

# The Future Nephrology Workforce: Will There Be One?

Mark G. Parker,\* Tod Ibrahim,<sup>†</sup> Rachel Shaffer,<sup>†</sup> Mitchell H. Rosner,<sup>†</sup> and Bruce A. Molitoris<sup>§</sup>

## Summary

Interest in nephrology as a career is declining and has been on the decline for nearly one decade. From 2002 to 2009, all internal medicine subspecialties except geriatric medicine increased the number of available fellowship positions. However, only two subspecialties attracted fewer United States medical graduates (USMGs) in 2009 than in 2002: geriatric medicine and nephrology. This drop occurred at a time when demand for nephrologists is increasing and when the specialty is having a harder time benefiting from the substantial contribution of international medical graduates (IMGs).

Today's USMGs possess fundamentally different career and personal goals from their teachers and mentors. Medical students report receiving minimal exposure to nephrology in clinical rotations, and they perceive that the specialty is too complex, uninteresting, and lacks professional opportunity.

Meanwhile, the demographics of kidney disease in the United States, as well as recent national health policy developments, indicate a growing need for nephrologists. Efforts to improve the educational continuum in nephrology and enhance mentorship are essential to restoring interest in nephrology for USMGs, maintaining its appeal among IMGs, and developing a workforce sufficient to meet future demand for renal care.

*Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 6: 1501–1506, 2011. doi: 10.2215/CJN.01290211

## Introduction

"You either love it or find it very, very painful. I wouldn't consider it a lifestyle specialty by any means."

—Recent blog posting about nephrology by an internal medicine resident (1).

From 2002 to 2009, all internal medicine subspecialties except geriatric medicine increased the number of available fellowship positions (**Table 1**) (2–3). However, only two subspecialties attracted fewer United States medical graduates (USMGs) in 2009 than in 2002: geriatric medicine and nephrology. This drop occurred at a time when demand for nephrologists is increasing and when the specialty is having a harder time benefiting from the substantial contribution of international medical graduates (IMGs).

The number of USMGs in nephrology fellowships dropped from 401 to 365, even although the total number of nephrology fellows increased 28.1%, from 711 to 911 (**Figure 1**) (2–3). The number of female nephrology fellows rose from 229 in 2002 to 333 in 2009, but this growth represented the lowest proportional increase for any internal medicine specialty except geriatric medicine (**Table 1**). The total number of Hispanic nephrology fellows increased from 28 in 2002 to 59 in 2009, while the number of African-American nephrology fellows increased from 29 to 45 during the same period (**Figure 1**)

(2–3). Despite these increases, nephrology still trails most specialties in terms of attracting Hispanics or African Americans.

Residency training in internal medicine—the entry point to a career in adult nephrology—is unappealing to US medical students. Between 2002 and 2009, the number of USMGs in internal medicine residency positions dropped from 11,807 to 10,855, even although the total number of positions increased from 21,136 to 22,292 during this time (2–3). And among internal medicine residents, interest in nephrology is deteriorating. As illustrated in **Figure 1**, the percentage of all internal medicine residents—both USMGs and IMGs—applying annually has declined (4).

Today's USMGs are fundamentally different from their teachers and mentors. They value a controllable work-life balance, sacrifice salaries and career advancement for time with families (although income is a consideration due to unprecedented debt), are part of dual-career couples, define success within the context of personal life instead of professional accomplishment, characterize professionalism differently, and are less interested in developing long-term relationships with patients (5–11).


At first glance, pediatric nephrology appears to represent a potential bright spot. From 2002 to 2009, the number of pediatric nephrology fellows increased

\*Division of Nephrology and Transplantation, Maine Medical Center, Portland, Maine; <sup>†</sup>American Society of Nephrology, Washington DC; <sup>‡</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia; and <sup>§</sup>Division of Nephrology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana

**Correspondence:** Tod Ibrahim, American Society of Nephrology, 1510 H Street NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005, T: 202-416-0666, C: 571-215-3847, F: 202-466-0933, tibrahim@asn-online.org

**Table 1. Internal medicine fellows: 2002 versus 2009**

Disciplines	Total			USMGs			IMGs			Canadians			Doctors of Osteopathy			Female		
	2002	2009	Change	2002	2009	Change	2002	2009	Change	2002	2009	Change	2002	2009	Change	2002	2009	Change
	Cardiovascular Disease	1999	2429	430	1280	1468	188	658	855	197	9	6	-3	52	100	48	334	517
Endocrinology Diabetes, and Metabolism	437	565	128	249	296	47	175	239	64	3	2	-1	10	28	18	230	373	143
Gastroenterology	1058	1302	244	697	839	142	313	387	74	7	5	-2	41	71	30	225	420	195
Geriatric Medicine	327	242	-85	147	70	-77	171	160	-11	2	1	-1	7	11	4	173	152	-21
Hematology and Oncology	911	1412	501	513	770	257	363	593	230	6	9	3	29	40	11	360	628	268
Infectious Disease	625	773	148	351	416	65	250	321	71	9	3	-6	14	33	19	265	425	160
Nephrology	711	911	200	401	365	-36	271	497	226	6	7	1	33	42	9	229	333	104
Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine	995	1273	278	573	643	70	376	548	172	5	6	1	39	76	37	247	401	154
Rheumatology	307	415	108	181	233	52	110	155	45	2	2	0	14	25	11	159	274	115
Total	7370	9322	1952	4392	5100	708	2687	3755	1068	49	41	-8	239	426	187	2222	3523	1301



**Figure 1. | Number of fellows in United States nephrology training programs, 2002 and 2009.**

from 65 to 123, and the number of USMGs in pediatric nephrology fellowships jumped from 31 to 71 (2–3). Yet, the pediatric nephrology community has observed greater trainee attrition between the first and final year of the 3-year fellowship training period than similar pediatric specialties (12). Consequently, the median age of pediatric nephrologists has not shown a meaningful reduction during the past five years—and remains higher than that of any other pediatric specialty (13).

For today’s students, adult nephrology presents a unique set of perceived negatives. In a survey of third- and fourth-year students from five medical schools, 78% of respondents conveyed that renal pathophysiology courses were too complex, lacked relevance, or simply failed to stimulate interest (14). This finding should trouble nephrology educators. Is nephrology being taught in an outdated fashion that no longer stimulates medical students?

Many students report minimal nephrology exposure during their clinical rotations. As hospitalists care for the majority of inpatients at teaching hospitals, nephrologists will have fewer opportunities to mentor students directly. Students also perceive that nephrology patients have an excessive illness burden, posing an extraordinary workload and emotional strain for their physicians. Students cite disheartening experiences in acute care with chronic dialysis patients and have few opportunities to gain perspective on other aspects of a nephrology career, including acute kidney injury (AKI), hypertension, and transplantation. Even fewer are aware of the procedural work in nephrology, a feature that often attracts students to other specialties.

Blog postings by students, residents, and fellows highlight nephrology’s current image problem (Table 2) (1,15–16).

If highly qualified IMGs are still interested in nephrology, then why is declining interest among USMGs concerning? Since 2002, nephrology fellowship training program directors have increasingly depended on IMGs to fill fellowship positions. In 2009, 497 nephrology fellows were IMGs, up from 271 in 2002 (Figure 1) (2–3). An estimated 25% of United States physicians are IMGs (17). Because 40% of nephrologists are IMGs, nephrology is more dependent on IMGs than any other specialty except geriatric medicine.

The future of IMGs in the United States is unclear. From

**Table 2. Recent blog comments about nephrology by physicians in training**

Status	Comment	Source
1 Fellow	When you see that the residents in your program going for primary care or hospitalists are getting better job proposals and making more money than you it is kind of frustrating. Not to mention that nephrologists usually work longer hours in the hospital rounding and making consults with a workload usually heavier than other specialists.	1
2 Fellow	Someone recently told me that one of his friends who recently graduated from a nephro fellowship program is working a Hospitalist in Int Medicine as the salary was better in it compared to nephro jobs. That sucks.	1
3 Fellow	I am a second year renal fellow in a big Univ Hosp looking for options next year. From my own experience almost any specialty in medicine is seeing decreased reimbursement but the situation is more severe for nephrology... somebody has to say the real truth about this specialty; Being an AMG I should [sic] have gone for something more [sic] lucrative like HemOnc, GI or cards.	1
4 Fellow	I will be a nephrology fellow in 7 months... Overall nephrology is not a life-style oriented subspeciality - not the harshest but by no means cush at all. And as a fellow (especially first years), you do bust your chops almost regardless of where you train.	2
5 Resident	Renal medicine is hard to make a living nowadays. I'm on my second renal month and the days can be long and very stressful. The pay is ok, but considering what you have to do I'm having second thoughts about renal myself. The hours are long, people need emergent dialysis at 2am, the fellowship is very very busy.	2
6 Resident	They are by far the busiest nephrologists I've seen. Their day is typically 12 h long because they cover 5 hospitals and that includes weekend time. So, generally, I'd say they are around 60 h/wk off call and around 80 when on call. This is just one example from one group. Other groups are not this aggressive, but their salaries are not as impressive.	2
7 Resident	If you are in for the money [which ultimately determines how competitive a subspeciality is, believe it or not], forger[sic] nephrology. The AssProf [sic] of nephro said the other day by 2016 expect drastic changes in funding for dialysis.	2
8 Trainee (unspecified)	If you want to make money and enjoy life go for a hospitalist's job. you can make more than a nephrologists if you work that 3rd week also and take only one week off.	2
9 Attending	Many of the low salaries in nephrology are strictly in the USA. In Canada I started at 400k + at an academic centre! Nephrologists are much [sic], much better reimbursed in Canada vs USA and job opportunities are readily available.	2
10 Resident	I'm glad there are great people out there who love doing it, but for many residents, nephro patients are misery personified... Clearly, I'm among those who didn't enjoy my nephrology rotation. I think nephrology tends to generate strong opinions. You either love it or find it very very painful. I wouldn't consider it a lifestyle specialty by any means.	3

Sources: 1. <http://forums.studentdoctor.net/showthread.php?t=559458>  
 2. <http://forums.studentdoctor.net/showthread.php?t=340317>  
 3. <http://forums.studentdoctor.net/archive/index.php/t-199278.html>.

1996 to 2008, the number of IMGs obtaining J-1 visas declined from 11,471 to 6561 (18). Visa requirements and application processes for IMGs became more arduous after September 11, 2001 (17). As countries such as China and India create greater opportunities in medicine, more IMGs may remain in their countries of origin or return after completing graduate medical education in this country. The United States economy increasingly necessitates a two-income household, meaning that most IMGs and their spouses must secure work visas (11). Perhaps as a precursor,

the number of graduates of Canadian medical schools training in United States residency and fellowship programs dropped from 418 in 2002 to 273 in 2009 (2–3).

Academic leaders are increasingly concerned about the global “brain drain” (19). The developing world faces a shortage of 4 million health care workers (20). Nephrology in the United States benefits greatly from IMGs entering the specialty, but the countries of origin of these physicians lose an important resource.

In 2002, the United States started to expand its number

of medical students, but the total number of residency and fellowship positions funded by the Medicare program has been capped since passage of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (P.L. 105 to 33) (21–22). As the number of IMGs begins to taper, nephrology training programs will need more USMGs to compete for training positions—making it imperative to stimulate their interest in nephrology as soon as possible.

The demographics of kidney disease in the United States suggest an escalating need for nephrologists. Nephrology emerged as a specialty of acute renal failure (now called AKI) and fluid and electrolyte expertise, but evolved to become defined largely by growing populations with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD).

The recent recognition of CKD as a public health problem may be driving patients to nephrologists at earlier stages. At least 26 million Americans have some stage of CKD in 2010 (23). Incidence rates of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) among African Americans and Hispanics are nearly four times and 1.5 times greater among whites, respectively (24). The growing number of Americans, regardless of ethnicity, with diabetes and hypertension (the leading causes of CKD) will boost demand for nephrology care (25).

Paralleling the increasing prevalence of CKD, a record number of patients now has ESRD (26). In 2007, 368,544 patients were on dialysis—a 54% increase from one decade earlier. Meanwhile, mortality rates for dialysis patients have declined (27). Approximately 775,000 people are expected to be alive with ESRD in 2020, underscoring the need to train an adequate nephrology workforce in this decade.

The total number of annual kidney transplants increased from 12,451 in 1998 to 16,829 in 2009 (28). Simultaneously, the waiting list for kidneys nearly doubled to 86,167 patients. (By comparison, 16,005 patients are waiting for a liver transplant, which is the second-highest total.) Because the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education does not accredit renal transplantation fellowships, the American Society of Nephrology (ASN) and the American Society of Transplantation in 1998 took responsibility for “accrediting” these programs. The total number of renal transplant fellows dropped from 27 in 2008 to 23 in 2009 (29).

By expanding the number of United State citizens with health insurance, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PL 111 to 148) is expected to fuel demand for physicians, particularly primary care providers (30). Extending coverage to the formerly uninsured is also likely to increase the number of people diagnosed with CKD, diabetes, and hypertension. The nephrologist—a central provider for patients with CKD, ESRD, or kidney transplants—assumes a critical position in addressing the primary care needs of these patients, who tend to require frequency of contact. A care coordination role has emerged for the nephrology health care team due to the extensive co-morbidities of these patients as well as to the interdisciplinary models in dialysis and transplantation involved in their care (31).

Nephrologists are expected to become the focus of patient-centered medical homes and accountable care orga-

nizations for CKD and ESRD, analogous to the role suggested for specialists treating patients with other chronic illnesses, such as asthma or diabetes (32,33). Integral to these coordinated care delivery systems is a sufficient number of participating subspecialists to treat patients in need of specific expertise and experience—such as those with kidney disease. If there is a shortage of nephrologists and primary care physicians, who will care for patients with kidney disease?

Even before the Affordable Care Act is fully implemented, nephrologists will play a leading role in piloting models for national healthcare reform. The Medicare ESRD Program will institute bundled payments in 2011 and the first pay-for-performance system in 2012. To ensure continuation and dictate success of high-quality care in this novel payment environment, the United States needs an adequate supply of nephrologists.

To meet the projected need for nephrologists in the United States, fellowship programs should have produced an estimated 436 new nephrologists each year since 2000 (34). During the past decade, however, the American Board of Internal Medicine (which certifies internists, including nephrologists) has certified an annual average of 382 nephrologists (35). Given the growing numbers of people with CKD and ESRD as well as diabetes and hypertension—and the implications of bundled payment for Medicare ESRD and healthcare reform—the nation faces a nephrology care crisis.

Recognizing this challenge, ASN formed a Task Force on Increasing Interest in Nephrology Careers (Table 3). The task force released its final report (available at [www.asn-online.org](http://www.asn-online.org)) at ASN Renal Week in November 2010. As next steps in addressing this looming crisis, the nephrology community should:

- Develop creative educational rotations that focus on often-overlooked areas in nephrology, such as AKI, critical care nephrology, hypertension, interventional nephrology, and transplantation.

**Table 3. ASN task force on increasing interest in nephrology careers**

ASN Task Force on Increasing Interest in Nephrology Careers

Errol D. Crook, MD  
 Rochelle M. Cunningham, MD  
 Alejandro Diez, MD  
 Alyson Freitas  
 Gerald A. Hladik, MD  
 Melanie P. Hoenig, MD  
 Donald E. Kohan, MD, PhD, FASN  
 Phillip Kokemueller  
 Manish R. Maski, MD  
 Bruce A. Molitoris, MD, FASN, Chair  
 Mark G. Parker, MD  
 Anne Pesenson, MD  
 Mitchell H. Rosner, MD, FASN  
 Sharon R. Silbiger, MD  
 Harold M. Szerlip MD, FASN  
 Karen M. Warburton, MD  
 Jerry Yee, MD, FASN

- Implement strategies for increasing interest among students and residents.

- Produce an annual report on the state of the nephrology fellowship.

- Use social media to highlight the positive aspects of nephrology careers.

To improve the educational continuum in nephrology and enhance mentorship, the nephrology community should produce more teaching tools, increase faculty development, and launch awards for nephrology educators; enhance activities for physicians-in-training during ASN Renal Week, including more grants and travel support; and make a greater commitment to recruiting women and underrepresented minorities into the specialty.

These efforts are essential to restoring interest in nephrology for USMGs, maintaining its appeal among IMGs, and developing a workforce sufficient to meet future demand for renal care. A nephrology fellow who took the ASN In-Training Examination in 2009 observed:

“I am mostly satisfied because of the vast array of opportunities to help improve the field, including contributing to clinical care, medical education, and research. Yet, nephrology is very far behind other medical fields in redefining its pathophysiology based on molecular mechanisms instead of histology, as well as its need to establish a more sophisticated foundation and perspective for clinical research, like oncology and cardiology has achieved. Related to all of this is the difficulty in communicating the complicated field of renal pathophysiology to medical students in a way that excites them and attracts them to the specialty. Established physicians and physician-scientists are of critical importance to act as mentors to any prospective renal fellow, from undergraduate through internal medicine residency” (36).

Ultimately, the future of nephrology and the health of millions of people with kidney disease will depend on the ability of each nephrologist to help students and residents love the specialty.

#### Acknowledgments

The opinions expressed in this manuscript are those of the authors and not necessarily the opinions of their institutions or the American Society of Nephrology. The authors thank Adrienne Lea for her contributions to this paper.

#### Disclosures

None.

#### References

1. Student Doctor Network Forums. Nephrology? 2005. (Accessed September 1, 2010, at <http://forums.studentdoctor.net/archive/index.php/t-199278.html>)
2. Brotherton SE, Etzel SI: Graduate Medical Education, 2009–2010. *JAMA* 304: 1255–1270, 2010
3. Brotherton SE, Rockney PH, Etzel SI: Graduate Medical Education, 2002–2003. *JAMA* 290: 1197–1202, 2003
4. American Association of Medical Colleges (AAMC). Electronic Residency Specialty Application 2010. Washington, DC
5. Hauer KE, Fagan MJ, Kernan W, Mintz M, Durning SJ: Internal medicine clerkship directors' perceptions about student interest in internal medicine careers. *J Gen Intern Med* 23: 1101–1104, 2008
6. Hauer KE, Durning SJ, Kernan WN, Fagan M, Mintz M, O'Sullivan PS, Battistone M, DeFer T, Elnicki M, Harrell H, Reddy S, Boscardin C, Schwartz M: Factors associated with medical students' career choices regarding internal medicine. *JAMA* 300: 1154–1164, 2008
7. Newton DA, Grayson MS, Thompson LF: The variable influence of lifestyle and income on medical students' career specialty choices: data from two U.S. medical schools, 1998–2004. *Acad Med* 80: 809–814, 2003
8. Dorsey ER, Jarjoura D, Rutecki GW: Influence of controllable lifestyle on recent trends in specialty choice by US medical students. *JAMA* 290: 1173–1178, 2003
9. McDonald FS, West CP, Popkave C, Kolars JC: Educational debt and reported career plans among internal medicine residents. *Ann Intern Med* 149: 416–420, 2008
10. West CP, Drefahl MM, Popkave C, Kolars JC: Internal medicine resident self-report of factors associated with career decisions. *J Gen Intern Med* 24: 946–949, 2009
11. Warren E, Tyagi AW: The Two-Income Trap: Why Middle Class Mothers and Fathers are Going Broke. New York: Basic Books, 2002
12. Weinstein R, Reidy K, Norwood V, Mahan J: Factors Influencing Pediatric Nephrology Trainee Entry into the Workforce. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 5: 1770–1774, 2010
13. American Board of Pediatrics. Workforce Data 2009–2010. 2010. (Accessed October 13, 2010, at <https://www.abp.org/abpwebsite/stats/wrkfr/workforce09.pdf>)
14. Rosner M, Parker M, Kohan D: Nephrology as a Career Choice: A Survey of Medical Students. *J Am Soc Neph* 20 (s): SA PO2867 (abstract), 2009
15. Student Doctor Network Forums. What is the future prospectus for a Nephrology Career? 2008. (Accessed September 1, 2010, at <http://forums.studentdoctor.net/showthread.php?t=559458>)
16. Student Doctor Network Forums. Nephrology. Give me an insight please. 2006. (Accessed September 1, 2010, at <http://forums.studentdoctor.net/showthread.php?t=340317>)
17. American Medical Association IMG Governing Council. International Medical Graduates in American Medicine: Contemporary Challenges and Opportunities. Washington, DC: American Medical Association, 2010
18. Educational Commission on Foreign Medical Graduates. 2009 Annual Report. 2010. (Accessed September 14, 2010 at <http://www.ecfm.org/annuals/ECFMG2009.pdf>)
19. Mullan F: The metrics of the physician brain drain. *N Engl J Med* 353: 1810–1818, 2005
20. Cohen JJ: The Role and Contributions of IMGs: A U.S. Perspective. *Acad Med* 81: S17–S21, 2006
21. Balanced Budget Act of 1997. Pub. L. no 105–33, 1997
22. American Association of Medical Colleges. U.S. Medical School Applicants and Students 1982–83 to 2009–2010. 2009
23. U S Renal Data System, USRDS 2010 Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda MD, 2010
24. U S Renal Data System, USRDS 2010 Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda MD, 2010
25. U S Renal Data System, USRDS 2010 Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda MD, 2010
26. U S Renal Data System, USRDS 2009 Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda MD, 2009
27. U S Renal Data System, USRDS 2010 Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda MD, 2010
28. Health Resources and Services Administration Organ Pro-

- curement and Transplant Network. Annual Data Report: Transplants in the U.S. by State. 2010. (Accessed October 14, 2010 at <http://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/latestData/rptData.asp>)
29. Wright, Courtney. American Society of Transplantation. Personal Correspondence. September 21, 2010
  30. Inglehart J: Reform and the Health Care Workforce — Current Capacity, *Future Demand N Engl J Med* 361: e38, 2009
  31. DuBose T, Behrens MT, Berns A, Klotman P, Yee Y, Campbell R: The Nephrology–Primary Care Interface: Providing Coordinated Care for Chronic Kidney Disease. *Nephrology Self Assessment Program* 9: 1–4, 2010
  32. Casalino LP, Rittenhouse DR, Gillies RR, Shortell SM: Specialist physician practices as patient-centered medical homes. *N Engl J Med* 362: 1555–1558, 2010
  33. DuBose T, Behrens MT, Berns A, Davis C, Goldfarb S, Hostetter T, Klotman P, Linas S, Owens S, Szczech L, Himmelfarb J: The Patient-Centered Medical Home and Nephrology. *J Am Soc Neph* 20: 681–682, 2009
  34. Himmelfarb J, Berns A, Szczech L, Wesson D: Cost, quality, and value: the changing political economy of dialysis care. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 18: 2021–2027, 2007
  35. Neilson EG, Hull AR, Wish JB, Neylan JF, Sherman D, Suki WN: The ad hoc committee report on estimating the future workforce and training requirements for nephrology. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 8 (5 suppl 9): S1–4, 1997
  36. Parker M, Owens S, Rosner M: Nephrology as a Career Choice: An ASN Fellow Membership Survey. *J Am Soc Neph*. 20 (s): SA PO2868, 2009

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at [www.cjasn.org](http://www.cjasn.org).