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Abstract

Background and objectives The kidney protective effects of renin-angiotensin system inhibitors are greater in people with higher levels of albuminuria at treatment initiation. Whether this applies to sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors is uncertain, particularly in patients with a very high urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR ≥3000 mg/g). We examined the association between baseline UACR and the effects of the SGLT2 inhibitor, canagliflozin, on efficacy and safety outcomes in the CREDENCE and Renal Endpoints in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation (CREDENCE) randomized controlled trials.

Design, setting, participants, & measurements The study enrolled 4401 participants with type 2 diabetes, an eGFR of 30 to <90 ml/min per 1.73 m², and UACR of >300 to 5000 mg/g. Using Cox proportional hazards regression, we examined the relative and absolute effects of canagliflozin on kidney, cardiovascular, and safety outcomes according to a baseline UACR of ≤1000 mg/g (n = 2348), >1000 to <3000 mg/g (n = 1547), and ≥3000 mg/g (n = 506). In addition, we examined the effects of canagliflozin on UACR itself, eGFR slope, and the intermediate outcomes of glycated hemoglobin, body weight, and systolic BP.

Results Overall, higher UACR was associated with higher rates of kidney and cardiovascular events. Canagliflozin reduced efficacy outcomes for all UACR levels, with no evidence that relative benefits varied between levels. For example, canagliflozin reduced the primary composite outcome by 24% (hazard ratio [HR], 0.76; 95% confidence interval [95% CI], 0.56 to 1.04) in the lowest UACR subgroup, 28% (HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.56 to 0.93) in the UACR subgroup >1000 to <3000 mg/g, and 37% (HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.47 to 0.84) in the highest subgroup (P heterogeneity = 0.55). Absolute risk reductions for kidney outcomes were greater in participants with higher baseline albuminuria; the number of primary composite events prevented across ascending UACR categories were 17 (95% CI, 3 to 38), 45 (95% CI, 9 to 81), and 119 (95% CI, 35 to 202) per 1000 treated participants over 2.6 years (P heterogeneity = 0.02). Rates of kidney-related adverse events were lower with canagliflozin, with a greater relative reduction in higher UACR categories.

Conclusions Canagliflozin safely reduces kidney and cardiovascular events in people with type 2 diabetes and severely increased albuminuria. In this population, the relative kidney benefits were consistent over a range of albuminuria levels, with greatest absolute kidney benefit in those with an UACR ≥3000 mg/g.

Clinical Trial registry name and registration number: ClinicalTrials.gov: CREDENCE, NCT02065791. –


Introduction

Agents that offer kidney protection often have greater relative benefits in those with higher albuminuria (or proteinuria) at treatment initiation. For example, the protective effect of renin-angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors on the progression of CKD is modified by baseline proteinuria in people with (1,2) and without (3,4) diabetes. Similarly, the relative benefits of tolvaptan, a vasopressin v2 receptor antagonist, on eGFR decline in people with autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease increases with baseline albuminuria (5). The relationship between albuminuria and treatment effects in these studies was demonstrated in populations with normal-to-moderate albuminuria. Whether this holds true at very high levels (including nephrotic-range) and whether albuminuria modifies the effects of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors is unclear.

Before the demonstration of their benefits for kidney and cardiovascular outcomes (6,7), it was clear that SGLT2 inhibitors reduced albuminuria in patients with...
type 2 diabetes (8). Albuminuria is a strong predictor of kidney disease progression and cardiovascular disease (9–11) and, together with eGFR, is the foundation for the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) kidney disease risk classification system (9,12). Consequently, people with higher albuminuria might derive greater absolute benefit from albuminuria-lowering treatments.

SGLT2 inhibitors prevent kidney and cardiovascular events in people with type 2 diabetes (13–16). In the kidney outcome trial, Canagliflozin and Renal Outcomes (CREDENCE), canagliflozin reduced the risk of the primary composite outcome of kidney failure, a doubling of serum creatinine, or kidney or cardiovascular death by 30% (hazard ratio [HR], 0.70; 95% confidence interval [95% CI], 0.59 to 0.82). Canagliflozin also reduced the risk of numerous kidney- and cardiovascular-specific outcomes (e.g., kidney failure and the composite outcome of myocardial infarction, stroke, or cardiovascular death).

The CREDENCE trial recruited participants with severely increased albuminuria (urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio [UACR] >300 to 5000 mg/g), including >500 participants with nephrotic-range albuminuria who were already stabilized on RAS blockade. In this population of people at high risk of progressive kidney and cardiovascular disease, we assessed the relative and absolute effects of canagliflozin according to baseline UACR.

Materials and Methods
CREDENCE was an event-driven, double-blind, randomized controlled trial whose design and main results have been previously described (15,17). Ethical approval was obtained at each participating site before commencement of recruitment. The trial was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants and Albuminuria Assessment
Trial eligibility criteria were designed to recruit participants at high risk of progression of diabetic kidney disease. Participants were aged ≥30 years, with type 2 diabetes, a glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) level of 6.5%–12.0%, an eGFR of 30–<90 ml/min per 1.73 m² (calculated using the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration formula) (18), and a UACR of >300 to 5000 mg/g. Key exclusion criteria included nondiabetic kidney disease, type 1 diabetes, and prior treatment of kidney disease with immunosuppression or KRT. Participants were required to have received treatment with a stable maximum-labeled/tolerated dose of an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker for ≥4 weeks prior to randomization.

In the CREDENCE study, albuminuria was assessed at multiple timepoints. First, to be eligible for screening, participants were required to have a UACR >300 mg/g (>33.9 mg/mmol) or equivalent, confirmed by a local laboratory result within 6 months of screening. At screening, a UACR of >300 to 5000 mg/g (>33.9 to 565.6 mg/mmol) on central laboratory measurement was required. Third, albuminuria was measured at randomization through a central laboratory, but, notably, this was not used to judge eligibility. Thus, participants with a UACR <300 mg/g by randomization could be enrolled.

Participants were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive double-blinded oral canagliflozin 100 mg or placebo daily, until initiation of KRT (dialysis or kidney transplantation), occurrence of diabetic ketoacidosis, pregnancy, receipt of disallowed therapy, or study end.

Outcomes
The efficacy outcomes for the current analyses were the same as those reported for the overall trial (15). All efficacy outcomes and selected safety outcomes were independently adjudicated by blinded expert committees.

The primary outcome was the composite of kidney failure (initiation of dialysis for ≥30 days, kidney transplantation, or eGFR<15 ml/min per 1.73 m² sustained for ≥30 days by central laboratory assessment), a doubling of serum creatinine from baseline (average of randomization and prerandomization value) sustained for ≥30 days by central laboratory assessment, or death due to kidney or cardiovascular disease. Secondary kidney and cardiovascular efficacy outcomes are shown in Table 1.

Safety outcomes with ten or more events in each albuminuria subgroup were examined, and included all kidney-related adverse events combined, AKI, volume depletion, hyperkalemia, urinary tract infections (UTIs), and hypoglycemia (Table 1). Similar to other CREDENCE secondary analyses, kidney-related adverse events were defined as those that were coded as primarily involving the kidney according to Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities terminology, and which were investigator-reported (Table 1).

Percentage and absolute change in albuminuria was calculated as the difference between baseline UACR and the average of all UACR measurements to week 182. eGFR slope was assessed as the acute change in eGFR from baseline to week 3 (acute slope), the annualized change in eGFR from week 3 until treatment end (chronic slope), and the annualized change in eGFR from baseline to week 130 (total slope). Finally, we assessed the intermediate outcomes of HbA1c, body weight, and systolic BP.

Statistical Analyses
The effects of canagliflozin were analyzed according to the baseline UACR categories ≤1000, >1000 to <3000, and ≥3000 mg/g. These broadly equate to a urine protein-to-creatinine ratio of ≤1920 mg/g, >1920 to <5000 mg/g, and ≥5000 mg/g, albeit with some uncertainty around these values (http://ckdpcrsk.org/prc2acr/; accessed on 24 July 2020) (19). Baseline UACR was used in this analysis as it represents the pretreatment measurement at which all participants had been treated with a stable dose of maximally tolerated angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker.

For all event-based outcomes, an intention-to-treat approach was used. Annualized incidence rates were calculated per 1000 patient-years of follow-up. HRs and 95% CIs were estimated using a Cox proportional hazards regression model, stratified by screening eGFR (30 to <45, 45 to <60, and 60 to <90 ml/min per 1.73 m²). The heterogeneity of relative effects across UACR subgroups was assessed by
including UACR group as a model covariate, together with an interaction term for treatment and baseline UACR. To calculate absolute risk differences, the number of participants with an outcome (per 1000 patients over median follow-up) in those assigned to canagliflozin was subtracted from the corresponding number in those assigned to placebo. The heterogeneity in absolute risk reduction was estimated using a fixed-effect meta-analysis, with a chi-squared test.

To assess the relative effects of canagliflozin on albuminuria, HbA1c, body weight, and systolic BP, linear mixed-effects models for repeated measures were used to analyze the percentage change in the outcome (log-transformed for UACR) over time. Models were adjusted for baseline value and trial visit. Time was included as a categorical factor such that the geometric means were modeled for each visit separately. The residuals from the mean model were assumed to have an unstructured covariance matrix.

eGFR slope analyses were conducted using on-treatment eGFR measurements only. This was to avoid the expected distortions from modifications of the hemodynamic effect after cessation of study drug. On-treatment eGFR measurements comprised all measurements available between day 1 and the last dose of study medication (+2 days), from a central laboratory. To estimate the effects of canagliflozin on the mean eGFR slope, a two-slope, mixed-effects, linear spline model was fitted to eGFR measurements (with a knot at week 3, the first postrandomization eGFR measure), with a random intercept and random slopes for treatment. Similar to previous CREDENCE subgroup analyses (20), the mean total slope was computed as a weighted combination of the acute and chronic slopes. Heterogeneity in the effect of canagliflozin on acute, chronic, and total eGFR slope between UACR subgroups was estimated by comparing the subgroup-level effects, using a chi-squared test with two degrees of freedom, accounting for the standard error of the mean (SEM) in each subgroup. Change in mean eGFR according to treatment and baseline UACR is graphically presented using a restricted maximum likelihood, repeated measures approach.

No adjustment for multiplicity of testing was made. Importantly, given the post hoc nature of these analyses, the presented P values should be interpreted with caution and have been presented for descriptive rather than inferential purposes. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Table 1. Efficacy and safety end points of the CREDENCE study that are included in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary end point</th>
<th>Secondary kidney outcomes</th>
<th>Secondary cardiovascular outcomes</th>
<th>Intermediate outcomes</th>
<th>Safety outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite of kidney failure, a doubling of serum creatinine from baseline, or death due to kidney or cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>Composite of kidney failure, a doubling of serum creatinine, or kidney death</td>
<td>Composite of kidney failure or kidney death</td>
<td>Change in urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio</td>
<td>Composite of acute, chronic, and total eGFR slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite of kidney failure or kidney death</td>
<td>Composite of KRT initiation (dialysis for ≥30 days or kidney transplantation) or kidney death</td>
<td>Kidney failure</td>
<td>Acute, chronic, and total eGFR slope</td>
<td>Change in glycated hemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling of serum creatinine</td>
<td>Kidney failure</td>
<td>Change in body weight</td>
<td>Change in glycated hemoglobin</td>
<td>Change in body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite of kidney failure, or kidney or cardiovascular death</td>
<td>Composite of kidney failure, or kidney death</td>
<td>Cardiovascular death</td>
<td>Composite of cardiovascular death</td>
<td>Change in body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Death from any cause</td>
<td>Cardiovascular death</td>
<td>myocardial infarction, stroke, or hospitalization for heart failure or unstable angina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, or hospitalization for heart failure or unstable angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table adapted from efficacy and end points of Canagliflozin and Renal Endpoints in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation (CREDENCE).
Higher UACR subgroups were also more likely to be using glucose-lowering regimens reflecting higher diabetes severity (i.e., more receiving insulin and fewer receiving a sulphonylurea or biguanide), and more likely to have microvascular disease, higher BP, and lower eGFR, compared with lower UACR subgroups (Table 2).

Higher baseline UACR was consistently associated with a higher rate of kidney and cardiovascular events in both the placebo and canagliflozin groups (Figures 1 and 2, Supplemental Table 1). The rates at which participants with baseline UACR ≥3000 mg/g randomized to placebo experienced at least one event was 201.5 events per 1000 patient-years for the primary outcome, and 126.9 events per 1000 patient-years for the composite of kidney failure or kidney death. The rates at which this same population experienced at least one cardiovascular or fatal event was

### Table 2. Baseline characteristics of participants in the CREDENCE trial, according to baseline UACR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Baseline UACR, mg/g</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000 to &lt;3000</td>
<td>≥3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=2348 (53%)</td>
<td>n=1547 (35%)</td>
<td>n=506 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr, mean (SD)</td>
<td>64 (9)</td>
<td>63 (9)</td>
<td>60 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>756 (32%)</td>
<td>534 (35%)</td>
<td>204 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>422 (18%)</td>
<td>331 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>138 (6.0%)</td>
<td>65 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>1596 (68%)</td>
<td>1018 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>192 (8%)</td>
<td>133 (9%)</td>
<td>44 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region, n (%)</td>
<td>North America</td>
<td>666 (28%)</td>
<td>381 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central/South America</td>
<td>523 (22%)</td>
<td>314 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>457 (20%)</td>
<td>328 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rest of the world</td>
<td>702 (30%)</td>
<td>524 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>2273 (97%)</td>
<td>1501 (97%)</td>
<td>486 (96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of heart failure, n (%)</td>
<td>319 (14%)</td>
<td>247 (16%)</td>
<td>86 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes, yr, mean (SD)</td>
<td>16 (9)</td>
<td>16 (9)</td>
<td>15 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug therapy, n (%)</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>1463 (62%)</td>
<td>1057 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfonlurea</td>
<td>727 (31%)</td>
<td>427 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biguanide</td>
<td>1433 (61%)</td>
<td>865 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GLP-1 receptor agonist</td>
<td>108 (5%)</td>
<td>56 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitor</td>
<td>419 (18%)</td>
<td>267 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>1628 (69%)</td>
<td>1077 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotic agent*</td>
<td>1448 (62%)</td>
<td>915 (59%)</td>
<td>261 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS inhibitor</td>
<td>2345 (100%)</td>
<td>1545 (100%)</td>
<td>505 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blocker</td>
<td>938 (340%)</td>
<td>631 (41%)</td>
<td>201 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>913 (39%)</td>
<td>708 (46%)</td>
<td>261 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvascular disease history, n (%)</td>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>1106 (47%)</td>
<td>765 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>913 (39%)</td>
<td>708 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>History of cardiovascular disease, n (%)</td>
<td>1198 (51%)</td>
<td>758 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg, mean (SD)*</td>
<td>138 (15)</td>
<td>142 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg, mean (SD)</td>
<td>77 (9)</td>
<td>79 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glycated hemoglobin, %, mean (SD)</td>
<td>8.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>8.2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dl, mean (SD)*</td>
<td>186 (133)</td>
<td>204 (159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cholesterol, mg/dl, mean (SD)*</td>
<td>174 (46)</td>
<td>182 (50.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dl, mean (SD)*</td>
<td>43 (12)</td>
<td>46 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LDL cholesterol, mg/dl, mean (SD)*</td>
<td>93 (39)</td>
<td>97 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio of LDL to HDL, mean (SD)*</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eGFR, ml/min per 1.73 m², mean (SD)</td>
<td>58 (18)</td>
<td>55 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UACR, mg/g, median (IQR)*</td>
<td>489 (321–693)</td>
<td>1630 (1254–2167)</td>
<td>3893 (3408–4765)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UACR, mg/mmol, median (IQR)*</td>
<td>55 (36–78)</td>
<td>184 (142–245)</td>
<td>440 (385–539)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CREDENCE, Canagliflozin and Renal Endpoints in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation; UACR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; RAS, renin-angiotensin system; IQR, interquartile range.

*Includes American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, multiple, other, unknown, and not reported.

*Includes antiaggregation and antiplatelet agents, including aspirin.

*≤1% missing data.

*Eligibility was on the basis of a screening UACR of >300 to 5000 mg/g (33.9–565.6 mg/mmol). By baseline, 527 participants had a UACR <300 mg/g, including 31 with normoalbuminuria (UACR <30 mg/g, or <3 mg/mmol) and 496 with microalbuminuria (UACR 30–300 mg/g, or 3–30 mg/mmol) (15).
For all kidney-related efficacy outcomes, the relative benefits of canagliflozin were consistent across all urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) categories. For kidney-related adverse events, a greater relative risk reduction was observed in higher UACR categories. For all kidney-related outcomes, absolute benefits were greatest in individuals with higher levels of UACR. 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

87.2 events per 1000 patient-years for the composite of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure; 77.0 for the composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke; and 71.4 for all-cause mortality.

### Kidney Outcomes
The relative risk reduction for the primary composite outcome of kidney failure, doubling of serum creatinine, or kidney or cardiovascular death (HR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.89) was consistent across UACR categories.

---

### Table: Relative and Absolute Benefits of Canagliflozin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UACR Category</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Absolute risk reduction per 1000 patients/2.6 years (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canagliflozin</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 mg/g</td>
<td>0.84 (0.69, 1.02)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>–32 (–64, –1)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 to &lt;3000 mg/g</td>
<td>0.67 (0.56, 0.80)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>–27 (–56, –2)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3300 mg/g</td>
<td>0.49 (0.39, 0.62)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>–21 (–46, –1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Figure 1
For all kidney-related efficacy outcomes, the relative benefits of canagliflozin were consistent across all urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) categories. For kidney-related adverse events, a greater relative risk reduction was observed in higher UACR categories. For all kidney-related outcomes, absolute benefits were greatest in individuals with higher levels of UACR. 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

---

### Figure 2
For all cardiovascular-related efficacy outcomes and all-cause mortality, the relative and absolute benefits of canagliflozin were consistent across UACR categories.
to 0.82 in the primary analysis previously published; see Supplemental Table 2) was consistent across the baseline albuminuria subgroups ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}}>0.55$). Similarly consistent effects were observed for all other kidney outcomes (all $P_{\text{heterogeneity}}>0.17$), including the secondary kidney composite outcome of kidney failure, doubling of serum creatinine, or kidney death (HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.53 to 0.81 in the primary analysis); the secondary kidney composite outcome of kidney failure or kidney death (HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.87 in the primary analysis); the exploratory composite outcome of KRT initiation or kidney death (HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.97 in the primary analysis); the secondary kidney composite outcome of kidney failure or kidney death (HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.87 in the primary analysis); the exploratory composite outcome of KRT failure or kidney death (HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.53 to 0.81 in the primary analysis). The relative reduction in albuminuria was greater in individuals with a lower baseline UACR, while the absolute reduction was 239.5 mg/g (137.9–186.0 mg/g), 355.2 mg/g (263.3–438.5 mg/g), and 340.9 mg/g (−51.2 to 669.0 mg/g) in those with baseline UACR ≤3000 mg/g, 3000 mg/g, and >3000 mg/g, respectively.

Effects on UACR

Overall, canagliflozin reduced UACR by 31% (95% CI, 26% to 35%), with an absolute reduction of 239.5 mg/g (95% CI, 207.0 to 270.2 mg/g) (Figure 3). The relative reduction was higher in individuals with a lower baseline UACR ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.03$; Figure 3). However, the opposite was true for absolute albuminuria reduction, which was 162.9 mg/g (137.9–186.0 mg/g), 355.2 mg/g (263.3–438.5 mg/g), and 340.9 mg/g (−51.2 to 669.0 mg/g) in those with baseline UACR ≤1000 mg/g, >1000 to <3000 mg/g, and ≥3000 mg/g, respectively.

Effects on eGFR Slope

An acute drop in eGFR with treatment commencement was apparent and similar at week 3 in every baseline albuminuria category ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.44$) (Figure 4, Supplemental Table 3). Thereafter, canagliflozin attenuated annual eGFR decline in every albuminuria category, with some evidence that this protective effect varied by baseline UACR ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.04$) in a nonlinear way (Supplemental Table 3). The absolute reduction in chronic eGFR slope was 2.31 (95% CI, 1.88 to 2.73), 3.29 (95% CI, 2.83 to 3.72), and 4.36 (95% CI, 3.88 to 4.83) mg/m^2/year in those with baseline UACR ≤3000 mg/g, 3000 mg/g, and >3000 mg/g, respectively.

**Cardiovascular Outcomes and All-Cause Death**

For all cardiovascular outcomes where canagliflozin had an effect in the primary analyses (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2), the relative benefit was consistent across UACR subgroups (Figure 2, Supplemental Table 1; all $P_{\text{heterogeneity}}>0.75$). This included the composite of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure (HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.83 in the primary analysis); major adverse cardiovascular event; and the extended cardiovascular composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or hospitalization for heart failure or unstable angina (Figure 2, Supplemental Table 1). Canagliflozin did not reduce cardiovascular death or all-cause mortality in any of the UACR subgroups (Figure 2).

Although the rates of cardiovascular events were higher in those groups with higher UACR at baseline, there were no clear differences in the absolute benefits of canagliflozin on cardiovascular outcomes across albuminuria categories (all $P_{\text{heterogeneity}}>0.16$) (Figure 2, Supplemental Table 1).

### Table 3. Effects on UACR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UACR Category</th>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>Median Baseline Albuminuria (mg/g)</th>
<th>Relative Reduction in Albuminuria (%)</th>
<th>Absolute Reduction in Albuminuria (mg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>4401</td>
<td>927 (463-1833)</td>
<td>31 (26-35)</td>
<td>239.5 (207.0-270.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UACR ≤1000 mg/g</td>
<td>2348</td>
<td>489 (321-693)</td>
<td>35 (29-39)</td>
<td>162.9 (137.9-186.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UACR &gt;1000 to &lt;3000 mg/g</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>1630 (1254-2167)</td>
<td>29 (21-35)</td>
<td>355.2 (263.3-438.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UACR &gt;3000 mg/g</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>3893 (3407-4765)</td>
<td>14 (-2-28)</td>
<td>340.9 (-51.2-669.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Percentage change in the geometric mean of canagliflozin relative to placebo. Heterogeneity was estimated by fitting an interaction term to a linear mixed effects model for repeated measures.

‡ Absolute change in the geometric mean of canagliflozin relative to placebo.
2.67 to 3.91), and 2.49 (95% CI, 1.00 to 3.99) ml/min per 1.73 m² per year in the UACR subgroups ≤1000, >1000 to <3000, and ≥3000 mg/g, respectively. Those with baseline UACR ≥3000 mg/g assigned to placebo had the largest chronic eGFR slope, with a loss of 8.92 (SEM 0.53) ml/min per 1.73 m² per year, which canagliflozin reduced by 28% to a loss of 6.43 (SEM 0.55) ml/min per 1.73 m² per year. Results were similar for total eGFR slope (to week 130) (Supplemental Table 3).

**Kidney Safety Outcomes**

In the primary CREDENCE study paper, canagliflozin reduced the risk of reported kidney-related adverse events overall (HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.82). Stratified by baseline UACR, the relative and absolute protective effects were greater in people with higher baseline albuminuria ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.003$ and <0.001 for relative and absolute effects, respectively) (Supplemental Table 4).

There was no statistical evidence that the relative or absolute effect of canagliflozin, or lack thereof, on other safety outcomes varied according to baseline albuminuria (Supplemental Table 4).

**Effects on Intermediate Outcomes**

In the two lower UACR categories, reductions in mean HbA1c, mean body weight, and mean systolic BP were greater in the group treated with canagliflozin compared with the placebo group (Supplemental Table 5). For the higher UACR category, only body weight was reduced by treatment with canagliflozin. Across all intermediate outcomes, the largest reductions were observed in those with a baseline UACR ≥3000 mg/g.

**Discussion**

In the CREDENCE trial, canagliflozin produced better kidney outcomes in adults with type 2 diabetes at high risk of kidney disease progression (15). In our study, the relative benefit was consistent across all baseline albuminuria levels, including those in the nephrotic range. However, individuals with UACR ≥3000 mg/g, and therefore at greatest risk of progression of kidney disease, derived greater absolute benefit for kidney outcomes from canagliflozin during the median follow-up of 2.6 years. Canagliflozin also reduced a range of cardiovascular events, including hospitalization for heart failure and major adverse cardiovascular event. For cardiovascular outcomes, both relative and absolute treatment effects were consistent across the UACR categories. Canagliflozin appears safe for a range of albuminuria levels and, indeed, provided greater relative and absolute protection against kidney-related adverse events in those with a baseline UACR ≥3000 mg/g. These findings support the value of canagliflozin treatment for kidney and cardiovascular protection in people with diabetes and severely increased albuminuria (>300 mg/g).
The well-established association between albuminuria and the risk of CKD progression, kidney failure, and AKI (9–11, 21, 22) is on the basis of pooled analyses involving more than 1 million people, including >100,000 with diabetes (23, 24). These analyses form the rationale for classifications of the KDIGO CKD risk classification system, which grades albuminuria in categories of A1 (0–29 mg/g), A2 (30–299 mg/g), and A3 (≥300 mg/g) (9, 23). Within these combined cohorts, mean albuminuria in those with available quantitative albuminuria measurements was around 17 mg/g (1.9 mg/mmol), with only 2% of participants having a UACR ≥300 mg/g (or 2+ on dipstick) (23), which is more moderate than the albuminuria levels seen in the CREDECE study. The CREDECE cohort extends these analyses, providing capacity to examine kidney and cardiovascular risk in individuals with nephrotic-range albuminuria (≥3000 mg/g). Risk continues to increase well beyond UACR levels of 300 mg/g, most notably for kidney end points. Among placebo-treated participants, the rate of the composite outcome of kidney failure, a doubling of serum creatinine, or kidney death increased from 10.2 events per 1000 patient-years in those with baseline UACR ≤1000 mg/g, to 172 events per 1000 patient-years in those with UACR ≥3000 mg/g. Cardiovascular risk also increased, although not as steeply, with, for example, rates of cardiovascular death rising from 19.1 deaths per 1000 patient-years in placebo-treated participants with UACR ≤1000 mg/g, to 51.6 deaths per 1000 patient-years in those with UACR ≥3000 mg/g. The relative clinical kidney and cardiovascular benefit was as strong, and the absolute kidney benefit greater, in those with nephrotic-range albuminuria, making this population a priority group for treatment.

We also examined the effect of canagliflozin on albuminuria. The relative reduction in albuminuria appeared less marked in those with a baseline value ≥3000 mg/g than in those with lower UACR. Not surprisingly, absolute reductions were lower in those with UACR ≤1000 mg/g than in those with higher levels. It is possible that there are multiple causes of albuminuria in those with nephrotic-range albuminuria, not all of which may be amenable to the effects of SGLT2 inhibitors. These causes potentially include hemodynamic mechanisms, alternations in albuminuria handling, fixed structural injury, and others. These findings should be regarded as speculative, given the relatively small number of participants with nephrotic-range albuminuria recruited, and require confirmation in other trials enrolling high-risk patients. Nevertheless, they raise the possibility that SGLT2 inhibitors confer kidney protection in patients with diabetes through mechanisms independent of albuminuria reduction.

Many strengths of this study relate to the design of the original trial. The CREDECE study recruited people with severely increased albuminuria despite a maximum-tolerated RAS blockade dose, providing the ability to test the effect of canagliflozin in people at very high kidney risk. In addition, kidney outcomes were independently adjudicated and eGFR and UACR assessed centrally. However, the trial did not include patients with screening albuminuria equivalent to the KDIGO stages A1 and A2. Moreover, our findings are limited to people with diabetes and high kidney risk, with the extent of any generalizability to nondiabetic kidney disease still unknown. Future trials are awaited (25, 26). The CREDECE study was stopped early on grounds of clear efficacy for the primary end point. This may limit the power to assess the effect of canagliflozin on secondary and safety outcomes.

Previous SGLT2 inhibitor trials have shown consistent effects on kidney and cardiovascular outcomes across different levels of albuminuria (27–29). However, these trials included few participants with severely increased albuminuria. We extend this observation to individuals with nephrotic-range albuminuria who experienced similar relative, and greater absolute, kidney benefits from canagliflozin. The consistent relative benefit seen across all levels of baseline albuminuria in the CREDECE (15) and Canagliflozin Cardiovascular Assessment Study (CANVAS) Program trials (7, 16) makes it reasonable to assume that absolute benefits would accrue in those at lower risk if followed for a longer time horizon, as would happen in clinical practice. Taken together, these findings provide treatment options for those with diabetes and nephrotic-range albuminuria. Ongoing SGLT2 inhibitor trials will provide complementary evidence for the effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on kidney and cardiovascular outcomes in those with nondiabetic kidney disease (26, 30, 31).
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Supplemental Table 1. Relative and absolute effects of canagliflozin on additional kidney, cardiovascular, and mortality outcomes by baseline UACR.

Supplemental Table 2. Relative and absolute effects of canagliflozin on additional kidney, cardiovascular, and mortality outcomes in the overall CREDENCE cohort. These results have been previously published (1).

Supplemental Table 3. Effects of canagliflozin on eGFR slope (total, acute, and chronic) by baseline UACR. The acute, chronic, and total mean change in eGFR and SEM in each treatment group (canagliflozin or placebo), according to UACR category, are presented.
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