

Better Patient Ambulatory Care Experience Does It Translate into Improved Outcomes among Patients with CKD?

Delphine S. Tuot

Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 13: 1619–1620, 2018. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.11260918>

In its landmark 2001 report “Crossing the quality chasm: A new health system for the 21st century,” the Institute of Medicine (IOM) Committee on Quality of Health Care in America (1) identified patient-centered care as one of six fundamental aims of the US health care system. The IOM characterized patient-centered care as that which is “responsive to the needs, values and expressed preferences” of individuals and their caregivers, and they proposed six domains that contribute to patient-centered care, including (1) respect of patients’ preferences and needs; (2) information, communication, and education; (3) coordination of care; (4) physical comfort; (5) emotional support; and (6) engagement of family. Over the past two decades, patient centeredness has been adopted as a measure of quality by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare and the British National Health Service, and it serves as a guiding principle of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, including the Patient Centered Outcomes Research Institute.

Patient experience surveys, such as the Ambulatory Care Experience Survey (ACES) and the Consumer Assessment of Health Providers and Systems Clinician and Group Survey, are validated instruments that attempt to capture patient experience objectively, providing actionable data that can be used to set patient-centered performance improvement goals for individual providers, clinical groups, and health systems. They are also used for patient reporting, allowing patients more consumer choice in selection of health care providers or clinical sites. Patient experience surveys are often distinguished from patient satisfaction surveys, which capture how pleased patients are with aspects of their experience.

Although improving patient experience has an inherent value to patients and families in its own right, there are reasons to believe that good patient experience in ambulatory care settings can translate into more appropriate health care utilization and improved health outcomes. The study by Cedillo-Couvert *et al.* (2) in this issue of the *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* (CJASN) provides new evidence to this field of inquiry by linking patient experience in primary care and health outcomes among individuals with nondialysis-requiring kidney disease. Using data from the Hispanic Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort study, they show that lower patient experience scores in various domains of the ACES are associated with more hospitalizations, including cardiovascular and noncardiovascular hospitalizations, as well as a trend

toward more hospitalizations for ambulatory-sensitive conditions, hospitalizations that have been deemed to be preventable with high-quality primary care by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). In particular, lower scores in the “communication quality,” “health promotion,” “interpersonal treatment,” and “trust” domains were independently associated with higher rate ratios for hospitalizations, independent of sociodemographic and clinic factors. Scores in the “whole-person” domain were not associated with differing hospitalization rates. Also, patient experience scores in any of the domains were not associated with differences in incident ESKD or death over a follow-up of nearly 5 years.

The study population was relatively small ($n=252$), was recruited mainly from one city in the United States, and only included individuals who self-identified as Hispanic, thus limiting generalizability of results to a broader CKD population. However, these data are consistent with studies that have shown lower hospital readmission rates for acute myocardial infarction, pneumonia, and heart failure among hospitalized patients with higher patient experience scores (3).

Is this believable? What might be the mechanism by which patient experience influences outcomes? A recent systematic review of 34 studies across the health care spectrum noted that higher patient experience scores in the ambulatory setting were associated with increased patient adherence to physician advice, greater medication adherence, and higher participation in health prevention activities, all of which have been independently associated with fewer hospitalizations (4). Other studies have documented that patient experience is positively correlated with completion of important process of care measures, including LDL cholesterol, glycosylated hemoglobin, albuminuria testing, and retinopathy screening among individuals with diabetes (4,5). Although entirely speculative, it is not hard to imagine that better patient experience encourages patients to communicate with their health care team about new or concerning symptoms and more openly discuss facilitators/barriers to chronic disease management, potentially obviating the need for emergency department visits and subsequent hospitalization.

It is important to note that patient experience and quality of clinical care delivery are not always correlated and that many studies have been unable to show a clear link between the two entities in either direction (positive or negative) (4). Importantly, however, no inherent tradeoff

Division of Nephrology, University of California, San Francisco, California; and Center for Vulnerable Populations, Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center, San Francisco, California

Correspondence:

Dr. Delphine S. Tuot, University of California San Francisco Division of Nephrology at Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center, 1001 Potrero Avenue, Building 100, Room 342, San Francisco, CA 94110. Email: Delphine.tuot@ucsf.edu

Table 1. Strategies that are being tested to enhance patient experience of ambulatory nephrology care and the patient-centered domain that they affect

Strategies to Improve Patient Experience with CKD Care	Institute of Medicine Domain of Patient-Centered Care					
	Respect for Patients' Preferences and Needs	Information, Communication, and Education	Coordination of Care	Physical Comfort	Emotional Support	Engagement of Family
Physician training in communication	X	X		X	X	X
Navigators		X	X			
Peer health coaches	X	X	X		X	
Mobile health applications	X	X				X
Electronic consultations			X			
Multidisciplinary care clinics		X	X	X	X	X
Workforce diversity	X				X	
Multimedia educational tools	X				X	X

or competing risk between patient experience and quality of care delivery has been identified either.

The results from the study by Cedillo-Couvert *et al.* (2) challenge us to develop strategies to further improve the ambulatory patient experience for individuals with CKD, not just in primary care (the focus of this study) but also, in other clinics where nephrologists are providers. Over the past decade, many initiatives have been tested in primary care, with mixed success. To disseminate best practices across the United States and help spur further innovation in this field, the AHRQ has developed a comprehensive toolkit for providers and health systems to use and adapt to improve patient experience in ambulatory care: <https://www.ahrq.gov/cahps/quality-improvement/improvement-guide/improvement-guide.html> (accessed September 3, 2018). Although not specific to CKD care, the toolkit highlights strategies that are universal to chronic disease management, including ways to improve health-related communication and enhance patient engagement. Some of these strategies are already being tested in nephrology practices, such as patient navigator (6) and health coach (7) programs, mobile applications to enhance patient engagement (8), communication training for physicians (9), and enhanced primary care-specialty care coordination in the form of electronic consultations or coordination agreements within medical neighborhoods (10) (Table 1). There has been less experience in nephrology clinics with other strategies, such as patient advisory councils and consistent use of patient experience surveys.

Patient-centered care is widely accepted as a critical component of care quality. In addition to the intrinsic value inherent to maximizing patient experience, data, such as those published in this volume of the CJASN, provide evidence that better patient care experiences are also associated with less health care utilization and provide a step toward the overarching goal of achieving the quadruple aim: high-quality and cost-effective care delivery that improves population health and achieves high satisfaction among providers, care team members, and patients (11).

Acknowledgments

D.S.T. was supported by National Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases grant R01DK104130.

Disclosures

None.

References

1. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Quality of Health Care in America: *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*, Washington, DC, National Academies Press, 2001
2. Cedillo-Couvert EA, Hsu JY, Ricardo AC, Fischer MJ, Gerber BS, Horwitz EJ, Kusek JW, Lustigova E, Renteria A, Rosas SE, Saunders M, Sha D, Slaven A, Lash LP: Patient Experience with Primary Care Physician and Risk for Hospitalization in Hispanics with CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 13: 1659–1667, 2018
3. Boulding W, Glickman SW, Manary MP, Schulman KA, Staelin R: Relationship between patient satisfaction with inpatient care and hospital readmission within 30 days. *Am J Manag Care* 17: 41–48, 2011
4. Anhang Price R, Elliott MN, Zaslavsky AM, Hays RD, Lehrman WG, Rybowski L, Edgman-Levitan S, Cleary PD: Examining the role of patient experience surveys in measuring health care quality. *Med Care Res Rev* 71: 522–554, 2014
5. Schneider EC, Zaslavsky AM, Landon BE, Lied TR, Sheingold S, Cleary PD: National quality monitoring of Medicare health plans: The relationship between enrollees' reports and the quality of clinical care. *Med Care* 39: 1313–1325, 2001
6. Navaneethan SD, Jolly SE, Schold JD, Arrigain S, Nakhoul G, Konig V, Hyland J, Burrucker YK, Dann PD, Tucky BH, Sharp J, Nally JV: Pragmatic randomized, controlled trial of patient navigators and enhanced personal health records in CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 12: 1418–1427, 2017
7. Tuot DS, Velasquez A, McCulloch CE, Banerjee T, Zhu Y, Hsu CY, Handley M, Schillinger D, Powe NR: The Kidney Awareness Registry and Education (KARE) study: Protocol of a randomized controlled trial to enhance provider and patient engagement with chronic kidney disease. *BMC Nephrol* 16: 166, 2015
8. Ong SW, Jassal SV, Miller JA, Porter EC, Cafazzo JA, Seto E, Thorpe KE, Logan AG: Integrating a smartphone-based self-management system into usual care of advanced CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 11: 1054–1062, 2016
9. Schell JO, Green JA, Tulskey JA, Arnold RM: Communication skills training for dialysis decision-making and end-of-life care in nephrology. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 8: 675–680, 2013
10. Mendu ML, Waikar SS, Rao SK: Kidney disease population health management in the era of accountable care: A conceptual framework for optimizing care across the CKD spectrum. *Am J Kidney Dis* 70: 122–131, 2017
11. Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C: From triple to quadruple aim: Care of the patient requires care of the provider. *Ann Fam Med* 12: 573–576, 2014

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at www.cjasn.org.

See related article, "Patient Experience with Primary Care Physician and Risk for Hospitalization in Hispanics with CKD," on pages 1659–1667.