Age-related Blood Pressure Patterns and Blood Pressure Variability among Hemodialysis Patients
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Background and objectives: Despite the high prevalence of cardiovascular disease among hemodialysis patients, the relationship between age and blood pressure (BP) is not well understood. It was postulated that the relationship of BP to age differs among hemodialysis patients versus the general population and that there is significant variability in dialysis unit BP measurements.

Design, setting, participants, & measurements: To explore this hypothesis, the patterns of systolic, diastolic, mean arterial, and pulse pressures in the general population using data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey participants (n = 9242) were compared with those in a cohort of hemodialysis patients (n = 9849).

Results: In contrast to the increase in systolic BP with age in the general population, systolic BP was elevated in young hemodialysis patients and declined slightly among the elderly. The inverted “U”-shape relationship between age and diastolic BP in the general population was absent in hemodialysis patients. Diastolic BP was elevated among hemodialysis patients <50 yr of age and declined with advancing age. Mean arterial and pulse pressures were elevated among young hemodialysis patients and exhibited less age dependency than in the general population. Variability in BP within patients was similar to that between patients.

Conclusions: The relationship of BP to age differed from that in the general population. The variability in dialysis unit BP measurements may limit their use in managing hypertension and predicting outcomes. Nevertheless, dialysis unit BP measurements are necessary to minimize acute complications during the dialysis procedure.


The National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (NHANES) (1) and the Framingham Study (2) have contributed significantly to our understanding of the relationships between aging, blood pressure (BP), and cardiovascular disease (CVD). Unfortunately, these relationships have been less well characterized among hemodialysis (HD) patients despite their high prevalence of cardiovascular disease (CVD). Unfortunately, these relationships have been less well characterized among hemodialysis (HD) patients despite their high prevalence of cardiovascular disease. Hypertension is a modifiable risk factor for CVD mortality in the general population, epidemiologic studies have failed to confirm similar relationships among HD patients. Observational data have suggested that HD patients with systolic BP (SBP) between 150 and 159 mmHg experience lower mortality than their normotensive peers, and there has been no evidence of increased mortality until SBP ≥180 mmHg (4–7). Speculation about the seemingly paradoxical relationship between BP and mortality has centered on the high prevalence of left ventricular systolic dysfunction; however, definitive prospective studies are lacking (3,8–11).

The NHANES and Framingham Studies demonstrated that steady increases in SBP and gradual declines in diastolic BP (DBP) accompany aging in the general population. Hypertensive subjects <50 yr of age in the general population usually exhibit systolic and diastolic hypertension and a narrowed pulse pressure (PP), reflecting increased peripheral vascular resistance. Additionally, older individuals tend to exhibit isolated systolic hypertension and an elevated PP, reflecting reduced elastic artery compliance. Among HD patients, limited observational data indicate that the relationships between BP and age may differ from that of the general population (12–14). However, a rigorous analysis analogous to the NHANES survey investigating these relationships has not been previously published. The high prevalence of accelerated vascular disease and left ventricular hypertrophy among young HD patients is
consistent with the hypothesis that BP patterns may be similar to those found in older members of the general population.

Several recent studies have suggested that routine measurement of BP within the dialysis unit may have limited utility in the management of hypertension and in predicting clinical outcomes (15–17). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that there may be significant variability in routine BP measurements made in the dialysis unit.

The present study explored age-dependent BP parameters among HD patients as compared with the general population by addressing the following questions: 1) Do the relationships between age and BP measurements differ and if so how? 2) How variable are the values for different BP parameters within individual HD patients and across HD patients?

Materials and Methods

Study Sample

We studied all incident patients ≥20 yr of age who began HD at a facility operated by Dialysis Clinic Inc (DCI), a national, not-for-profit dialysis provider between January 1, 1999 and December 31, 2004 and had survived ≥150 d. Patients were excluded if they had previously been on peritoneal dialysis or received a kidney transplant, if they began maintenance HD outside of DCI, or if data on gender, age, or date of diagnosis of end-stage renal disease were missing.

Blood Pressure Measurements

BP measurements were collected during days 31 to 120 of HD as described previously (18). Therefore, patients included in the primary analysis survived 30 d beyond the last day of the 90-d period in which BP measurements were collected. This 30-d lag reduced potential bias associated with changes in BP secondary to a fatal illness. To assess potential selection bias that may have occurred by excluding patients who died between days 120 and 150, we conducted a secondary analysis of all incident patients who survived ≥120 d. Measurements were taken immediately before and after each HD session using routine methods that did not follow a standardized BP measurement technique. SBP and DBP values outside the ranges of 50 to 300 mm and 10 to 150 mmHg, respectively, were excluded. PP was calculated as SBP − DBP, and mean arterial pressure (MAP) as 3/2SBP + 1/3DBP. Measurements on each patient were averaged to create a cross-sectional dataset for comparison with the general population using NHANES public access data files for 1999 to 2004 (19). We included data from non-Hispanic black and non-Hispanic white NHANES participants ≥20 yr of age in the 1999 to 2004 annual surveys. NHANES measurements were collected at Mobile Examination Centers using American Heart Association procedures (20). Three measurements are usually taken, with the first measurement being dropped before averaging. The NHANES quality control procedures include initial extensive training, quarterly recertification, a procedural checklist, and continuous review of the data for systematic error (21).

Statistical Analyses

NHANES uses a nation-wide weighted, nested sampling design; therefore, a weighted analysis was used to compute means and confidence intervals (CIs). Sampling weights for our 6-yr analysis period were calculated as two-thirds the 4-yr weights provided in the 1999 to 2000 and 2001 to 2002 datasets and as one-third the 2-yr weights provided in the 2003 to 2004 dataset (21). Masked Variance Units at the cluster and strata level were used to estimate sampling errors by the Taylor series method (21). We calculated means and 95% CI for race, gender, and age group for the NHANES data (weighted) and DCI cross-sectional dataset (unweighted) using the SURVEYMEANS procedure in SAS, version 9.1 (22). Weighted percent composition of the sample for demographic characteristics was calculated using the SAS SURVEYFREQ procedure. Comparison of BP parameters among NHANES participants and DCI patients was achieved by examining overlap of 95% CI for the means and by examining 95% CI for the differences between DCI and NHANES means. Linear and quadratic polynomial regression analyses were used to assess whether mean BP parameters showed trends over age groups.

Using BP measurements obtained from day 31 to day 120, we fitted separate hierarchical linear models to each BP parameter to assess the variation in BP within and across patients over a 90-d period. Models included a random intercept representing between patient variation and fixed effects for race/ethnicity, gender, disease, and day of the week, i.e., Monday/Tuesday versus Wednesday/Thursday or versus Friday/Saturday measurements accounting for potential differences in interdialytic weight gains and postdialysis weights. We assumed that the variation in respective BP parameters across patients and the residual within-patient variation were normally and independently distributed with mean equal to zero and variance equal to \( \sigma^2_p \) and \( \sigma^2_e \) respectively. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were calculated to summarize the correlation of measurements within patients [ICC = \( \frac{\sigma^2_p}{\sigma^2_p + \sigma^2_e} \)]. We also fitted three heterogeneous variance models for each BP parameter where both the between- and within-patient variance components were allowed to be different for each category of 1) race, 2) gender, or 3) age group. Likelihood ratio tests were used to determine whether variance components were different with respect to race, gender, or age. The MIXED procedure of SAS, version 9.1, was used to estimate variance components based on restricted maximum likelihood (22).

Results

Study Sample

All of the results presented below refer to the primary analysis where we included all incident patients ≥20 yr of age who survived ≥150 d. The results of the secondary analysis of the incident cohort who survived ≥120 d were similar in all respects (data not shown). A comparison of demographic characteristics of DCI study participants, U.S. Renal Data System (USRDS) incident HD patients during the study period and the 1999 to 2004 NHANES survey participants is shown (Table 1). The percentage of blacks was higher among DCI patients (39.0%) versus USRDS (29.6%) and NHANES (12.8%). The 95% CI for the relative frequencies among NHANES participants did not overlap the DCI study sample or the USRDS population with respect to the distributions of age, gender, and race. HD patients were older and more likely to be black males compared with the general population. Average SBP in the general population differed from those of HD patients except among the elderly (Figure 1). The age-dependent linear increase of average SBP may be similar to those found in older members of the general population.
between predialysis and postdialysis SBPs among patients ≥70 yr of age.

DBP among NHANES participants increased progressively from the third through the fifth decade and then slowly decreased among the elderly. In contrast, DBP was elevated among young HD patients and decreased with advancing age ($P < 0.001$). Among those between the ages of 50 and 69, DBP was similar in NHANES participants and HD patients. Predialysis values of DBP were higher than the postdialysis values ($P < 0.05$). However, the reductions in DBP with HD were less than the corresponding changes in SBP.

Changes in MAP and PP by age are shown in Figure 2. Among the general population, MAP steadily increased from the age of 20 to 50 or 60 yr and then tended to stabilize. Conversely, MAP values were highest among young HD patients and then declined slightly with advancing age ($P < 0.001$, quadratic age effect). Among those ≥60 yr of age, MAP was similar in both groups.

**Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the DCI study sample ($n = 9849$), of the USRDS incident cohort ($n = 531,945$) and of the NHANES sample ($n = 9242$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DCI (%)</th>
<th>USRDS (%)$^a$</th>
<th>NHANES (%)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (yr)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-44</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cause of ESRD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/missing</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Percentage is based on the entire 1999 to 2004 USRDS incident hemodialysis population, except for age, which is restricted to those 20 yr of age or older.

$^b$Weighted percent calculated using NHANES sampling weights.

![Figure 1. Systolic and diastolic BP versus age in the DCI and NHANES populations.](image-url)
PP, a marker of arterial stiffness influenced in part by reflected waves, exhibited a unique pattern among HD patients (23). PP was markedly elevated even among young HD patients but tended to remain stable until age 50 yr. Thereafter, PP tended to increase because of the progressive increase in SBP and the decrease in DBP. The PP of a 20-yr-old NHW female on dialysis was equivalent to that of a 60-yr-old NHW female in the general population. PP continued to increase to age 60 yr, after which it tended to stabilize. Among NHANES participants, PP remained stable through the third and fourth decades and then increased with advancing age. The curves of PP versus age in HD patients and NHANES participants crossed between the ages of 60 and 79 yr. Among NHANES participants ≥80 yr of age, PP values exceeded those observed in HD patients. This may reflect increased arterial stiffness among HD patients. Among NHANES participants, the increase in PP with age was attributable to increasing SBP and a stable DBP. In contrast, among HD patients ≥50 yr of age, the increase in PP predominately reflected a decrease in DBP.

Blood Pressure Variability among HD Patients

We assessed variability in BP values within and between patients. Within-patient variation refers to visit-to-visit variations about a patient’s average BP, whereas between-patient variability refers to how much each patient’s average BP differs from the population mean.

Standard deviation (SD) of predialysis and postdialysis SBP, DBP, PP, MAP, and the respective ICCs is shown (Table 2).

The magnitude of the within-patient SD exceeded the between-patient SD, indicating that BP values for a given patient may vary as much or more than those observed across patients. The ICCs, the proportion of total variance that is between-patient variability, for predialysis BP parameters were 0.50 for SBP, 0.42 for DBP, 0.47 for MAP, and 0.44 for PP. Postdialysis ICCs were 0.02 to 0.03 units smaller as within-patient SD values increased and between-patient SD values decreased. Average BP parameter values were 3% to 5% lower postdialysis. Variance components were affected by race, gender, and age (each P < 0.001). However, differences were small and likely of little clinical significance. Estimated SDs for each race did not differ from those in Table 2 by more than ±1.0 mmHg, and separate SDs for genders were within ±0.9 mmHg of the Table 2 values. Age effects were slightly larger with estimates for different age groups within ±2.4 mmHg of the Table 2 values. Predialysis SBP and DBP varied by the day of the week. Predialysis SBP and DBP were each 1 to 3 mmHg lower on both Wednesday/Thursday and Friday/Saturday compared with Monday/Tuesday (each P < 0.05). There were no significant differences between predialysis SBP and DBP, respectively, on Wednesday/Thursday versus Friday/Saturday. Postdialysis SBP and DBP did not vary significantly by the day of the week. In supplemental analyses using a 120-d follow-up inclusion rule, the SDs for all predialysis and postdialysis BP parameters were always within ±0.005 units of those in Table 2.

A graphic representation of the variability in predialysis SBP and DBP observed between and within patients in a random sample of 25 HD patients is shown (Figure 3). Approximately 12% of HD patients had increasing or decreasing trends in SBP during days 31 to 120 of HD.

The use of antihypertensive medications among HD patients in this study stratified by age is shown (Table 3). The use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blocking agents, calcium channel blockers, clonidine, vasodilators (hydralazine and minoxidil), and the number of antihypertensive medications decreased with age (each P < 0.05). This is...
Discussion

The present study demonstrates that the relationships between BP and age differ between HD patients and the general population. In contrast to the increase in SBP with age in the general population, SBP was elevated in all but the oldest HD patients. The inverse “U”-shaped relationship between age and DBP in the general population was absent in HD patients. DBP, MAP, and PP were elevated among young HD patients and demonstrated less age dependency than the general population. In contrast to the general population, there were only small differences in BP among HD patients, stratified by race and gender (7).

The flattening of the relationship between BP and age among HD patients may reflect acceleration of CVD in young patients. Studies using aplanar tonometry support the contention that central artery stiffness at any age is greater among HD patients versus the general population. Among young HD patients, other correlated but less direct measures of arterial stiffness,
such as medial arterial calcification and left ventricular hypertrophy, are also highly prevalent (11,29,30).

Elderly HD patients have lower SBPs and MAPs than young HD patients. PP is stable or unchanged because of decreased DBP. This is consistent with persistence of low elastic compliance among older HD patients. The decline in MAP among elderly HD patients is similar to that observed in the oldest hypertensive groups in the Framingham study (31). The observed reduction in MAP likely represents a fall in cardiac output. However, the standard equation for estimating MAP noninvasively may lead to a significant underestimation (32).

We observed a tight correlation between predialysis and postdialysis BP values, regardless of age. However, many dialysis patients experience highly variable BP values. The average individual predialysis SBP variation was similar to the variance across the study group. These findings are in concert with reports that there is a large amount of noise in routine dialysis unit BP measurements (15,16,33). Not surprisingly, dialysis unit BP measurements are imprecise estimates of ABP (15). Predialysis SBP values overestimate ABP (15). Although postdialysis BP measurements are less biased, they agree poorly with ABP, precluding their use in precisely predicting ABP (15,16) Peixoto et al. reported better reproducibility of BP with ABP monitoring versus routine predialysis and postdialysis BP measurements (15). Given the greater reproducibility of ABP monitoring, its use may lead to a reduction in errors classifying HD patients as normotensive or hypertensive. Although ABP monitoring is the most accurate method for studying BP in HD patients, variability remains significant and there is poor reproducibility of the nocturnal decline in BP. The variability of BP among HD patients appears to be significantly greater than that observed in the general population. The variability within HD patients was as great as that between HD patients. The large amount of within patient variation is depicted in Figure 3.

Given the large variation in routine dialysis unit BP measurements, it is not surprising that they are unable to predict the presence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) (15). Standardized dialysis unit BP measurements methods also exhibit weak performance at predicting LVH (16). Standardized dialysis unit BP measurements were associated with 14.3/7 mmHg lower predialysis and 13.6/4.4 mmHg lower postdialysis BP compared with routine measurement of BP in the dialysis unit (34).

Overall, SBP measured outside the dialysis unit are a more powerful predictor of LVH (16) and mortality (35).

Because of the large variability, averaging multiple isolated predialysis BP measurements has limited utility in managing antihypertensive therapy. Isolated postdialysis BP measurements have greater reproducibility and are more useful in guiding therapy (17). Reduction of classification errors may require 24- to 44-h monitoring or home BP monitoring. Two to three weeks of averaged conventional dialysis unit BP measurements unit may improve the correlation with 24-h ABP monitoring (36,37). Home BP monitoring outperforms routine dialysis unit BP measurements, even when averaged over a 2-wk period (38).

Individual patient and population SDs approached the magnitude of the Joint National Commission hypertension categories (39). SDs among essential hypertensive patients undergoing 24-h ABP monitoring were lower than we observed, ranging from 9.3 to 14.5 mmHg (40,41) and were dominated by diurnal variation. Nonrandom daytime to nighttime variation was not represented in the present study. The large variation in routine dialysis unit BP measurements makes it difficult to distinguish random effects from interventions, including assessing the effects of antihypertensive medications. ABP monitoring and home BP monitoring will likely prove to be useful additions in assessing the response to antihypertensive therapy among HD patients.

The general population exhibits significant within-patient variability in clinic BP measurements. Klungel et al. observed within-patient SD of SBP (6.3) and DBP (5.4) annually between visits (24). Rosner and Polk observed within-patient SD of SBP (7.1 mmHg) and DBP (5.1 mmHg) between visits 1 to 7 d apart (25). ABP monitoring has been widely advocated for assessing BP in patients with chronic kidney disease (26) and the general population (27,28).

The present study has several unique strengths. It is one of the largest studies of BP among HD patients. Although size alone does not confer superiority, the demographic diversity and the fact that the large patients were cared for by academic and private nephrologists throughout the nation increased the study’s generalizability. DCI’s proprietary database (DARWIN) contains range checks ensuring high-quality patient data characteristics.

The present study also has several limitations. Unidentified confounders may have influenced the relationship between BP and age. In addition, we did not have a formal assessment of comorbidity. Although the study sample was similar to that of the USRDS HD population, blacks were overrepresented. We did not include any home or ABP measurements. In contrast to NHANES, dialysis unit BP measurements were not standardized which may impact comparisons between the general pop-

---

**Table 3. Percentage of participants on antihypertensive medications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>ACEi/ARB</th>
<th>β blockers</th>
<th>Clonidine</th>
<th>Calcium channel blockers</th>
<th>Vasodilators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-39</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>55.7% (49.9-61.3)</td>
<td>57.9% (52.2-63.5)</td>
<td>34.6% (29.3-40.2)</td>
<td>57.9% (52.2-63.5)</td>
<td>15.95% (12.0-20.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>54.9% (52.4-57.5)</td>
<td>55.3% (52.7-57.8)</td>
<td>26.5% (24.3-28.8)</td>
<td>48.7% (46.1-51.2)</td>
<td>15.0% (13.3-16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>46.6% (44.8-48.5)</td>
<td>51.6% (49.7-53.4)</td>
<td>16.6% (15.2-18.0)</td>
<td>43.2% (41.4-45.0)</td>
<td>8.1% (7.2-9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>4727</td>
<td>49.9% (48.4-51.3)</td>
<td>53.2% (51.7-54.6)</td>
<td>20.9% (19.8-22.1)</td>
<td>45.9% (44.5-47.3)</td>
<td>10.9% (10.0-11.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ulation and HD patients. Antihypertensive therapy may influence BP measurements and the relationship between age and BP. Lastly, oscillometric measurement of BP can overestimate diastolic pressure, which is proportional to the degree of arterial stiffness (42).

In summary, compared with the general population, HD patients exhibited a reduction in the age dependency of SBP, DBP, MAP, and PP. Young dialysis patients demonstrated a relationship between SBP and DBP consistent with a combination of increased peripheral vascular resistance and decreased vascular compliance, whereas the pattern in older patients suggested additional decreases in vascular compliance and cardiac output. BP variation was high and individual SBP variability was equivalent to the overall population variability and approaches Joint National Committee VII categorical ranges in magnitude.

Conclusion

Optimal BP management in HD patients aimed at reducing CVD mortality will require rigorous, randomized trials with well-defined BP goals and attention to the methods, location of BP measurements, and techniques for following participants. Routine predialysis and postdialysis BP measurements are so variable that they have a limited ability to predict CVD risk and are poor measures of titrating drug and nonpharmacologic therapy. A recent meta-analysis has demonstrated that these measurements are imprecise estimates of ABPs (15) and may have limited utility for assessing CVD risk and guiding therapy. Standardization of predialysis and postdialysis BP measurements may improve their utility for assessing CVD risk and guiding therapy. CVD risk stratification and individualization of BP goals and treatment modalities may also have to rely on home blood and ABP measurements and assessment of arterial stiffness. Nevertheless, BP must be measured in the dialysis unit to minimize the risk of acute complications related to hypertension and hypotension during the dialysis procedure.
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