would otherwise require travel? (Note that this question refers to meetings where attendees would need to travel to an off-site location such as another campus or health service)</td>
<td>Yes, we have a number of regular meetings via teleconference that would normally require staff to travel to them, Occasionally and generally ad hoc, No. There are meetings that could be held via teleconference, but staff are required/prefer to attend in person, No. We don’t generally hold meetings that require staff to travel to other locations, Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments regarding teleconferences to avoid travel:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any further comments regarding staff and patient transport in your renal unit?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there accessible thermostat and heating controls in each area of the unit?  
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Are appropriate temperatures generally maintained? (*For reference, recommended temperatures are 22-24 degrees Celsius)  
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding thermostats/temperature controls:

Is shading provided for north facing windows?  
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding shading:

Do you have any further comments regarding heating and cooling in your renal unit?

In your dialysis unit the majority of lights are...  
- Conventional incandescent light bulbs
- Halogen incandescent light bulbs
- Fluorescent tube lighting
- Compact fluorescent (e.g.: spiral energy-saver) globes
- LED (solid state) lighting
- Don't know

Are the lights in your dialysis unit switched off when not required?  
- Always
- Most of the time
- Occasionally
- Rarely or never
- Don't know

Does your unit use movement-sensitive light switches?  
- Yes, widespread
- Yes, but only in a few places
- Not at all
- Don't know

Do you have any further comments regarding the lighting in your dialysis unit?
Does your unit (or hospital) use renewable sources of energy rather than fossil fuels (for example, coal or gas) to generate energy?
- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Is this energy generated from... (please select all that apply)
- Solar Panels
- Wind Turbines
- Co-generation (the production of electricity and heat in the one process) or tri-generation (the production of electricity, heat and cooling in the one process)
- Other

If Other, please specify

Comments regarding renewable energy sources:

Which of the following energy companies provide energy to your unit? (please select all that apply)
- AGL
- Aurora Energy
- Diamond Energy
- Lumo Energy
- Energy Australia
- Origin
- Powershop
- Red Energy
- Other
- Don't know

If Other, please specify

Which of the following energy companies provide energy to your unit? (please select all that apply)
- Genesis Energy
- Contact Energy
- Mercury Energy
- Meridian Energy
- Trustpower
- Nova Energy
- Pulse Energy
- Electric Kiwi
- Flick Electric
- Other

If Other, please specify

Comments regarding energy supply in your dialysis unit:
Have any of the following been considered in the design of newer or planned buildings? (please select all that apply)

- The impact of travel upon staff and patients on where new buildings and facilities are located
- Opportunities for natural (passive) heating, cooling, lighting and ventilation
- Green spaces' and 'green views' for patients
- 'Green spaces' and 'green views' for staff
- Options for co-generation or tri-generation
- Use of alternative (non-fossil fuel based) energy sources
- Water recapture/recycling (rain water and/or reverse osmosis reject water)
- Sustainable building design (e.g. double glazing on windows, insulation, building materials, etc.)
- Other
- Don't know
- Not applicable

If Other, please specify


Does your building have a green star rating?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

Comments regarding green star rating:


Do you have any further comments regarding the buildings in your facility?


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Do you educate patients about what to do during extreme weather events? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Don't know                                                      |
| If Yes, how do you do this? (please select all that apply)               | ☐ Provide information on how to look after themselves during heat waves 
☐ Provide information on appropriate fluid and dietary restrictions, should dialysis be unavailable 
☐ Ensure each has a personal evacuation plan in place 
☐ Provide an emergency supply kit for them to use if they are unable to access dialysis 
☐ Other 
☐ None of the above |

If Other, please specify

____________________________________________________________________

Comments regarding extreme weather event preparation for patients:

____________________________________________________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Does your dialysis service have defined emergency strategies in place for extreme weather events? | ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Don't know                                                      |
| If yes, what do these cover? (please select all that apply)               | ☐ Coverage of interruptions to the power supply 
☐ Coverage of interruptions to the water supply 
☐ Alternative arrangements for transport problems due to extreme weather 
☐ Partner facilities able to accommodate your patients in the event of a disaster 
☐ Robust methods for contacting patients before and during disasters 
☐ Other 
☐ None of the above |

If Other, please specify

____________________________________________________________________

Comments regarding emergency strategies for extreme weather for your unit:

____________________________________________________________________