

# Association of Anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R Antibodies with Outcomes after Immunosuppressive Therapy in Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy

Anneke P. Bech,\* Julia M. Hofstra,\* Paul E. Brenchley,<sup>†</sup> and Jack F.M. Wetzels\*

## Abstract

**Background** The optimal timing and duration of immunosuppressive therapy for idiopathic membranous nephropathy (iMN) have been debated. This study aimed to evaluate whether measuring the antibody against the phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> receptor (PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab) at start and end of therapy predicts long-term outcome and therefore may inform this debate.

**Design, setting, participants, & measurements** This observational study included all consecutive high-risk patients with progressive iMN observed from 1997 to 2005 and treated with oral cyclophosphamide (CP) or mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in combination with corticosteroids for 12 months. Patients were prospectively followed, and outcome was ascertained up to 5 years after completion of immunosuppressive therapy. Serum samples were collected before and after completion of therapy. PLA<sub>2</sub>R antibodies were determined retrospectively in stored samples using ELISA.

**Results** In total, 48 patients (37 men) were included. The median age was 55 years (range, 34–75), and the median serum creatinine level was 1.60 mg/dl (range, 0.98–3.37 mg/dl). Twenty-two patients received MMF and 26 received CP. At baseline, PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs were present in 34 patients (71%). Baseline characteristics and outcome did not significantly differ between patients negative or positive for PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab. In PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab-positive patients, treatment resulted in a rapid decrease of antibodies: median anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab, 428 U/ml (range, 41–16,260 U/ml) at baseline and 24 U/ml (range, 0–505 U/ml) after 2 months. The PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab levels at baseline did not predict initial response, but antibody status at end of therapy predicted long-term outcome: After 5 years, 14 of 24 (58%) antibody-negative patients were in persistent remission compared with 0 of 9 (0%) antibody-positive patients ( $P=0.003$ ).

**Conclusions** These data suggest that in PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab-positive patients, measuring PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs at the end of therapy predicts the subsequent course.

*Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 9: 1386–1392, 2014. doi: 10.2215/CJN.10471013

## Introduction

Idiopathic membranous nephropathy (iMN) is an important cause of nephrotic syndrome in adults. Spontaneous remissions do occur, but many patients will need treatment with immunosuppressive agents (1,2). The optimal modality, timing, and duration of immunosuppressive therapy are still debated (3–5). The most recent Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes guideline recommends initial therapy with 6 months of cyclical steroids and cyclophosphamide (CP) in high-risk patients, defined as patients with persistent nephrotic syndrome (>6 months), deteriorating renal function during the first 6–12 months after diagnosis, or severe symptoms related to nephrotic syndrome (6). The available trials included different patient groups and variable durations of therapy with alkylating agents over 6–12 months (7–10). Current therapy is not individualized according to disease severity or disease activity

parameters during treatment. A complicating factor is the slow response; remissions can occur 12–18 months after completion of the treatment regimen (6). Therefore, the guidelines advise managing all patients conservatively for at least 6 months after completion of immunosuppressive therapy. The difficulties are illustrated by a study with mycophenolate mofetil (MMF): the initial response was similar to that seen with cyclophosphamide; however, early relapses occurred after withdrawal of MMF whereas proteinuria decreased further after cessation of cyclophosphamide (11).

The recently discovered antibody against the phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> receptor (PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab) has enhanced research in iMN (12). PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs are present in about 70% of patients with iMN (13). A weak but significant association between antibody levels and proteinuria has been described (14,15). Moreover, antibody levels at presentation may help to predict outcome because

\*Department of Nephrology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; and  
<sup>†</sup>Manchester Institute of Nephrology and Transplantation, Manchester, United Kingdom

**Correspondence:** Dr. Anneke P. Bech, Department of Nephrology 464, Radboud University Medical Centre, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands. Email: Anneke.vanderWoude-Bech@radboudumc.nl

patients with the highest antibody levels were less likely to develop a spontaneous remission of proteinuria (14). Two recent studies that evaluated the effects of rituximab showed that antibody levels decreased during therapy and preceded the reduction of proteinuria (16,17). The aim of this study was to determine whether the measurement of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab at start and end of therapy is useful in predicting the outcome after immunosuppressive therapy.

## Materials and Methods

### Study Population

We included adult patients who were treated with immunosuppressive therapy for biopsy-proven iMN between 1997 and 2005. Secondary causes were ruled out per standard policy, as described elsewhere (18).

Standard of care in our center consisted of a restrictive treatment strategy, as recently described (2). Patients with a serum creatinine concentration >1.5 mg/dl or with severe nephrotic syndrome were advised to start treatment with oral CP, 1.5 mg/kg daily for 12 months, which was our standard treatment regimen at that time (8). From 2002 to 2005, eligible patients were treated with oral mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), 1000 mg twice daily for 12 months (11). Both agents were given in combination with corticosteroids, as described elsewhere (11). Of note, the criteria to start immunosuppressive treatment were exactly the same in all time periods. The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethical committee. All participants gave written informed consent.

### Data Collection

Patient data were prospectively collected. We previously reported the time course of proteinuria in patients treated with cyclophosphamide (19). A similar study protocol was used in patients treated with MMF (11). In brief, participating patients were seen at the outpatient clinic for a standardized evaluation. Urine and serum samples were collected and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Samples were collected at the start and the end of therapy. In addition, in some patients samples were collected at 2 and 6 months after start of therapy.

For the present study, we retrieved all available serum samples. After thawing, PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab were determined with an in-house ELISA (20). The ELISA has been described before in detail (20). The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation are <8% and 17.8%, respectively (20). All samples were assayed within the same batch experiment. A sample was considered positive if the PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab titer was >40 U/ml. Samples that were off-scale (>3000 U/ml) were remeasured in higher dilution to define correct titers. Up to two additional freeze/thaw cycles did not affect anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R binding, as measured by our ELISA (data not shown).

### Definition of End Points

Patients were followed for up to 5 years after completion of immunosuppressive therapy. Complete remission was defined as proteinuria <0.2 g/d with stable kidney function, and partial remission was defined by proteinuria <3.5 g/d with a reduction of >50% from baseline and stable

kidney function (21). Achieving remission includes both partial and complete remission. A relapse was defined as proteinuria >3.5 g/d and an increase of >50% compared with the lowest value during remission. Follow-up time was calculated from end of therapy until the occurrence of an event (defined as relapse, death, or start of additional immunosuppressive therapy) or 60 months in case no events occurred (sustained remission or ongoing proteinuria). Follow-up of 0 reflects relapse during treatment (after induced remission).

### Calculations and Statistical Analyses

For assessment of proteinuria, we used the mean value of two 24-hour urine samples. To adjust for sampling errors, proteinuria is corrected for creatinine excretion.

All analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 20.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL). Medians with ranges were calculated for skewed variables. For comparison between groups, we used the Mann–Whitney *U* test. Distributions between groups are described by the chi-squared test. Outcome data were analyzed with Cox regression analysis. The cumulative probability of a clinical event was estimated according to Kaplan–Meier analysis and evaluated using a log-rank test. In this analysis, persisted proteinuria during follow-up was scored as an event at  $T=0$ .  $P<0.05$  was considered to represent a statistically significant difference.

## Results

In total, 48 patients were included, of whom 22 received MMF and 26 received CP. At baseline, PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab were present in 34 (71%) of these patients. The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients with PLA<sub>2</sub>R-related disease did not differ from patients with negative PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab. Nine patients were included with a recurrent episode at baseline; 4 of these had previously received immunosuppressive therapy (CP in combination with prednisone) 39–84 months before start of the present therapy. Response to therapy was similar in both groups: Persistent remission occurred in 7 of 14 PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab–negative patients and in 14 of 34 PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab–positive patients (Table 1).

### PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab–Positive Patients

Overall characteristics of 33 patients positive for PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab are shown in Supplemental Table 1. One patient was excluded from these subanalyses because of lack of PLA<sub>2</sub>R level at end of therapy. In the 33 patients positive for PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab, median proteinuria decreased significantly after start of therapy; 25 patients (76%) developed a (partial) remission 12 months after start of therapy. The PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab levels at start of therapy did not predict the initial response or the final outcome (Supplemental Table 2).

During follow-up as long as 5 years after withdrawal of therapy, 6 patients developed and maintained a complete remission, 8 patients remained in partial remission, 12 patients relapsed, 4 patients had persistent proteinuria (resulting in additional immunosuppressive therapy in 3), and 3 patients died.

At the end of treatment, 24 patients had become negative for PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab and 9 were still positive (Figure 1). Antibody status at end of therapy was related to the clinical

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics before start of treatment**

| Characteristic                                      | Total (n=48)     | PLA <sub>2</sub> R Antibody Negative (n=14) | PLA <sub>2</sub> R Antibody Positive (n=34) | P Value |
|---|------------------|---|---|---------|
| Men (n)   | 37               | 11  | 26  | 0.88    |
| Age (yr)  | 55 (34–75)       | 57 (38–75)                                  | 54 (34–74)                                  | 0.89    |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dl)                            | 1.60 (0.98–3.37) | 1.72 (1.24–3.37)                            | 1.54 (0.98–3.14)                            | 0.19    |
| Serum albumin (g/dl)                                | 2.6 (1.5–3.9)    | 2.9 (1.5–3.9)                               | 2.4 (1.8–3.5)                               | 0.09    |
| Protein/creatinine ratio (g/g)                      | 10.1 (3.2–25.2)  | 7.9 (5.1–23.5)                              | 10.5 (3.2–25.2)                             | 0.10    |
| SI  | 0.34 (0.12–0.53) | 0.29 (0.12–0.51)                            | 0.35 (0.18–0.53)                            | 0.07    |
| eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>a</sup> | 47 (21–96)       | 41 (21–91)                                  | 48 (21–96)                                  | 0.50    |
| PLA <sub>2</sub> R antibody (U/ml)                  | 216 (1–16,260)   | 9 (1–38)                                    | 428 (41–16,260)                             | <0.01   |
| Severe nephrosis as reason for start treatment (n)  | 2                | 0   | 2   | 0.35    |
| MMF (n)   | 22               | 7   | 15  | 0.71    |
| Cyclophosphamide (n)                                | 26               | 7   | 19  |         |
| Recurrent episode at presentation (n)               | 9                | 4   | 5   | 0.26    |
| Previous immunosuppressive therapy (n)              | 4/9              | 2/4   | 2/5   | 0.33    |
| Time from baseline to start treatment (mo)          | 5 (1–26)         | 4 (1–24)                                    | 7 (1–26)                                    | 0.40    |
| Follow-up duration (mo)                             | 52 (0–60)        | 60 (0–60)                                   | 47 (0–60)                                   | 0.65    |
| <b>Outcome</b>                                      |                  |   |   |         |
| Persistent remission                                | 21               | 7   | 14  | 0.58    |
| Relapse   | 17               | 5   | 12  | 0.98    |
| Persistent proteinuria                              | 2                | 1   | 1   | 0.51    |
| Immunosuppressive therapy                           | 3                | 0   | 3   | 0.25    |
| Dead  | 5                | 1   | 4   | 0.63    |

Unless otherwise noted, values are given as median and range. PLA<sub>2</sub>R, phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> receptor; SI, selectivity index (ratio clearance IgG/clearance transferrin); MMF, mycophenolate mofetil.

<sup>a</sup>eGFR was calculated with the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease-6 formula.

status: A (partial) remission at 12 months was observed in 3 of 9 PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab–positive patients and in 22 of 24 PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab–negative patients ( $P=0.003$ ). Most important, antibody status at the end of therapy predicted the long-term outcome (Table 2 and Figure 2). None of the patients positive for antibodies at the end of therapy developed persistent remission.

#### PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab Status during Treatment

In a subset of patients with PLA<sub>2</sub>R-related disease, PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab were measured during therapy (Table 3). Antibody titers did not significantly differ at start of therapy between patients treated with CP (median titer, 477 U/ml [range, 41–2150 U/ml]) and patients treated with MMF (median titer, 253 U/ml [range, 62–16,260 U/ml]). Both MMF and CP resulted in a rapid decrease of antibodies. CP was more effective in decreasing antibodies at 2 months (PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab negativity in 11 of 13 patients treated with CP and in 4 of 9 patients treated with MMF;  $P=0.05$ ). At the end of therapy, significantly more patients treated with CP were PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab negative (16 of 18 for CP versus 8 of 15 for MMF;  $P=0.02$ ). In both the MMF group and the CP group, one patient became antibody negative early in the treatment course and became positive again at 12 months. Both these patients presented with an early relapse during withdrawal of therapy. Over time, most patients who became negative for antibodies developed

remission, although some lag time was observed (Figure 3). Long-term outcome in patients who became PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab negative was independent of the type of immunosuppressive agent used (Supplemental Table 3).

#### Discussion

Our data suggest that measurement of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab at the end of immunosuppressive therapy in PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab–positive patients predicts the subsequent course during prolonged follow-up. Most patients in whom PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab had disappeared remained in remission. In contrast, no long-term remission was seen in patients with persisting positive antibodies. Beck *et al.* (16) were the first to show that a reduction or disappearance of antibodies after treatment with rituximab was associated with clinical response to therapy. This study confirms and extends their findings by evaluating the predictive value of measuring PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab levels at the end of therapy with regard to long-term clinical outcome. Our study is small, and the conclusion should therefore be regarded with caution.

Our findings are in line with the general hypothesis that the immunologic response precedes, is linked to, and may modulate the clinical response. This concept is based on a few studies in which serial antibody levels have been measured in patients with PLA<sub>2</sub>R-related iMN (15–17,22). We previously showed that 12 of 13 patients became





Figure 1. | Flow chart of phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> receptor (PLA<sub>2</sub>R) antibody–positive patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy and outcome through up to 5 years of follow-up.

| Variable                                    | Persistent Remission | Relapse | Persisting Proteinuria | Immunosuppressive Therapy | Dead   |
|---|----------------------|---------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| PLA <sub>2</sub> R antibody negative (n=24) | 14 (58)              | 8 (33)  | 0 (0)                  | 1 (4)                     | 1 (4)  |
| PLA <sub>2</sub> R antibody positive (n=9)  | 0 (0)                | 4 (44)  | 1 (11)                 | 2 (22)                    | 2 (22) |

Values are given as n (%). P (chi-squared test) between remission and no remission=0.003.

PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab negative during a remission and positive again during a relapse (15). Oh *et al.* measured serial PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs in a subset of 6 patients: In 3 of 4 patients with remission, antibodies had disappeared, whereas antibodies remained positive in the 2 patients without a remission (22). Beck *et al.* studied 25 patients who received rituximab (16). After 12 months, PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs had disappeared in 17 patients. At that time, a remission was observed in only 10 patients. Of

note, 6 of the 7 patients without remission at 12 months developed a remission before 24 months. In 6 patients PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs were persistently present at 12 months. Two of them developed a partial remission at 24 months. Hoxha *et al.* analyzed serial antibodies in 5 patients treated with rituximab as well. PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab levels decreased in 3 patients achieving partial remission after 12–18 months (17).



**Figure 2.** | Kaplan–Meier plot for survival in remission, grouped by PLA<sub>2</sub>R antibody status at end of therapy. Numbers of patients at each time point are given below the figure. Log-rank test chi-square=37.05;  $P < 0.001$ .

| Variable             | MMF (n=15) | CP (n=18) | P Value |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Negative after 2 mo  | 4/9        | 11/13     | 0.05    |
| Negative after 6 mo  | 6/8        | 13/13     | 0.06    |
| Negative after 12 mo | 8/15       | 16/18     | 0.02    |

Values are expressed as number of negative samples out of total available samples. MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; CP, cyclophosphamide.


Overall, the disappearance of antibodies predicted good outcome independent of the type of immunosuppressive agent used. This study also suggests that antibodies disappeared more often in patients treated with CP than in patients treated with MMF. These observations are compatible with previously reported study results: MMF induced clinical remission in iMN, but there were more patients with a primary nonresponse and more patients with a relapse soon after the end of therapy (11). Obviously, our data do not provide formal proof that proteinuria response at the end of therapy is less accurate in predicting long-term outcome. Larger studies are needed to allow comparisons. Still, taken together, some evidence suggests that patients who have not developed a remission at the end of therapy and are still PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab positive will not develop a complete remission during follow-up. Moreover, antibody levels decreasing during therapy preceded the reduction of proteinuria, as was found in two earlier studies (16,17). Determination of antibodies during therapy could therefore be of additional value in predicting response to therapy at an earlier stage.

In our study, neither the presence of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs nor the level of the antibodies at baseline predicted the response to therapy. Of note, there is a selection bias because our study

included only patients with progressive disease. Two studies that evaluated all incident patients with iMN found no differences in spontaneous remission rate and overall outcome between patients with and without PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs (14,22). These observations suggest that PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab-negative patients have a clinically similar disease, most likely with different autoantibodies and autoantigens. The study by Qin *et al.* seems an exception (23). These authors studied 60 Chinese patients with iMN. With the standard Western blot technique, PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs were detected in 49 patients (82%). The PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab-negative patients were more likely to develop a remission. However, interpretation of the results is difficult because in 10 of the initially 11 PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab-negative patients antibodies were indeed identified with a more sensitive assay, suggesting that these were lower titers associated with good outcome. In this respect, Qin and colleagues' study is in line with other studies that observed an association between PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab titer and outcome (14,20,22). In the study by Hofstra *et al.*, patients with iMN in the highest tertile of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab had the lowest likelihood of developing a spontaneous remission (4% versus 38%). In the study by Oh *et al.*, the role of antibody titer was disputed (14). However, in this analysis patients without PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs were included, which invalidates the conclusions. Limiting the analysis to PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab-positive patients, spontaneous remission occurred in 45% of patients with low antibody titers and in 15% of patients with high antibody titers. Patients with high antibody levels were also more likely to progress to renal failure in Kanigcherla and colleagues' study (20). Admittedly, the latter study can be criticized because it was cross-sectional, in which prevalent patients and patients in remission were included in the comparison.

The main limitations of the current study are the small size, the missing samples at 2 and 6 months, and the heterogeneity of the patients. However, although our study includes both incident patients and patients with relapsing disease, all patients experienced a new episode of nephrotic syndrome at inclusion and had not received immunosuppressive therapy for this episode. Moreover, our study





**Figure 3. | Clinical and immunological remission in time.** Percentage remissions in patients in whom PLA<sub>2</sub>R antibody had disappeared.

includes a specific high-risk cohort of patients, all treated with immunosuppressive therapy. The value of persistent antibodies in untreated patients is unknown. Our conclusions therefore mainly apply to patients who received immunosuppressive treatment. The results should be confirmed in larger datasets and in different subsets of patients. If our findings are reproduced, then measurement of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs may allow individualized therapy, a strategy that is potentially safer and more cost-effective than current practice. Finally, our conclusions are based on quantitative evaluation of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab using ELISA. Although in general there is good agreement between ELISA and the immunofluorescence test, some discrepancies do occur (14). We re-evaluated 11 available serum samples of patients negative for anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab in ELISA and observed a positive result on immunofluorescence test in three patients. These findings clearly underline the need for calibration of the evaluation assays.

We suggest that a test for anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs should be ordered in all patients with biopsy-proven membranous nephropathy. A positive test result confirms the diagnosis of primary (idiopathic) membranous nephropathy with high specificity. A negative test result does not exclude the diagnosis of iMN (because approximately 30% of patients with iMN have no antibodies); still, in such a patient, secondary causes should be excluded. Of note, it was suggested that in some patients with anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R-related MN antibodies in serum may not be measurable. In such patients, assessment of the PLA<sub>2</sub>R antigen in kidney biopsy specimens may be of value to disclose PLA<sub>2</sub>R-related disease (24). Although levels of antibodies might have predictive value (high titers indicating a low likelihood of spontaneous remission), we do not advocate routine measurement of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-ab levels at this moment because the various tests (Western blot, immunofluorescence test, and ELISA) have not been calibrated. In patients positive for antibodies, we propose repeating the assay at regular intervals during follow-up. In untreated patients, disappearance of the antibodies will forecast the development of

spontaneous remission. In patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy, knowledge of the time course of antibody levels should allow tailored treatment (*i.e.*, stopping immunosuppressive therapy if PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs have disappeared or changing immunosuppressive therapy if PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs persist). Obviously, prospective studies are needed to validate this proposal.

In conclusion, disappearance of PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs during immunosuppressive treatment predicts a good long-term outcome, whereas persistent PLA<sub>2</sub>R-abs are associated with a low chance of persistent remission during follow-up. We suggest that studies should evaluate antibody-guided therapy: stopping CP as soon as the patient becomes antibody negative and adding additional therapy (*i.e.*, rituximab) when antibody positivity persists after 6 months of treatment.

#### Acknowledgments

J.M.H. is supported by a grant from the Dutch Kidney Foundation (KJPB.11.021). Part of the data were presented at the American Society of Nephrology Kidney Week 2013 (FR-OR049).

#### Disclosures

None.

#### References

- van den Brand JA, Hofstra JM, Wetzels JF: Low-molecular-weight proteins as prognostic markers in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 6: 2846–2853, 2011
- van den Brand JA, van Dijk PR, Hofstra JM, Wetzels JF: Long-term outcomes in idiopathic membranous nephropathy using a restrictive treatment strategy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 25: 150–158, 2014
- Hofstra JM, Fervenza FC, Wetzels JF: Treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 9: 443–458, 2013
- Ruggenenti P, Cravedi P, Chianca A, Perna A, Ruggiero B, Gaspari F, Rambaldi A, Marasà M, Remuzzi G: Rituximab in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 23: 1416–1425, 2012
- Ruggenenti P, Remuzzi G: Idiopathic membranous nephropathy: Back to the future? *Lancet* 381: 706–708, 2013
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes: KDIGO clinical practice guideline for glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2: 186–197, 2012
- Ponticelli C, Zucchelli P, Passerini P, Cesana B, Locatelli F, Pasquali S, Sasdelli M, Redaelli B, Grassi C, Pozzi C, Bizzarri D, Banfi G: A 10-year follow-up of a randomized study with methylprednisolone and chlorambucil in membranous nephropathy. *Kidney Int* 48: 1600–1604, 1995
- du Buf-Vereijken PW, Branten AJ, Wetzels JF; Membranous Nephropathy Study Group: Cytotoxic therapy for membranous nephropathy and renal insufficiency: Improved renal survival but high relapse rate. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 19: 1142–1148, 2004
- Jha V, Ganguli A, Saha TK, Kohli HS, Sud K, Gupta KL, Joshi K, Sakhuja V: A randomized, controlled trial of steroids and cyclophosphamide in adults with nephrotic syndrome caused by idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 18: 1899–1904, 2007
- Howman A, Chapman TL, Langdon MM, Ferguson C, Adu D, Feehally J, Gaskin GJ, Jayne DR, O'Donoghue D, Boulton-Jones M, Mathieson PW: Immunosuppression for progressive membranous nephropathy: A UK randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 381: 744–751, 2013
- Branten AJ, du Buf-Vereijken PW, Vervloet M, Wetzels JF: Mycophenolate mofetil in idiopathic membranous nephropathy: A clinical trial with comparison to a historic control group treated with cyclophosphamide. *Am J Kidney Dis* 50: 248–256, 2007
- Beck LH Jr, Bonegio RG, Lambeau G, Beck DM, Powell DW, Cummins TD, Klein JB, Salant DJ: M-type phospholipase A2 receptor as target antigen in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *N Engl J Med* 361: 11–21, 2009

13. Hofstra JM, Wetzels JF: Anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R antibodies in membranous nephropathy: Ready for routine clinical practice? *Neth J Med* 70: 109–113, 2012
14. Hofstra JM, Debiec H, Short CD, Pellé T, Kleta R, Mathieson PW, Ronco P, Brenchley PE, Wetzels JF: Antiphospholipase A2 receptor antibody titer and subclass in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 23: 1735–1743, 2012
15. Hofstra JM, Beck LH Jr, Beck DM, Wetzels JF, Salant DJ: Anti-phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> receptor antibodies correlate with clinical status in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 6: 1286–1291, 2011
16. Beck LH Jr, Fervenza FC, Beck DM, Bonegio RG, Malik FA, Erickson SB, Cosio FG, Cattran DC, Salant DJ: Rituximab-induced depletion of anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R autoantibodies predicts response in membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 22: 1543–1550, 2011
17. Hoxha E, Harendza S, Zahner G, Panzer U, Steinmetz O, Fechner K, Helmchen U, Stahl RA: An immunofluorescence test for phospholipase-A<sub>2</sub>-receptor antibodies and its clinical usefulness in patients with membranous glomerulonephritis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 26: 2526–2532, 2011
18. Hofstra JM, Wetzels JF: Management of patients with membranous nephropathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 27: 6–9, 2012
19. du Buf-Vereijken PW, Wetzels JF: Treatment-related changes in urinary excretion of high and low molecular weight proteins in patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy and renal insufficiency. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 21: 389–396, 2006
20. Kanigicherla D, Gummadova J, McKenzie EA, Roberts SA, Harris S, Nikam M, Poulton K, McWilliam L, Short CD, Venning M, Brenchley PE: Anti-PLA<sub>2</sub>R antibodies measured by ELISA predict long-term outcome in a prevalent population of patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. *Kidney Int* 83: 940–948, 2013
21. Troyanov S, Wall CA, Miller JA, Scholey JW, Cattran DC; Toronto Glomerulonephritis Registry Group: Idiopathic membranous nephropathy: Definition and relevance of a partial remission. *Kidney Int* 66: 1199–1205, 2004
22. Oh YJ, Yang SH, Kim DK, Kang SW, Kim YS: Autoantibodies against phospholipase A2 receptor in Korean patients with membranous nephropathy. *PLoS ONE* 8: e62151, 2013
23. Qin W, Beck LH Jr, Zeng C, Chen Z, Li S, Zuo K, Salant DJ, Liu Z: Anti-phospholipase A2 receptor antibody in membranous nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 22: 1137–1143, 2011
24. Debiec H, Ronco P: PLA<sub>2</sub>R autoantibodies and PLA<sub>2</sub>R glomerular deposits in membranous nephropathy. *N Engl J Med* 364: 689–690, 2011

**Received:** October 15, 2013 **Accepted:** April 8, 2014

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at [www.cjasn.org](http://www.cjasn.org).

This article contains supplemental material online at <http://cjasn.asnjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.2215/CJN.10471013/-/DCSupplemental>.

See related editorial, “Antiphospholipase A2 Receptor Autoantibody Guided Diagnosis and Treatment of Membranous Nephropathy: A New Personalized Medical Approach,” on pages 1341–1343.