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Summary
Complete adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT) deficiency is a rare inherited metabolic disorder that leads to the formation and hyperexcretion of 2,8-dihydroxyadenine (DHA) into urine. The low solubility of DHA results in precipitation of this compound and the formation of urinary crystals and stones. The disease can present as recurrent urolithiasis or nephropathy secondary to crystal precipitation into renal parenchyma (DHA nephropathy). The diagnostic tools available—including stone analysis, crystalluria, and APRT activity measurement—make the diagnosis easy to confirm when APRT deficiency is suspected. However, the disease can present at any age, and the variability of symptoms can present a diagnostic challenge to many physicians. The early recognition and treatment of APRT deficiency are of crucial importance for preventing irreversible loss of renal function, which still occurs in a non-negligible proportion of cases. This review summarizes the genetic and metabolic mechanisms underlying stone formation and renal disease, along with the diagnosis and management of APRT deficiency.


Introduction
A partial deficiency of adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT) was identified in healthy persons more than four decades ago by Kelley et al., who described mutant forms of the enzyme and found the inheritance to be autosomal (1). In 1974, Cartier et al. identified for the first time a child with urolithiasis related to complete APRT deficiency. Both of his parents had partial APRT deficiency. The authors found the stones to be composed of a new compound: 2,8-dihydroxyadenine (DHA) (2). The autosomal-recessive inheritance of the disease was confirmed by Van Acker et al., who reported on the manifestations of APRT deficiency in one family (3). In the Japanese population, the prevalence of DHA stones was found to be particularly high (4). APRT deficiency is a protean disease that can manifest not only as recurrent urolithiasis but also as crystalline nephropathy. Unfortunately, the diagnosis is often delayed by years after the onset of symptoms, and many patients have already developed irreversible renal failure when adequate treatment is implemented (5–8). There is a crucial need for earlier recognition and better management of APRT deficiency.

We review the genetic and metabolic mechanisms involved in APRT deficiency, along with the diagnosis and treatment of this condition.

Metabolic Mechanisms
The APRT enzyme is expressed in all tissues and provides the only pathway for the metabolic salvage of adenine resulting from polyamine biosynthesis and dietary sources (Figure 1) (9). APRT catalyzes the formation of 5′-adenosine monophosphate and inorganic pyrophosphate from adenine and 5-phosphoribosyl-1-pyrophosphate, allowing adenine to be detected only at low levels in blood and urine (10). In individuals lacking functional APRT, adenine is converted to 8-hydroxyadenine, which is further metabolized to DHA by xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH), previously known as xanthine oxidase (11). DHA has a high renal clearance, which may involve both filtration and tubular secretion (12). APRT deficiency therefore results in high urinary levels of DHA (3). DHA is extremely insoluble in urine and forms crystals, which can gather, grow, and form stones (3,13) or precipitate in renal parenchyma, causing crystalline nephropathy (5,6,8,14).

Although crystals and stones form secondarily to null APRT activity in vivo, two types of complete deficiency have been described, according to the level of APRT activity in vitro (10). APRT activity is usually measured in cell lysates using radiolabeled 14C-adenine in a chromatographic assay (6). This technique does not detect any enzyme activity in individuals with type I APRT deficiency. Type I deficiency mainly affects white individuals but has been also reported in people originating from countries worldwide (6,7,15). In type II deficiency, which accounts for most cases in Japan (4), APRT has a reduced affinity for the cosubstrate 5-phosphoribosyl-1-pyrophosphate and the enzyme activity in cell lysates is about 25% of normal values (16). In fact, this classification applies only to in vitro phenomena in cell lysates and has no relevance in cultured cells (17,18) or in vivo. This classification has no known clinical significance because the manifestations of the disease are identical in the two types (4,6,7,16).

Genetics
The APRT gene located on chromosome 16q24 encompasses 2.8 kb of DNA, contains five exons, and has a coding region of 540 bp (19). Complete APRT
deficiency occurs in individuals carrying mutations in both copies of the APRT gene.

Mutant alleles responsible for type I have been classified as APRT*Q0, which encompasses a heterogeneous collection of mutations distributed along the coding sequence, including missense (19–22), non-sense (6,19,23), insertion or deletion (6,19,24,25), and mutation at the splice junction site leading to abnormal mRNA splicing (6,19,24,26). Patients with type I deficiency are homozygotes or compound heterozygotes for these mutations. Some mutations appear to be particularly frequent in certain populations. The IVS4+2insT mutation, corresponding to a single T insertion in the intron 4 splice donor site resulting in a truncated protein (24), accounted for 40% of mutations in our cohort (6). All probands carrying at least one IVS4+2insT mutation originated from France or other European countries, whereas IVS4+2insT was not detected in five families from other ethnic groups (6). The IVS4+2insT mutation had been identified previously in several families from Europe and seems to be the most common cause of APRT deficiency in this population (10,19,24,27). Another common mutation is a missense (Asp65Val) found in British, Icelandic, and Spanish patients (6,20,27). Asp65Val mutation was found in the homozygous state in all 16 families reported in an Icelandic study (7).

Type II APRT deficiency is caused by a single mutant allele with a missense mutation in exon 5 (Met136Thr) referred to as APRT*J, which has been reported exclusively in the Japanese population (4,21). Patients with type II deficiency have the genotype APRT*J/APRT*J or, more rarely, APRT*J/APRT*Q0 (25). An exception to this is a missense mutation (V150F) reported in a patient of Polish origin, which produced an enzyme with null activity in vivo but residual activity in vitro (29). This observation suggests that type II deficiency may be rarely observed in non-Japanese populations.

**Prevalence**

APRT deficiency is often considered a very rare disease, although its worldwide prevalence remains largely unknown. Most cases and studies published arise from Japan and are rarely reported from other populations, except for the Icelandic and French cohorts (6,7). Considering the percentage of DHA stones among calculi analyzed in a large clinical laboratory in Japan, it was estimated that about 1/27,000 of the Japanese population had complete APRT deficiency; the incidence of heterozygotes was calculated to be higher than 1.2% (4). In white persons, the heterozygosity for APRT deficiency has an estimated frequency ranging from 0.4% to 1.2% from measurements of enzyme activity in healthy populations (30,31). Homozygosity causing complete APRT deficiency should therefore range between 1/50,000 and 1/100,000, which contrasts with the small number of cases recorded and suggests that the disease may be underdiagnosed (32). In the Necker Hospital laboratories, which are referral centers for urolithiasis and purine metabolism in France, the number of families and patients in whom complete APRT deficiency is diagnosed has notably increased in the last few years, rising to 55 families, probably as a result of increased awareness (Figure 2A).

The frequency of APRT deficiency might not be homogeneous among white populations because of the high frequency of certain mutations in some countries, such as IVS4+2insT in France and Asp65Val in Iceland. We found the IVS4+2insT mutation in 2 of 204 (0.98%) healthy newborn chromosomes (6), suggesting a high prevalence of this mutation in France. However, this result will have to be confirmed in a larger population sample. In Iceland, the prevalence is higher than 1/15.000, with 23 homozygotes among a population of around 300,000 (7).
Clinical Presentation

Complete APRT deficiency often poses a diagnostic challenge not only because of variability of symptoms and age of onset but also lack of awareness. The disease can present with two types of clinical manifestations, which may occur together or separately: urolithiasis and crystalline nephropathy. Although APRT deficiency occurs in all cells, no extrarenal symptom has been reported in affected individuals.

Studies in European and Japanese populations have highlighted that APRT deficiency can present at any age. The age at diagnosis varies from infancy to older than 70 years (4,6,7,16). The disease can be diagnosed late, either because patients have remained free of symptoms for decades or because it has remained misdiagnosed despite recurrent urolithiasis. In the setting of familial screening, it is not unusual to detect complete APRT deficiency in individuals who are totally asymptomatic even as adults (6,7). The first urolithiasis episode may occur during the first few months after childbirth as well as later than the fifth decade (Figure 2B) (4,6,16). Reddish-brown diaper stains may be observed in infants (7).

In both European and Japanese cohorts, delayed diagnosis is less frequent when symptoms begin in children because urolithiasis is a much less common condition than in adults, which often alerts physicians to investigate the nature of the stone. In adults, confusion frequently occurs between DHA and uric acid stones, which are much more frequent and are radiolucent, like DHA stones. Of note, DHA stones may contain calcium salts, making them sometimes radiopaque (4,33).

DHA crystals can precipitate in renal tubules and interstitium and severely impair renal function. We propose the term “DHA nephropathy” for the crystalline nephropathy secondary to DHA precipitation. DHA nephropathy may present as an acute disease leading to renal failure in a few days or weeks or, more frequently, develop insidiously and cause progressive decline of renal function during a period of several years. Acute renal failure may be triggered by dehydration, which causes oliguria, urine supersaturation, and massive precipitation of DHA. In both European and Japanese cohorts, decreased renal function was observed in about one third of patients at time of diagnosis, and nearly 10% of patients had reached ESRD before diagnosis (4,6,7). DHA nephropathy may rarely occur in patients with no history of urolithiasis (7) and more frequently occurs in patients who showed only a few stone episodes, sometimes a long time ago (Figure 3, A and B).

In some catastrophic situations, APRT remains unrecognized and untreated after ESRD has occurred, allowing DHA nephropathy to recur after renal transplantation, which often leads to irremediable loss of allograft function within a few weeks, unless adequate therapy is rapidly implemented (5,8,34–36).

The reasons behind the variability in APRT deficiency phenotype are unclear. No correlation between phenotype and genotype has been reported to date. Perhaps this is not so surprising, given the probable complete loss of APRT activity in all homozygotes, whatever the mutation may be. However, the limited number of cases and the heterogeneity in patient management characterizing most of studies preclude the drawing of firm conclusions. Factors such as fluids and purine intake are likely to account for this variability. Individual differences in the effectiveness of crystallization inhibitors, such as osteopontin, may also modulate phenotype (37).

Although individuals with complete or partial APRT deficiency generally have normal plasma uric acid levels, hyperuricemia and gout have occasionally been reported in heterozygotes with partial APRT deficiency (38–40). This

Figure 2. Diagnosis of complete adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT) deficiency. (A) Number of new families with complete APRT deficiency diagnosed in the laboratories of Necker Hospital, Paris, France, by time period since 1974. (B) Age at occurrence of first stone episode in one French (6) and two Japanese (pooled) (4,16) cohorts. Bars indicate mean ± SD. Mean age at first stone was 18.6 ± 16.3 years in French patients (n=45) and 22.3 ± 20.2 years in Japanese patients (n=33). (C) Time from first stone to diagnosis in the French (6) cohort and the Japanese (16) cohort. Mean time from first stone to diagnosis was 10.7 ± 13.7 years in French patients (n=45) and 11 ± 12.1 years in Japanese patients (n=18).
and in renal interstitium (Figure 3A). The crystals may
injury and precipitation of crystals within tubular lumen
in most cases. Renal biopsy usually shows severe tubular
phropathy, APRT de
cification may not be fortuitous and will deserve closer
association.

Diagnosis
The diagnosis of APRT deficiency is primarily based on
the identification of DHA, either by examination of crystals
in urine or by stone analysis. Stones should be analyzed
every time one is available by the combination of mor-
phologic examination under stereomicroscope (Figure 3C)
and infrared spectroscopy, which allows identification of
DHA in all cases (41,42). Biochemical stone analysis fails to
differentiate DHA from uric acid and cannot be relied on
for diagnosis of APRT deficiency. Crystalluria examination
by light and polarizing microscopy is a very useful, non-
invasive, and inexpensive tool for the identification of
DHA crystals (Figure 3D) (41,42). Crystalluria study is
best performed in first-voided morning urine samples,
which are the most concentrated. Quantification can be
done by counting the number of crystals per volume
unit (42), which is high in untreated patients (6). Infrared
spectrophotometry should be also performed when
DHA crystals are suspected or, more generally, when crys-
tals of uncertain nature are seen. In our experience, crys-
talluria is very sensitive and specific, allowing the
identification of homozygotes in 100% of cases. False-
negative results have been rarely reported (7). As an in-
nvasive procedure, renal biopsy is theoretically not required.
However, it often leads to diagnosis in cases of DHA ne-
phropathy, APRT deficiency being an unexpected finding
in most cases. Renal biopsy usually shows severe tubular
injury and precipitation of crystals within tubular lumen
and in renal interstitium (Figure 3A). The crystals may
lack the typical features of DHA and their presence
in renal parenchyma should never be dismissed. The
best method is to characterize crystals in renal biopsy
using polarizing microscopy and Fourier transform infrared
microscopy (43,44). If the latter is not available, crystalluria
and measurement of APRT activity assay may also lead to
diagnosis.

Given that the DHA nature of stones or crystals is
pathognomonic of APRT deficiency, measurement of APRT
activity in erythrocyte lysates is not mandatory but is
useful when available (45,46). In the United States, APRT
activity assay availability is restricted to a few laboratories,
such as the University of California San Diego Biochemical
Genetics Laboratory. In type I APRT deficiency, which ac-
counts for nearly all cases in non-Japanese patients, APRT
activity is usually 15%–30% of normal activity (4). Individu-
als carrying one APRT*Q0 and one normal allele show
significant decrease in APRT activity although still
detectable. In 56 heterozygotes for APRT*Q0 tested in our
laboratory, APRT activity ranged between 6% and 58% of
normal activity, with a mean value of 28% (unpublished
data). Heterozygotes for APRT*J cannot be distinguished
from normal individuals because they have 50% to more
than 65% of the mean activity of healthy persons, which
overlaps with normal individual values since normal lev-
els show significant variation (16,18).

The analysis of purine metabolites in urine may suggest
the diagnosis if adenine level is increased. However, a
standardized assay for quantification of DHA, which would
be more specific, is not available.
The mutations involved may be determined by sequencing of PCR-amplified DNA, which can be readily performed given the small size of APRT gene (19). However, genetic testing should not be considered as a diagnostic tool. In our experience, 10% of mutations remain unidentified despite sequencing of the entire coding region and intron/exon junctions of the APRT gene (6). This may be explained by mutations located in promoter region or by large deletions in one allele.

When should APRT deficiency be suspected and diagnostic tests implemented? The possibility of APRT deficiency should be considered in all cases of urolithiasis in children, recurrent urolithiasis (especially if stones are radiolucent), and urolithiasis associated with renal dysfunction of uncertain cause. In such cases, adequate stone analysis should be performed whenever possible. Crystalluria is very useful, especially in the absence of stone available. Crystalluria may be used in routine clinical practice as a first-intention test for patients with unexplained renal dysfunction with no or low-grade proteinuria, even in the absence of history of urolithiasis. APRT activity assay is useful when no stone is available and crystalluria cannot be studied in a patient with crystalline nephropathy (e.g., anuria). We also suggest that APRT activity should be systematically measured in patients with ESRD awaiting renal transplantation who have a history of urolithiasis, even if the stone episodes were old and rare, unless the etiology of renal failure or the nature of stones has been clearly established. A diagnostic algorithm for APRT deficiency is provided in Figure 4.

Management

No treatment has been shown to increase APRT activity. Treatment of APRT deficiency relies on allopurinol therapy, which acts by blocking XDH (Figure 1). In patients with DHA nephropathy, allopurinol therapy usually allows renal function to stabilize or improve and prevents recurrence after renal transplantation (6,7). The possibility of renal function recovery largely depends on the degree of acute tubular necrosis and chronic tubulointerstitial changes when treatment is initiated. All individuals with complete APRT deficiency, even asymptomatic, should be treated by allopurinol, given the risk for acute or insidious DHA nephropathy.

The usual dosage of allopurinol is 200–300 mg/d in adults and 5–10 mg/kg per day in children, which efficiently prevents the formation of crystals in most patients. Dosing must be adapted when renal function is impaired. This treatment is well tolerated in most patients, and children treated show normal growth and development (47). Febuxostat, another XDH inhibitor (48), may represent a
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potential alternative in allopurinol-intolerant patients, although its use has never been reported in APRT deficiency.

High fluid intake (at least 2.5 L of water per day in adults) and avoidance of purine-rich food should also be advised. Alkalization does not have to be recommended because DHA remains very insoluble at pH values below 8.5 (10). Decrease of crystalluria is usually observed under therapy and should be considered as an adequate response to treatment (6,47). We therefore recommend measuring crystalluria for treatment monitoring. Stones recur in a minority of patients despite treatment (6,47). Lack of adherence to treatment or insufficient dosing of allopurinol should be suspected in such situations or if crystalluria persists.

Familial Screening and the Question of Heterozygotes

Siblings of a patient with complete APRT deficiency have a 25% chance of being affected and must therefore be investigated. Crystalluria and, if possible, APRT activity assay should be performed in these individuals. If APRT activity assay is not available, it is prudent to repeat crystalluria study before ruling out the diagnosis. Genetic testing of siblings can also be useful, especially if the two mutations have been identified in the propositus. As discussed earlier, treatment should be implemented if complete deficiency is found.

Heterozygotes usually show normal amounts of DHA in urine despite partial APRT deficiency (3). In our experience, crystalluria is negative in heterozygotes and these individuals do not develop symptoms; therefore, allopurinol therapy is not indicated. However, this assumption was challenged by the report of a well-documented case of DHA lithiasis in a patient carrying one mutant APRT*J and one normal allele (49). It remains unclear whether increased polyamine synthesis, which appears to be the main source of endogenous adenine (9), or high dietary purine intake can lead to DHA lithiasis in heterozygotes. It seems therefore prudent to systematically perform crystalluria analysis.

Conclusion

APRT deficiency may represent a unique example of a disease that potentially leads to renal failure but can be easily treated by taking a pill each day. Physicians should therefore leave no stone unturned when it comes to caring for patients with urolithiasis or renal disease. There is a need to make diagnostic tools, especially APRT activity assay, more available worldwide. Research programs, such as those conducted by the Rare Kidney Stone Consortium (www.rarekidneystones.org), will provide an opportunity to better understand and manage APRT deficiency.
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