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Summary

Background and objectives Renal disease disproportionately affects African-American patients. Trust has been implicated as an important factor in patient outcomes. Higher levels of trust and better interpersonal care have been reported when race of patient and physician are concordant. The purpose of this analysis was to examine trends in the racial background of U.S. medical school graduates, internal medicine residents, nephrology fellows, and patients with ESRD.

Design, setting, participants, & measurements Data for medical school graduates were obtained from the Association of American Medical Colleges and data for internal medicine and nephrology trainees from GME Track. ESRD data were obtained from U.S. Renal Data System (USRDS) annual reports.

Results A significant disparity continues to exist between the proportional race makeup of African-American nephrology fellows (3.8%) and ESRD patients (32%). The low numbers of African-American nephrology fellows, and consequently new nephrologists, in light of the increase in ESRD patients has important implications for patient-centered nephrology care.

Conclusions Efforts are needed to increase minority recruitment into nephrology training programs, to more closely balance the racial background of trainees and patients in hopes of fostering improved trust between ESRD caregivers and patients, increasing access to care, alleviating ESRD health care disparities, and improving patient care.


Introduction

Renal disease disproportionately affects African-American patients in the United States. African Americans make up approximately 13% of the U.S. population, but comprise approximately 32% of the U.S. ESRD population (1). A review by Powe highlights the continued disproportionate effects of kidney disease on African Americans and its associated challenges (2). One of the primary goals of the Healthy People 2010 initiative is to eliminate health care disparities; chronic kidney disease (CKD) was designated as one of the focus areas (3). It has been strongly hypothesized that more concordance of patient and physician race or ethnic background may help eliminate health disparities, and increasing health care provider ethnic diversity has been a suggested target strategy (3).

Trust, a critical factor in the therapeutic relationship between patients and their practitioners (4), is associated with willingness to seek care and adhere to recommended treatments. Increased trust occurs when patients and practitioners share similar racial backgrounds, a situation that leads to better communication, increased patient satisfaction, and a greater sense of participation in the visit (4–6). In addition, African-American physicians have a greater tendency to serve African-American patients, thereby becoming a source of care for this underserved population, which increases access to care and potentially improves outcomes (7).

A goal for many years has been to increase the number of African-American fellows in nephrology programs in an effort to improve health care delivery to an important population with CKD (5,8). The purpose of this analysis was to examine the trends in the racial backgrounds of ESRD patients and nephrology fellows and discuss the implications of these trends on ESRD care.

Materials and Methods

Demographic data regarding internal medicine residents and nephrology fellowship trainees were obtained from the National Graduate Medical Education (NGME) Census obtained through GME Track. This is an internet-based system administered jointly by the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) and the American Medical Association (AMA). Information from the GME Track survey is published in the annual medical education issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association* (9–19). The most recent data are for the 2008 to 2009 academic year and included 141 Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)-approved adult nephrology fellowship programs (19). The data for residents and
fellows are for the total number in all years of training.

Demographic data regarding medical school graduates were obtained from the AAMC Data Warehouse available online (http://www.aamc.org/data/facts/enrollmentgraduate/start.htm). The medical student data are for graduates in a given year.

Demographic data regarding ESRD patients were obtained from the annual data report of ESRD and CKD published by the U.S. Renal Data System (USRDS) (1). The USRDS is a national data system funded directly by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) in conjunction with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) that collects, analyzes, and distributes information about ESRD in the United States.

Results

The number and percent of African-American medical school graduates, internal medicine residents, nephrology fellows, and ESRD patients is displayed in Table 1. Between 2002 and 2009, the number of African-American medical school graduates remained stable with a range of 1012 to 1123 graduates (6.5% to 7.1%).

Between 1999 and 2008, there were approximately 20,000 to 22,000 residents each year in ACGME-accredited internal medicine training programs. Of these internal medicine residents, African Americans comprised 4.8% to 6.3% during that time, with numbers of African-American trainees ranging between 1055 and 1336. The 2000 to 2001 academic year marks the peak of the number of African-American internal medicine trainees (6.3% and 1336 total) and more recently, the percentage of African-American internal medicine residents has reached a plateau at approximately 5.5%.

Between 1999 and 2008, the number of nephrology fellows nationwide increased 19.8%, from 678 to 812 (data not shown). The number of African-American nephrology fellows during that time frame ranged from 26 to 51, varying from 3.8% to 6.4% of all nephrology trainees (Figure 1). There was nearly a doubling in the number of African-American nephrology fellows between 1999 and 2006. However, the percentage of African-American nephrology fellows decreased to 6.1% in 2007 and 3.8% in 2008.

The point-prevalent counts of African Americans with ESRD have steadily increased between 1999 and 2007 with an overall increase of 44,402 patients. The percentage of ESRD patients that are African American has remained approximately 32% as the number of ESRD patients in other racial groups has risen. These data highlight the continued disparity between African-American patients with ESRD and the number of African-American fellows training to become nephrologists (Figure 1).

Discussion

Disparities continue to exist between the racial background of ESRD patients and that of the physi-

![Figure 1. Point-prevalence percentage of African-American ESRD patients and percentage of African-American nephrology fellows in a given year.](image_url)
nephrologist-patient relationships and quality of care. Specific data regarding African-American ESRD patients has important implications for ESRD care. The degree to which racial discordance plays a role in the adherence to recommendations (33–35). The decreased use of recommended preventive services (29,31,32). Perceived discrimination may be associated with stress and maladaptive health behaviors (29,31,32). Trust can play an integral role in patient-physician relationships. A patient’s trust in his or her physician may help improve clinical outcomes (6,28–32). Distrust in health care is often cited as a major contributing factor in racial disparities because it may interfere with access to medical care and adherence to medical advice given by health care providers (30). This distrust can translate to decreased access to and knowledge of medical treatments as well as lack of willingness to adhere to medical advice and to participate in clinical trials and studies. In addition, distrust in one’s health care provider is associated with decreased use of recommended preventive services (29,31,32). Perceived discrimination may be associated with stress and maladaptive health behaviors that could pose barriers to success in delivering care and adherence to recommendations (33–35). The degree to which racial discordance plays a role in the care relationship in nephrology is uncertain. Furthermore, there is no evidence that trust cannot develop between racially discordant patients and providers. Low numbers of African-American nephrology trainees and consequently low numbers of new African-American nephrologists, both academic and non-academic, in the midst of increasing numbers of African-American ESRD patients has important implications for ESRD care. Specific data regarding nephrologist-patient relationships and quality of care when race is concordant and trends in African-American nephrologists’ practice locations are lacking and are potential subjects for future study. Nevertheless, with the most recent data showing a decrease in the number and percentage of African-American nephrology fellows in the last year, the importance of increasing minority recruitment in nephrology is magnified. However, increasing minority nephrologists will not alone solve health care disparities in ESRD. It has been recommended that movement toward culturally competent care systems be implemented nationally to address racial disparities in health care (36). In the meantime, health care providers and institutions need to strive for accessible and quality care according to the Healthy People 2010 charge (3).

Although methods for increasing cultural competence, improving equality of health care, and expanding access to care are being explored nationally, simultaneous efforts are needed to increase minority recruitment to more closely balance the race of trainees and patients (2). Such initiatives could be associated with improved patient outcomes in the ESRD program but may also have an effect on other areas of health care. Multiple strategies are needed to increase minority recruitment to the health care workforce. As suggested by the Sullivan Commission on Diversity in the Healthcare Workforce (37), the culture of health professions schools must change with new and non-traditional paths to the health professions explored. The report stressed that commitments to workforce diversity must be at the highest levels.

A greater emphasis on medical school recruitment efforts must provide the foundation for diversifying the physician workforce. Because of continued disparity in primary and secondary education among minorities, there have been initiatives to strengthen the educational pipeline by partnering academic medical centers with local school districts and colleges (38). Patterson and colleagues emphasize the great necessity for health profession-public school partnerships to increase minority access to health careers and provide a framework for the development and sustainment of such collaborations (39). Continued and, in some cases, expansion of funding of such initiatives to strengthen the educational pipeline are vital components in the effort to increase minority physicians. Partnering with minority medical student organizations such as the Student National Medical Association (SNMA) may also prove beneficial (40). Working with SNMA on local and national levels would promote earlier minority exposure to the field and provide a gateway to valuable mentoring relationships.

Other valuable resources are diversity councils. Nephrology fellowship program directors should work closely with already existing diversity councils at their institutions or work toward the development of diversity councils to identify specific challenges to minority medical student, resident, and fellow recruitment and minority faculty development (41). It is critical that minorities are recruited to stay in academ-
ics and are represented on medical school faculties and key institutional committees particularly in specialties such as nephrology in which racial disparities exist between patients and physicians. Nephrology fellows should be encouraged and supported to enter academics as clinicians, educators, or researchers.

In conclusion, continued racial disparities in CKD exist with a disproportionate number of African-American patients having kidney disease. Given the integral role of patient and physician race concordance in the therapeutic relationship, efforts to increase the number of African-American nephrology trainees may help to optimize patient care and improve outcomes.
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