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Abstract
Immunosuppressive agents are commonly used in the nephrologist’s practice in the treatment of autoimmune and immune-mediated diseases and transplantation, and they are investigational in the treatment of AKI and ESRD. Drug development has been rapid over the past decades as mechanisms of the immune response have been better defined both by serendipity (the discovery of agents with immunosuppressive activity that led to greater understanding of the immune response) and through mechanistic study (the study of immune deficiencies and autoimmune diseases and the critical pathways or mutations that contribute to disease). Toxicities of early immunosuppressive agents, such as corticosteroids, azathioprine, and cyclophosphamide, stimulated intense investigation for agents with more specificity and less harmful effects. Because the mechanisms of the immune response were better delineated over the past 30 years, this specialty is now bestowed with a multitude of therapeutic options that have reduced rejection rates and improved graft survival in kidney transplantation, provided alternatives to cytotoxic therapy in immune-mediated diseases, and opened new opportunities for intervention in diseases both common (AKI) and rare (atypical hemolytic syndrome). Rather than summarizing clinical indications and clinical trials for all currently available immunosuppressive medications, the purpose of this review is to place these agents into mechanistic context together with a brief discussion of unique features of development and use that are of interest to the nephrologist.


Introduction
Immunosuppressive agents have a long history, with a recent acceleration in growth in number. After the discovery by Nobel Prize awardee Philip Hench that the corticosteroid cortisone had significant anti-inflammatory effects in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in 1949 (1) and the independent discoveries by Calne et al. (2), Murray et al. (3), and Zukoski et al. (4) that azathioprine (AZA) was an effective immunosuppressive agent in the prevention of kidney allograft rejection in the early 1960s (2–4), many of the mechanisms of the immune response remained opaque. The 1960s and 1970s were marked by a borrowing of cyclophosphamide from the developing field of cancer chemotherapy for use in immune diseases and transplantation, whereas the use of antilymphocyte serum as a lymphocyte-depleting agent gained favor in the developing field of kidney transplantation. The late 1970s and early 1980s brought revolutionary changes in drug development and discovery; two key developments were the technology to develop monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) for human therapeutic use and the discovery of the immunosuppressive effects of cyclosporin A from fermentation extracts of the fungal species Tolypocladium inflatum (5,6). The 1990s were a period of significant immunosuppressive drug development, because increased insight into B and T cell development, activation, and proliferation, cytokine and chemokine signaling, and complement activation led to targeted therapeutics, particularly mAbs that could later be humanized (Figure 1). In reciprocal fashion, drug discovery often led to further understanding of the mechanisms of the immune response. Similar to cyclosporin A, sirolimus (previously called rapamycin) was discovered and developed as an antifungal, but it was found to have antineoplastic and immunosuppressive properties, the mechanisms of which were only later appreciated and described as mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathways (7,8).

In recent decades, immunosuppressive drug development has slowed from its accelerated pace in the late 1990s, but it still shows steady growth. With improvements in efficacy and specificity of existing agents, it is increasingly difficult to develop an agent that meets superiority and safety measures necessary to gain regulatory and public opinion approval. This is particularly true for diseases that the nephrologist may encounter: most uses of immunosuppressive agents are in rare, orphan category diseases that are difficult or unlikely to be studied in large multicenter trials. Thus, many of the newer agents that the nephrologist may encounter will inevitably be in off-label use stemming from experience in other fields, such as rheumatology and oncology. Exceptions to this generalization are emerging attempts to treat the inflammation identified in the settings of AKI and maintenance hemodialysis.

To provide a framework for understanding the multitude of immunosuppressive agents currently available and in late-stage development, this review will summarize key agents commonly encountered in nephrology practice by immune cell target rather than disease state or clinical indication. Together with the previous reviews within this Renal Immunology
T Cell–Directed Therapy

Therapeutic agents that target T cell function can be separated into those that inhibit signal 1 (the interaction of the T cell receptor [TCR] complex with an antigen-presenting cell [APC] either carrying antigen or in the case of transplantation, acting as antigen itself) and its resulting intracellular signaling and those that inhibit signal 2 (the costimulatory signal provided by additional T cell/APC interaction that leads to full activation of the T cell) (Figure 2). Agents that inhibit further downstream activation and proliferation (occasionally referred to as signal 3) are typically driven by cytokine production and signaling and will be discussed in later sections.

Agents Targeting Signal 1

**Anti-TCR Agents.** Inhibition of the first point of antigen presentation (the MHC/TCR complex) has been an attractive target in transplant immunosuppression. The murine anti-CD3 mAb Muromonab-CD3 (OKT3) was the first mAb approved as a drug for human use in 1986 for the prevention of rejection in renal, heart, and liver transplants (9). It targeted the CD3 subunit of the TCR complex and led to rapid elimination of functional T cells. It is now no longer in production because of waning utilization, primarily because of significant side effects related to the mitogenicity associated with its murine source. This early experience led to the development of humanized forms of anti-TCR–based agents in an effort to reduce this mitogenicity as well as other anti-TCR mAbs that targeted other receptor subunits (10–12). These next generation therapeutics were subsequently forwarded for the treatment of new-onset diabetes and as induction agents in kidney transplantation but have been hindered by ongoing safety and efficacy issues.

**Calcineurin Inhibitors (Cyclosporin and Tacrolimus).** After initial TCR binding, a calcineurin-dependent signaling pathway is induced that leads to initial T cell gene transcription necessary for additional activation. Two commonly used calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs; cyclosporin and tacrolimus) and one investigational agent (voclosporin) inhibit the ability of calcineurin to dephosphorylate nuclear factor (NF) of activated T cells (NFAT), required for translocation from cytoplasm to nucleus, and prevent calcineurin-dependent gene transcription (13,14). In the early 1980s, cyclosporin transformed the field of
transplantation with dramatic reductions in acute rejection rates, and it has been shown to be effective in a number of immune diseases, including a number of glomerulopathies (15,16). In the late 1990s, tacrolimus was introduced in kidney transplantation and over time, has shown to be more potent in reducing the rates of acute rejection (17,18). Growing experience in glomerular disease suggests its use to be of similar value as cyclosporin (19). One potential nonimmunosuppressive mechanism that could explain CNI efficacy in glomerular disease is the ability to inhibit synaptopodin degradation in the podocyte, thereby stabilizing the actin cytoskeleton and reducing proteinuria (20).

Tacrolimus and cyclosporin share the side effect of CNI-induced vascular constriction that contributes to an increase in BP as well as diminished renal perfusion. This is primarily a dose-dependent phenomenon; it can result in renal ischemia and acute tubular necrosis in the acute setting, and with prolonged ischemia, it can result in chronic kidney injury. Aside from the direct vascular effect on renal blood flow, the potential direct nephrotoxic effects of CNI agents remain an active area of debate and research (21). To address these issues of toxicity and side effect profiles (including post-transplant diabetes), alternative formulations of tacrolimus (extended release) as well as the novel CNI voclosporin have been developed and are approved or in late-phase clinical trials in transplantation (22–24).

Agents Targeting Signal 2

Costimulation Blockade by CD80/86:CD28 Targeting (Abatacept and Belatacept). The interaction of CD80/86 on the APC with CD28 on the T cell (costimulation) is required for optimal T cell activation. After upregulation and the generation of an effective immune response, the T cell expresses the cell surface molecule cytotoxic T lymphocyte–associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively binds to CD80/86 and downregulates the T cell response. To mimic this downregulatory effect, human IgG heavy chains were associated protein 4 (CTLA4), which competitively bounds to CD80 (27). Abatacept was ineffective in preclinical primate studies in the prevention of kidney transplant rejection, leading to development of another CTLA4-Ig with significantly higher affinity for CD80/86 for transplantation (belatacept) (27). This agent is Food and Drug Administration (FDA) indicated for use as a substitute for CNIs at the time of transplant (28).

Costimulation Blockade by CD154:CD40 Targeting (Anti-CD40 mAb). The CD154 (also known as CD40L; present on activated T cells): CD40 (on APCs) interaction is a critical step in T cell costimulatory signaling, because this interaction leads to the upregulation of CD80/86 on APCs. Targeting the induced surface molecule CD154 on activated T cells was a focus of drug development until it was recognized that CD154 was also present on platelets, and agents binding this cell surface molecule led to an increase in thrombotic events in both primate and early-phase human trials (29). Attention has, thus, turned to targeting CD40. A number of mAbs against CD40 are in development, with a fully human anti-CD40 (ASKP1240; Astellas) under study in phase 2 clinical trials in kidney transplantation (30).

B Cell–Directed Therapy

The goals of B cell inhibition include inhibiting not only the humoral response to auto- or alloantigen but also, the APC function and B/T cell interactions that lead to efficient T cell activation and proliferation. B cell therapies can be considered in the context of the agents that inhibit maturation and differentiation of the resting B cell throughout its development to a highly active antibody-producing plasma cell (Figure 3).

B Cell Targeting

Anti-CD20 Targeting: Rituximab, Ocrelizumab, Ofatumumab, and Veltuzumab. CD20 is a transmembrane protein present on pre-B and mature B lymphocytes, but it is not present on stem cells, normal plasma cells, or other cell lines. Its role in B cell development includes regulation of activation for cell cycling and B cell differentiation. The first agent to target CD20, rituximab, is a chimeric anti-CD20 mAb (30% murine and 70% human) that leads to B cell depletion through a number of mechanisms, including complement-dependent cytotoxicity, growth arrest, and apoptosis (31). This depletion is durable, with B cell counts suppressed for up to 6–9 months and occasionally, beyond. Efficacy data pertinent to nephrology practice include the treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis, with supportive data in antibody-mediated rejection and forms of nephrotic syndrome (32–34). The chimeric nature of the antibody leads to side effects attributable to cytokine release, such as fever, bronchospasm, and hypotension. Agents that are humanized (ocrelizumab) or fully humanized (ofatumumab) have been developed to minimize these untoward infusion reactions. However, ocrelizumab development in RA has been discontinued because of an increased risk of serious infections (35). A phase 1/2 trial of ofatumumab in RA has shown preliminary efficacy, with mild/moderate infusion reactions still prevalent (36). All anti-CD20 therapy carries a risk of hepatitis B reactivation in patients positive for hepatitis B surface antigen or hepatitis B core antibody (37). Therefore, before starting treatment, patients should be screened for hepatitis B surface antigen and hepatitis B core antibody.

Anti-CD22 Targeting: Epratuzumab. CD22 is expressed on B cells during B cell maturation and loss of CD20 expression. B cell receptor signaling is modulated by phosphorylation of CD22, which regulates B cell activation. Epratuzumab is a humanized anti-CD22 mAb that inhibits B cell activation and has a more modest depleting effect on B cells than rituximab. It is currently in phase 3 trials in patients with moderate to severe SLE after phase 2 trials suggested a low rate of adverse events, similar to placebo (38,39).

Targeting B Cell Differentiation: Belimumab and Atacicept

A key pathway for differentiation of B cells is the binding of the cytokine B cell–activating factor (BAFF; also referred to BlyS) to its B cell receptors (BAFF-R, B cell maturation (BCMA), and transmembrane activator and CAML interactor (TACI)) and the binding of the cytokine proliferation–inducing ligand to its B cell receptors (BCMA and TACI).
These interactions lead to increases in NF-κB, which in turn, promote B cell differentiation and inhibit apoptosis. Belimumab is a humanized anti-BAFF/BlyS mAb that interferes with ligand/receptor binding and inhibits this maturation. It is currently approved for use in active SLE (40). However, patients with severe active lupus nephritis were excluded from the pivotal trials, and post hoc analyses of renal outcomes were inconclusive in showing improvement in clinically relevant renal outcomes (41). Atacicept is a recombinant fusion protein that inhibits both BlyS and proliferation-inducing ligand. In phase 2 trials in RA, efficacy was not shown (42), whereas in a phase 2/3 trial in patients with lupus nephritis, the trial was terminated after pronounced reduction in Ig levels and the occurrence of severe pneumonia, leaving in question the further development of this agent (43).
Plasma Cell Targeting: Bortezomib

All B cell agents previously described have no direct activity against plasma cells, and thus, for diseases in which plasma cell maturation and antibody production are felt to be a primary pathogenic mechanism, these previous agents are expected to have limited efficacy. Critical to the function of highly metabolic cells, such as plasma cells, is the ability to regulate the degradation of proteins through the proteasome, which is present in all eukaryotic cells. Inhibition of the proteasome leads to inhibition of cell cycling and induction of apoptosis (44). Bortezomib is a proteasome inhibitor that was found to be particularly effective in treatment of the plasma cell dyscrasia multiple myeloma and indicated by the FDA for the treatment of advanced myeloma in 2003 (45). Subsequent studies showed a benefit in myeloma with renal involvement and antibody-mediated rejection of kidney allografts by targeting antibody-producing plasma cells (46,47). Side effects of peripheral neuropathy, cytopenias, and gastrointestinal effects occur in a dose-dependent fashion.

Complement Inhibition (Eculizumab)

The role of complement in renal disease is increasingly appreciated and contributes to disease by either direct activation of complement or initial antibody fixation and subsequent activation of complement. There is direct evidence of its role in the thrombotic microangiopathic changes seen in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS), antibody-mediated injury of the kidney allograft, and C3 GN (previously called dense deposit disease or membranoproliferative GN type 2) (48–50). To date, one agent is available for clinical use. Eculizumab is a humanized mAb to C5 that effectively inhibits its cleavage to C5a and C5b. Because C5a is a neutrophil chemoattractant and because C5b is required to form the C5b-9 membrane attack complex, inhibition of this enzymatic step results in blockade of proinflammatory, prothrombotic, and lytic functions of complement (Figure 3). Its efficacy is most apparent for cases of aHUS in which a complement factor mutation has been identified. However, therapy is recommended in all patients with aHUS who are at risk for (or suffering from) renal failure given the potential of an unidentified complement mutation as a contributing factor (51,52). Although data regarding the use of eculizumab for the treatment of antibody-mediated rejection are currently at the level of case reports, its use pretransplant for the prevention of antibody-mediated rejection as part of a desensitization protocol has been shown to be of benefit (53). The cost of eculizumab remains a significant barrier to use. Inhibition of the complement cascade increases the risk of serious infection from encapsulated bacteria; thus, vaccination for Neisseria meningitis, Streptococcus pneumonia, and Haemophilus influenza type b should be performed before therapy.

Additional indications for complement inhibition may be in the treatment or prevention of ischemia/reperfusion injury (AKI in the native kidney and delayed graft function in the transplanted kidney) (54,55). A multicenter trial investigating the use of eculizumab in the prevention of delayed graft function is underway, and numerous compounds targeting the complement pathway are in preclinical investigation.

Agents Targeting Cytokines

Cytokines are proteins that are secreted by a variety of cell types and function to direct the initiation, differentiation, and up- and downregulation of the immune response. Pharmacologic targeting of specific cytokines is expected to redirect or inhibit an untoward immune response (Figure 4).

Non-specific Cytokine Inhibition

Corticosteroids. Corticosteroids bind to the intracellular glucocorticoid receptor and modulate a multitude of cellular functions by binding to glucocorticoid-responsive elements in the nucleus. Effects on the immune system are also numerous but most clearly related to inhibition of all cytokine transcription by blocking transcription factors, such as NF-κB and activator protein-1 (56). This has numerous downstream effects, such as (1) depletion of T cells because of inhibition of IL-2, inhibition of Th1 differentiation, and induction of apoptosis, (2) eosinophil apoptosis (either directly or by inhibition of IL-5), and (3) macrophage dysfunction because of inhibition of IL-1 and TNF-α. Its effect on neutrophil function is modest; however, neutrophil migration to sites of inflammation is impaired, bone marrow secretion of neutrophils is increased, and apoptosis is decreased, all of which contribute to leukocytosis (57). Similarly, B cells are not significantly inhibited by corticosteroids, with only mild decreases in Ig production. Its side effect profile is well appreciated clinically and frequently maligned as a chronic therapy (58). Thus, despite its efficacy in a wide range of immune and inflammatory conditions, a primary focus of many clinical development programs and clinical trials is to find agents with similar efficacy but greater specificity in immunosuppression without the attendant side effects of corticosteroids.

Janus Kinase Inhibition (Tofacitinib). Janus kinases are cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases that mediate signaling from cytokine receptors to phosphorylation of signal transducers and activators of transcription, enabling signal transducers and activators of transcription to enter the nucleus and regulate gene expression and transcription. An inhibitor of Janus kinase, tofacitinib, inhibits cytokine receptor signaling from a number of cytokines, including IL-2, -4, -7, -9, -15, and -21, and has shown efficacy in psoriatic arthritis and RA (59). Its development as an alternative for CNI in kidney transplantation was halted after a phase 2b trial showed similar rejection rates, better GFR, lower rates of post-transplant diabetes, and higher rates of cytomegalovirus and BK virus infection and post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease (60).

Specific Cytokine Inhibition

IL-2 Receptor Antagonist (Basiliximab). Activated T cells produce IL-2 and express the α-subunit of the IL-2 receptor, rendering it fully functional. After T cells become activated in response to signals 1 and 2 activation, IL-2 binding and subsequent intracellular signaling lead to proliferation of T cells. Humanized antibodies to the α-subunit of the IL-2 receptor (IL-2 receptor antagonists basiliximab and daclizumab) limit proliferation of activated T cells and have been approved for the prevention of acute rejection in kidney transplantation (the latter is no longer in production), primarily in patients with lower immunologic risk (61).

Targeting TNF-α. TNF-α is an acute-phase cytokine released by macrophages, T cells, B cells, neutrophils, natural killer cells, mast cells, and some nonimmune cell types.
smooth muscle and epithelial cells) in response to tissue injury (62). Well described roles for TNF-α include its release by Th1 T cells to promote ongoing expansion of Th1 cells and proinflammatory responses and its release by synovial macrophages to induce synovial cells to increase collagenase production, thus promoting bone and joint destruction. TNF-α binds to its receptors (TNF receptor 1 [TNFR1] and TNFR2) and stimulates apoptotic pathways as well as NF-κB signaling, which partially explains its diverse physiologic effects. Currently, there are five TNF inhibitors clinically available and indicated for the treatment of a variety of rheumatic diseases. Infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab, and certolizumab are mAbs to TNF-α that differ in the degree of chimerism and route of administration (intravenous versus subcutaneous) (63). Etanercept is a TNFR fusion protein bound to IgG. All carry the risk of increased susceptibility to intracellular pathogens, such as tuberculosis, coccidiomycosis, and Cryptococcus (64).

**IL-1 Inhibition (Anakinra, Rilonacept, and Canakinumab).** IL-1 is a signature proinflammatory cytokine and a primary effector of many inflammatory conditions, including RA and adult-onset Still’s disease. Two isoforms have been identified: IL-1α and IL-1β. IL-1α is constitutively expressed, present intracellularly in vascular endothelium, mucosal epithelium, keratinocytes, liver, lung, and kidney, and released on cell necrosis (e.g., from injury/ischemia). IL-1β is induced by macrophage/monocytes in response to TNF and other proinflammatory cytokines (65). Inhibition of IL-1 has been an attractive target not only for states of dysregulated inflammation but also, in the mitigation of injury in response to ischemic events, including postmyocardial infarction. At present, three agents are clinically available: anakinra (an IL-1 receptor antagonist), rilonacept (a soluble decoy receptor), and canakinumab (an anti-IL-1β mAb). Of interest, IL-1β is elevated in patients on maintenance hemodialysis and may contribute to the chronic inflammation noted in this population. Preliminary studies have examined the feasibility of targeted inhibition of IL-1 in patients on chronic hemodialysis, with additional studies ongoing (66).

**IL-6 Inhibition (Tocilizumab).** IL-6 is expressed in response to inflammatory stimuli and contributes to CD8 T cell differentiation, B cell differentiation, and activation of the hepatic acute-phase response. Increased circulating IL-6 has been associated with mortality in AKI and ESRD, malnutrition in ESRD, and rejection in recipients of kidney transplants (67). The humanized mAb tocilizumab is an inhibitor of IL-6 and has shown efficacy in RA (68). A phase 1/2 study in highly sensitized patients awaiting kidney transplantation (NCT01594424) is currently evaluating safety and effect on donor-specific anti-HLA antibodies, with other kidney transplant studies planned (NCT02108600), but currently, no studies in native acute or chronic renal disease are forthcoming.

**IL-17 Inhibition (Secukinumab).** IL-17 is a cytokine produced by CD4 T cells, but it is also secreted by CD8 T cells, eosinophils, monocytes, and neutrophils. IL-17 functions to increase inflammatory cell migration by stimulating chemokine release, and it increases APC activity to enhance adaptive immune responses. IL-17 has been shown to be a key mediator of injury in a number of autoimmune diseases,
including ankylosing spondylitis, psoriasis, and multiple sclerosis (MS). A human anti–IL-17A mAb (secukinumab) has been developed for clinical use, and recently, two phase 3 trials in psoriasis now show greater efficacy compared with placebo or the TNF-α inhibitor etanercept (69).

**Agents Targeting Chemokines and Cell Adhesion**

At present, there are a handful of agents that target specific chemokines or their receptors that have been approved for clinical use, although a multitude of others have been tested in early clinical development (70). Difficulties in successful drug development targeting chemokines can be attributed to poorly predictive preclinical models, a redundancy in chemokine signaling that circumvents targeted therapy, and an incomplete understanding of the signals that up- or downregulate chemokines that can occasionally appear paradoxical. Those agents that have found clinical applicability reflect this diversity. For example, approved chemokine receptor antagonists include the CCR5 receptor antagonist maraviroc used in the treatment of HIV, the CXCR4 antagonist plerixafor approved for hematopoietic stem cell mobilization, and the CCR4 humanized mAb mogamulizumab approved for the treatment of T cell lymphoma. Relevant to nephrology practice, emapritcap is an inhibitor to CCL2 (also known as monocyte chemotactic protein 1), which has been studied in phase 1 and 2 trials in diabetic nephropathy, with proof-of-concept studies showing a reduction in albuminuria presumably by inhibiting inflammatory cell migration into the kidney (71).

Antibodies targeting adhesion molecules have had a circuitous and tenuous route to clinical use. The agent FTY720 (fingolimod) is a sphingosine 1-phosphate (SIP) receptor modulator that binds to SIP receptors on lymphocytes, preventing lymphocyte migration from lymph node to the vasculature. Clinical trials in kidney transplantation were halted after no improvement over mycophenolate was noted together with untoward side effects of prolonged QT interval, bradycardia (caused by SIP receptor binding on cardiomyocytes), and macular edema. However, SIP receptors are present on neural cells and seem to be critical in neural cell migration during central inflammation in MS; fingolimod has now gained approval for the treatment of relapsing/remitting MS (72). Similarly, efalizumab is a humanized mAb to leukocyte function–associated antigen-1 that prevents lymphocyte activation and cell migration from the vasculature into tissues. It had been shown to be effective in moderate/severe plaque psoriasis, islet transplantation, and kidney transplant, but it has been withdrawn from the United States market after high rates of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease and brain infections, including progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, were reported (73,74). Finally, natalizumab, a humanized mAb against the adhesion molecule α4 integrin, blocks lymphocyte migration from vasculature to tissues and was approved by the FDA in 2004 for MS and later, Crohn’s disease; however, similar to efalizumab, there have been concerns regarding serious infections, such as progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, and it has been withdrawn and returned to the market, with strict monitoring programs in place (75).

**Pooled Polyclonal Antibodies as Immunosuppressive Agents**

**Immune Globulins**

*Intravenous Ig.* Intravenous Ig (IVIG) is an Ig extract pooled from several thousand plasma donors to create a product that is IgG rich. Although IVIG was initially used to provide passive immunity in patients with immune deficiencies (with doses of 500 mg/kg monthly), ongoing experience and research suggest a very diverse immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory role of IVIG therapy noted with high-dose therapy (1–2 g/kg) (76). Although the mechanisms underlying these effects are broad and may differ in each disease state in which a benefit has been reported, a few common mechanisms often cited include (1) direct binding to natural antibodies, immunomodulatory proteins (e.g., cytokines), or superantigens and pathogens, (2) inhibition of complement fixation on target tissues by acting as a complement sink, (3) Fc receptor (FcR) binding and subsequent inhibition of the FcR-mediated recycling of native IgG, and (4) stimulation of FcR-induced anti-inflammatory pathways. Its use in the nephrology specialties is primarily in the setting of kidney transplant for desensitization (inhibition and elimination of preformed HLA or blood group (ABO) antibodies to achieve a negative cross-match and permit transplant) and treatment of antibody-mediated rejection (77). Although effective as monotherapy, the addition of other modalities, including plasmapheresis, rituximab, and bortezomib, provides greater immunomodulatory effects and improved clinical outcomes (78). There are different products available that differ in their concentration of IgG, stabilizers, osmolality, and IgA content. The latter is important in that rare patients who suffer from severe IgA deficiency may produce anti-IgA antibodies and suffer anaphylactic reactions when receiving IVIG products. Side effects of IVIG include infusion-related effects (including hives, fever, and anaphylactoid reactions), headaches (including aseptic meningitis), thrombotic complications (including myocardial infarction), and AKI (predominantly seen with sucrose-containing preparations) (79).

**Polyclonal Antithymocyte Globulin.** Therapeutic antibodies to human lymphocyte antigens have been created by a number of techniques: by immunizing rabbits with human thymocytes (Thymoglobulin), immunizing horses with human thymocytes (Atgam), or immunizing rabbits with lymphocytes from a Jurkat T cell leukemia line (Fresenius antithymocyte globulin [ATG]). The resulting IgG fraction from sera is then purified and pasteurized for use. The resulting antibodies are polyclonal (i.e., all cell surface molecules presented on the infused thymocytes may lead to a humoral response in the immunized source, and the final preparation contains a vast array of diverse antibodies to various antigens). Although the Igs in these cases are anti–T cell predominant, there are many shared cell surface antigens among T cells and other immune cells; thus, the ATG products also have activity against B cells, monocytes, and neutrophils to lesser degrees. The primary mechanism of action of ATGs is lymphocyte depletion, predominantly by complement-dependent lysis and T cell activation–induced apoptosis (80). The two rabbit ATG products are most widely used at present for the treatment and prevention of acute kidney allograft rejection (81). When compiling small head-to-head trials of
Thymoglobulin versus ATG Fresenius, Thymoglobulin may be considered more potent in terms of both efficacy and un-toward effects (82). All ATG products, as nonhumanized IgG, are prone to symptoms consistent with cytokine release (fe-ver, chills, hypotension, and pulmonary edema) related to natural killer cell and macrophage/monocyte binding of FeR binding as well as cellular cytotoxicity (83).

Immunosuppressive Agents with Multiple Cellular Targets

Panlymphocyte Depleting Agents: Anti-CD52 (Alemtuzumab)

Anti-CD52 (Campath 1H and alemtuzumab) is a hu-manized mAb that binds to CD52, an antigen of unclear physiologic significance that is present on both B and T cells. Ligation of CD52 results in depletion of both lympho-clid cell lines. Its ability to induce prolonged, significant lymphopenia for up to 6–12 months after dosing led to its use in refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia (84). As a humanized antibody, fewer infusion-related side effects are noted than with other depleting agents, such as ATG. In kidney transplantation, growth in off-label use as an induction agent had grown over the last decade but recently, was abruptly diminished subsequent to manu-facturer removal from the United States market in prepara-tion for relabeling for use in MS (85). Kidney transplant trials suggest equivalence to other depleting agents in the preven-tion of rejection and efficacy in corticosteroid-withdrawal regimens, but the long-term effect of prolonged lymphopenia on the risk for infection or post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder is not determined (86,87).

Antiproliferative Agents: mTOR Inhibitors (Sirolimus and Everolimus)

In lymphoid cells, the mTOR pathway leads to cell cycle progression from G1 to S phase and proliferation in response to cytokine stimulation, including but not limited to IL-2 receptor binding (Figure 4). Inhibitors of mTOR that are clin-ically available include sirolimus, everolimus, and temsirolimus; the primary immunosuppressive mechanism of action of these agents has been attributed largely to inhibition of lymphocyte proliferation (7). However, mTOR signaling is not isolated to lymphocytes, and this intracellular signaling pathway has been described in monocytes/macrophages, dendritic cells, natural killer cells, and endothelial cells (8). Thus, inhibition of mTOR may be expected to lead to a number of clinically relevant effects related to its anti-proliferative, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, and antitumor ef-fects as well as a diverse side effect profile (88). mTOR inhibitors have been evaluated for their ability to inhibit cyst growth in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney dis-ease, with conflicting and modest results in large multicenter trials (89,90), have been effective in reducing intimal prolif-eration and obliterate vasculopathy in heart transplantation (91), have shown efficacy in the treatment of angiomylipomas (92), and have been approved for use in the treatment of advanced renal cell, breast, and other malignancies (93,94).

Antimetabolites: Inhibition of DNA Synthesis

AZA. AZA is an analog of 6-mercaptopurine; the me-tabolites of these agents act as both purine analogs (in-terfering with de novo purine synthesis and thus, DNA and RNA synthesis) and immunomodulatory agents (con-tributing to S-G2 cell cycle arrest in addition to other anti-inflammatory effects) (95). Toxicities that are often noted include bone marrow suppression and gastrointestinal in-tolerance (primarily upper gastrointestinal symptomatology). The xanthine oxidase inhibitors allopurinol and febuxostat slow elimination of 6-mercaptopurine and exacerbate the risk of these side effects (96). Use of AZA has dramatically decreased in kidney transplantation and rheumatologic diseases with the introduction of mycophenolate (discussed below), except in the setting of pregnancy plan-ning. AZA has not been associated with teratogenicity, unlike mycophenolate (97).

Mycophenolate. Mycophenolate is an inhibitor of IMPDH, the rate-limiting enzyme of guanine nucleotide synthesis critical for de novo purine synthesis and thus, DNA synthe-sis. T cells (and B cells) are dependent on the de novo path-way for DNA synthesis. Similar to AZA, primary side ef-fects are gastrointestinal and hematopoetic. Its efficacy in the prevention of rejection compared with AZA together with better tolerability than mTOR inhibitors have led to its use as the primary antimetabolite in transplantation, despite a lack of definitive long-term data showing improved graft outcomes. Its efficacy in autoimmune diseases and other glomerular diseases is increasingly appreciated (98,99). Therapeutic drug monitoring has not revealed a clear relationship between mycophenolate exposure and prevention of rejection in recipients of kidney transplants or clinical efficacy parameters in rheumatologic diseases (100). Two formulations are available, mycophenolate mofetil and enteric-coated mycophenolate sodium, with generic form-u-lations available for both. Despite generic availability, cost still is significantly greater than AZA.

Leflunomide. Leflunomide is a pyrimidine antagonist that blocks DNA synthesis and cell cycling from S to G2 phase. Its specific mechanism of action entails inhibition of the key rate-limiting enzyme dihydro-orotate dehydrogenase criti-cal for de novo pyrimidine synthesis (101). It is approved for use in RA, but its in vitro activity against cytomegalovirus and BK virus has prompted its off-label use in kidney transplantation for its potential dual antiviral and anti-inflam matory properties (102). A very long half-life (>14 days), hepatic and bone marrow toxicities, and a lack of compelling data supporting an advantage over reduction in immunosuppression alone in the clinical management of BK virus have reduced interest in leflunomide for this purpose, but it still is used off label in circumstances of drug-resistant cytomegalovirus infection (103).

Cytotoxic Agents (Cyclophosphamide) as Immunosuppressive Agents

A brief mention of cyclophosphamide is necessary given its use as an immunosuppressant in life-threatening or severe rheumatologic and renal diseases, including ANCA-related vasculitis, lupus nephritis, and other systemic vasculitides. Cyclophosphamide is an alkylating agent that is toxic to all human cells to differing degrees, with hematopoetic cells forming a particularly sensitive target (104). Primary toxic-ities, such as bladder toxicity, gonadal toxicity, and later malignancy, have led to attempts to minimize exposure (<250–300 mg/kg cumulative dose to avoid gonadal
toxicity and <360 mg/kg cumulative dose to minimize the risk of malignancy), attempts to use intermittent intravenous rather than daily oral therapy to minimize exposure, and search for alternative agents (for example, mycophenolate mofetil in lupus nephritis and rituxan in ANCA-related vasculitis) (105–107).
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