## **Table of contents**

- Table S1: Overview of testing methods
- Table S2: Baseline characteristics for the different functional dependencies
- Table S3: Overview of impairments in functional status at baseline and after 6 months of follow-up
- Table S4: Association between frailty tools and functional decline/death

S1. Overview of testing methods						
Geriatric condition Testing method Source		Range of score (Cut-off	Additional			
			value)	information/time		
				needed		

A. Geriatric Assessment		Patient	0-7 (≥2 Geriatric	Approximately 60
A. Genathe Assessine	TIC TIC	ratient	impairments*)	minutes
1. ADL	Katz-6 (1)	Patient	0-6 (≥1 dependent)	
2. IADL	Lawton and Brody (2)	Patient	0-7 (≥1 dependent)	
3. Cognition	, ,	Patient	≥1 impaired test	
3	MMSE (3)	Patient	0-30 (<25)	
	Clock (4)	Patient	0-14 (<11)	
	ECR (5)	Patient	0-16 (<14)	
	Semantic fluency test (6)	Patient	- (<5 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	Corrected for age and education level
4. Comorbidity	CIRS-G (7)	Patient	≥ 2x score 3 or ≥1x	Renal comorbidity
4. Comorbialty	- Severe	rationt	score 4	excluded
5. Mobility	TUG (8)	Patient	-	- If patients were
	- Mildly impaired		10-20 seconds	immobile the TUG
	- Severely impaired		>20 seconds	was scored as
				severely impaired.
				- Average of three
				measurements
6. Mood	GDS (9,10)	Patient	0-15 (≥5)	
7. Nutritional status	MNA (11)	Patient	0-30	
	- At risk for malnutrition		17-23.5	
	- Malnourished		<17	
B. Fried frailty index (	12)	Patient	0-5 (≥3)	Approximately 10 minutes
Malnutrition	1. Unintentionally weight loss (≥4,5kg or ≥5% of total body weight) in	Patient	Yes=1, No=0	
	previous year			
General health	2. Exhaustion	Patient	Yes=1, No=0	
Physical performance	3. Physical activity: Last 3 months ≥4 hours sedentary lifestyle, no activities like cycline or running	Patient	Yes=1, No=0	
	4. 4 meter walking test	Patient	≥6 seconds=1, <6	
			seconds=0	
	5. Handgrip strength (13)	Patient	<u>Men</u> ≥70 years: 21.4kg (=1) <70 years: 28.2kg (=0)	
			Women	
			≥70 years: 14.7kg (=1) <70 years: 15.4kg (=0)	
C. GFI (14)		Patient	0-15 (≥4)	Approximately 10
				minutes

Are you able to carry out these tasks single-handedly and without any help?	
<u> </u>	
·	Vac-0 Na-1
	Yes=0, No=1
	Yes=0, No=1
3	Yes=0, No=1
5	Yes=0, No=1
5. What mark do you give yourself for physical fitness? (scale 0 to 10)	0-6=1, 7-10=0
6. Do you experience problems in daily life because of poor vision	Yes=1, No=0
7. Do you experience problems in daily	Yes=1, No=0
	Yes=1, No=0
	163-1,140-0
<u> </u>	Yes=1, No=0
of medicine?	163-1,140-0
10. Do you have any complaints about your memory?	No=0, Sometimes=1, Yes=1
,	No=0, Sometimes=1,
·	Yes=1
12. Do you sometimes miss people	No=0, Sometimes=1, Yes=1
	No=0, Sometimes=1, Yes=1
14. In the past 4 weeks did you feel	No=0, Sometimes=1, Yes=1
15. In the past 4 weeks did you feel nervous or anxious?	No=0, Sometimes=1, Yes=1
_	6. Do you experience problems in daily life because of poor vision 7. Do you experience problems in daily life because of being hard hearing? 8. During the past 6 months have you lost a lot of weight unwillingly (3kg in 1 month or 6 kg in 2 months) 9. Do you take 4 or more different types of medicine? 10. Do you have any complaints about your memory? 11. Do you sometimes experience emptiness around you? 12. Do you sometimes miss people around you? 13. Do you sometimes feel abandoned? 14. In the past 4 weeks did you feel downhearted or sad? 15. In the past 4 weeks did you feel

## **Functional status**

Functional status	KATZ-6 (ADL) +	Patient	0-13 (0 fully
	LiADL (IADL)		independent, 1-5 mild/moderate
			dependence, >5 severe
			dependence on care)
Functional change	Difference between	Patient	-13-13 (≥-1 decline,
	functional status at		≥+1 improvement, 0
	baseline and functional		stable)
	status at follow-up		

## Other

NPI (15) Caregiver			0-12 (≥1 yes)		
IDDD (16) Caregiver		0-33 (≥1x score 2 (more help needed) or 3			
			(much more help needed))		
Caregiver burden	SPPIC (17)**	Caregiver	0-9 (≥4 moderate	Not available in	
			burden, (≥7 high	English, unofficial	
			burden)	translation used from	
				(18)	
1. As a consequence of the situation of my, I have too little time			No!=0, No=0, More or		
for myself			less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1		
2. Combining the responsibility of my and my family and work is			No!=0, No=0, More or		

challenging	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1
3. As a consequence of my involvement in the care of I do not	No!=0, No=0, More or
pay enough attention to others	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1
4. I must always be available for my	No!=0, No=0, More or
	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1
5. My independence gets into trouble through the care of	No!=0, No=0, More or
	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1
6. The situation of my constantly demands my attention	No!=0, No=0, More or
	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1
7. Because of my involvement by I am getting into conflicts at	No!=0, No=0, More or
home or at work	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1
8. The situation of my is a constant preoccupation	No!=0, No=0, More or
	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1
9. Generally speaking I feel very pressured by the situation of my	No!=0, No=0, More or
	less=1, Yes=1, Yes!=1

MMSE, mini mental state examination; ECR, enhanced cued recall; CIRS-G, Cumulative illness rating scale for geriatrics; ADL, activities of daily living, IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; TUG, timed up and go test; GDS, geriatric depression scale; MNA, mini nutritional assessment; GFI, Groningen Frailty Index; NPI, neuropsychological inventory; IDDD, interview of deterioration in daily life dementia; SPPIC, Self-Perceived Pressure from Informal Care.

## References

- 1. Katz S, Ford A., Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jaffe MW. Studies of illness in the aged. The index of ADL: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. JAMA. 1963 Sep;185:914–9.
- 2. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. Gerontologist. 1969;9(3):179–86.
- 3. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12(3):189–98.
- 4. Royall DR, Cordes JA, Polk M. CLOX: an executive clock drawing task. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1998;64(5):588–94.
- 5. Grober E, Buschke H, Crystal H, Bang S, Dresner R. Screening for dementia by memory testing. Neurology. 1988;38(June):900–3.
- 6. Schmand B, Houx P, de Koning I. The Stroop Colour Word test, the Trail Making test, the Rivermead Behavioural Memory test, Verbal fluency test. Dutch norms. Amsterdam: Netherlands Institute of Psychologists, Section of Neuropsychology; 2012.
- 7. Miller MD, Paradis CF, Houck PR, Mazumdar S, Stack J a., Rifai H a., et al. Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: Application of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. Psychiatry Res. 1992;41(3):237–48.
- 8. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1991 Feb;39(2):142–8.
- 9. Yesavage JA, Sheikh JI. 9/Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Clin Gerontol. 1986 Nov 18;5(1–2):165–73.

<sup>\*</sup> Impairment in cognition, comorbidity, ADL, IADL, mobility, mood or nutrition.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The SPPIC scores were dichotomized and summed subsequently. Scores 1 and 2 were recorded into 0 (not perceiving pressure) and scores 3, 4 and 5 were recorded into 1 (perceiving pressure).

- 10. Marc LG, Raue PJ, Bruce ML. Screening Performance of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) in a Diverse Elderly Home Care Population. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2008;16(11):914–21.
- 11. Guigoz Y, Vellas B. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) for grading the nutritional state of elderly patients: presentation of the MNA, history and validation. Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Clin Perform Programme. 1999;1(2):3-11-12.
- 12. Fried LPP, Tangen CMM, Walston J, Newman ABB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in Older Adults: Evidence for a Phenotype. J Gerontol Med Sci Am. 2001 Mar;56(3):146–56.
- 13. Electronic hand dynamometer, instructions for use [Internet]. Available from: http://latodis-med.com/upload/pdf/dinamometru-digital-camry-eh-101.pdf
- 14. Peters LL, Boter H, Buskens E, Slaets JPJ. Measurement Properties of the Groningen Frailty Indicator in Home-Dwelling and Institutionalized Elderly People. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2012;13(6):546–51.
- 15. Cummings JL, Mega M, Gray K, Rosenberg-Thompson S, Carusi DA, Gornbein J. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: comprehensive assessment of psychopathology in dementia. Neurology. 1994 Dec;44(12):2308–14.
- 16. Teunisse S, Derix MM, van Crevel H. Assessing the severity of dementia. Patient and caregiver. Arch Neurol. 1991 Mar;48(3):274–7.
- 17. Pot AM, van Dyck R, Deeg DJH. [Perceived stress caused by informal caregiving. Construction of a scale]. Tijdschr Gerontol Geriatr. 1995;26:214–9.
- 18. Mohammad NH, Walter AW, Oijen MGH Van, Hulshof MCCM. Burden of spousal caregivers of stage II and III esophageal cancer survivors 3 years after treatment with curative intent. 2015;3589–98.

Table S2. Baseline characteristics for the different functional dependencies					
		Functional dependence at baseline			
	Total	Independent	Mild/moderate	Severe	
	(n=187)	(n=40)	(n=96)	(n=51)	
Age mean (±SD)	75 (7)	73 (5)	75 (6)	78 (7)	
Women	61 (33%)	8 (20%)	33 (34%)	20 (39%)	
Single/widow	78 (42%)	21 (53%)	35 (37%)	22 (43%)	
Living at nursing home	10 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	9 (18%)	
Intoxications					
- Smoker* (n, %)	137 (73%)	31 (82%)	68 (74%)	38 (78%)	
- Current alcohol use	73 (41%)	19 (50%)	35 (39%)	19 (39%)	
Underlying kidney disease					
- Diabetes	29 (16%)	3 (8%)	13 (14%)	13 (26%)	
- Vascular	93 (50%)	22 (55%)	47 (49%)	24 (47%)	
- Other/unknown	65 (34%)	15 (38%)	36 (38%)	14 (27%)	
Hemodialysis (n,%)	144 (77%)	26 (65%)	74 (77%)	44 (86%)	
Geriatric assessment					
1. Impaired ADL at baseline (n,%)	56 (30%)	0 (0%)	16 (17%)	40 (78%)	
2. Impaired IADL at baseline (n,%)	146 (78%)	0 (0%)	95 (99%)	51 (100%)	
3. Impaired cognition (n,%)	123 (67%)	22 (55%)	64 (68%)	37 (76%)	
4. Severe comorbidity burden (n,%)	80 (43%)	11 (28%)	43 (45%)	26 (51%)	
5. Severely impaired mobility (n,%)	35 (20%)	0 (0%)	7 (8%)	28 (57%)	
6. Symptoms of depression (n,%)	56 (30%)	5 (13%)	23 (24%)	28 (55%)	
7. Malnutrition (n,%)	10 (5%)	0 (0%)	5 (5%)	5 (10%)	
Frail according to GA (≥2 impairments)	148 (77%)	10 (25%)	85 (89%)	49 (96%)	
Frail according to Fried Frailty Index (≥3)	82 (46%)	5 (14%)	36 (39%)	41 (84%)	
Frail according to GFI (≥4)	115 (62%)	16 (40%)	52 (54%)	47 (92%)	

ADL, Activities of daily living; IADL, Instrumental activities of daily living; GA, Geriatric assessment, GFI, Groningen frailty index.

The following variables had missing data: cognition (2.1%), Fried frailty index (4.8%), mobility (5.3% and smoking (4.3%)

<sup>\*</sup> Smoker; if the participant has smoked but stopped, or is still smoking cigarettes.

Table S3. Overview of impairments in (i)ADL at baseline and after 6 months of follow-up						
	Baseline (n=187)	Follow-up (n=173)	P-value			
Activities of daily living (patients with impairment, %)						
Bathing	37 (20%)	33 (19%)	1.0			
Dressing	29 (16%)	24 (14%)	1.0			
Toileting	8 (4%)	7 (4%)	1.0			
Transferring indoors	8 (4%)	8 (5%)	1.0			
Incontinence	32 (17%)	29 (17%)	1.0			
Feeding	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	1.0			
Instrumental activities of daily living (patients with impairment, %)						
Ability to use telephone	18 (10%)	22 (13%)	0.21			
Shopping	96 (51%)	92 (53%)	0.43			
Food preparation	76 (41%)	81 (47%)	0.08			
Housekeeping	83 (44%)	79 (46%)	0.72			
Laundry	104 (56%)	106 (61%)	0.06			
Mode of transportation	71 (38%)	70 (41%)	0.42			
Responsibility for own medications 86 (46%) 98 (57%) <0.01						

Table S4. Association between frailty tools and functional decline/death							
	Univariate analysis			Multivari	Multivariate analysis*		
	OR	95% CI	P-value	OR	95% CI	P-value	
Geriatric assessment (≥2)	1.74	0.86-3.49	0.12	1.65	0.81-3.35	0.17	
Fried Frailty Index (≥3)	1.56	0.86-2.83	0.14	1.46	0.80-2.68	0.22	
Groningen Frailty Indicator (≥4)	1.95	1.07-3.56	0.03	1.97	1.05-3.68	0.03	

OR, Odds ratio, 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ADL, Activities of daily living, IADL, instrumental activities of daily living

<sup>\*</sup>Adjusted for age and gender