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Abstract

Background and objectives Treatment with azathioprine within 3 months of remission induction with cyclophosphamide is a common treatment strategy for patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis. This study comprised patients undergoing long-term follow-up who were randomly allocated to azathioprine after 3–6 months or after 12 months of cyclophosphamide treatment.

Design, setting, participants, & measurements Patients from 39 European centers between 1995 and 1997 with a new diagnosis of ANCA-associated vasculitis that involved the kidneys or another vital organ were eligible. At the time of diagnosis, participants were randomly allocated to convert to azathioprine after 3–6 months (the azathioprine group) or after 12 months of cyclophosphamide (the cyclophosphamide group). Patients who did not achieve a remission within 6 months were excluded. This study assessed relapses, ESRD, and death during long-term follow-up.

Results Patients were allocated to the azathioprine group (n=71) and the cyclophosphamide group (n=73). Of these patients, 63 (43.8%) developed a relapse, 35 (24.3%) developed a renal relapse, 13 (9.0%) developed ESRD, and 21 (14.6%) died. Although there were worse outcomes in the azathioprine group, none were statistically significant. The subdistribution hazard ratio [sHR] for relapse was 1.63 (95% confidence interval [95% CI], 0.99 to 2.71), the composite of relapse or death hazard ratio [HR] was 1.59 (95% CI, 1.00 to 2.54), the ESRD sHR was 1.71 (95% CI, 0.56 to 5.19), and the death HR was 0.75 (95% CI, 0.32 to 1.79).

Conclusions It remains uncertain whether converting to azathioprine after 3–6 months of induction cyclophosphamide therapy is as effective as converting after 12 months. Outcomes are still poor for this group of patients and further research is required to determine the optimal timing of maintenance therapy.


Introduction

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener’s) and microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) are commonly associated with ANCA and are collectively referred to as ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV). Initial immunosuppressive therapy with cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids is the standard of care for patients with generalized disease (1,2). Although cyclophosphamide is effective at inducing remission of the disease, relapses are common irrespective of treatment. Furthermore, cyclophosphamide is associated with increasing toxicity as cumulative doses rise. The risks of infections in the short term and malignancy in the longer term are of particular concern (3–6).

Several strategies to reduce exposure to cyclophosphamide and mitigate its toxic effects while retaining disease control have been tested in randomized controlled trials (7–11). The Cyclophosphamide versus Azathioprine for Early Remission Phase of Vasculitis (CYCAZAREM) trial tested the effect of replacing daily oral cyclophosphamide with azathioprine after induction of remission rather than after 1 year of treatment in patients with generalized AAV and a serum creatinine≤5.6 mg/dl at presentation (7). At the time the trial closed (18 months after treatment started), 21 patients had a relapse of AAV with almost equal numbers observed between groups. Similarly, almost equal numbers experienced severe or life-threatening adverse events. Because no significant differences were observed between treatment groups, the trial concluded that cyclophosphamide can be withdrawn after induction of remission and a minimum of 3 months of cyclophosphamide therapy is given.

Whether the reduction in cyclophosphamide exposure in the first year of treatment affects the long-term course of AAV is uncertain. Recent experiences reducing cyclophosphamide exposure by use of pulses rather than daily dosing resulted in an increased risk for...
relapse, and replacing cyclophosphamide with methotrexate also suggested a higher risk and greater need for later immunosuppression (12,13). Similarly, introduction of azathioprine as early replacement for cyclophosphamide was associated with more relapses over 5 years in a retrospective cohort study (14). Given the uncertainty of the long-term consequences of early substitution of azathioprine for cyclophosphamide in generalized AAV, we conducted extended follow-up of patients enrolled in the CYCAZREM trial.

Materials and Methods

Design

The CYCAZREM trial was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the protocol is previously described and available online (www.vasculitis.org) (7). Briefly, CYCAZREM was an open-label, two-parallel-group randomized controlled trial that recruited from 39 centers in 11 European countries between 1995 and 1997. Patients were centrally allocated to one of two treatment groups by the method of minimization.

Patients

Eligible patients had granulomatosis with polyangiitis, MPA, or the renal limited form of MPA with renal involvement and/or threatened loss of other vital organ function. Patients had either a positive ANCA test by immunofluorescence or ELISA or vasculitis confirmed by a biopsy. Patients with severe renal involvement with a serum creatinine level of ≥5.6 mg/dl or that required dialysis were excluded. Registered patients that met the eligibility criteria and achieved disease remission, defined as the absence of disease activity documented with a Birmingham vasculitis activity score of zero, between 3 and 6 months went on to receive randomized treatment.

Treatment

All patients received the same remission-induction therapy composed of daily oral cyclophosphamide 2 mg/kg per day (reduced by 25 mg per day for age>60 years) and a tapering course of daily oral prednisolone (initially at 1 mg/kg per day) until remission. Those in remission between 3 and 6 months after trial registration were randomly assigned to either stop cyclophosphamide and commence 2 mg/kg azathioprine immediately (azathioprine group) or continue cyclophosphamide treatment until 12 months (cyclophosphamide group). All patients received 1.5 mg/kg azathioprine daily between months 12 and 18. After 18 months, patients were treated according to local standards of care both in terms of maintenance treatment and for the treatment of relapses.

The use of prophylaxis against Pneumocystis jiroveci, gastritis, fungal infection, and fractures was determined by local standards of practice.

Data Collection

Within-trial data were collected prospectively between 1995 and 1999 when the trial closed. Investigators completed standardized data abstraction forms between 2005 and 2008 to assess long-term outcomes. Data from the last in-trial follow-up were used when patients were lost to long-term follow-up.

Study Outcomes

The primary outcome was first relapse. Relapse was defined as new or worsened disease activity that occurred after an initial remission and required a change in therapy. Efficacy was further compared by assessing the outcomes of the composite of relapse and all-cause mortality, the incidence rate of relapses, renal relapse (i.e., relapse that included a renal manifestation), and medication exposure after the end of the trial. Safety was assessed by comparing the outcomes of all-cause mortality, ESRD (i.e., need for permanent dialysis or renal transplantation), and frequency of malignancies.

Statistical Analyses

All patients who entered remission between 3 and 6 months after trial registration were included in all analyses. Descriptive data are presented as the median (25th to 75th percentile) for continuous variables and as the frequency (percentage) for categorical data.

The outcomes were assessed using time-to-event analyses. The effect of treatment assignment on the primary outcome (relapse) was estimated using competing risk regression methods which jointly model the subdistributions of the primary and competing event (15). The subdistribution hazard ratio (SHR) was calculated for the time to first relapse for patients in the azathioprine group compared with those in the cyclophosphamide group while considering the competing risk of death. The competing risk paradigm was used because death may informatively censor patients (e.g., if one of the treatments increased the risk of early death, such as by infections, and thereby removed patients from the risk set for relapse). Similarly, we used competing risk models to assess renal relapses using both death and ESRD as competing risks and for the outcome of ESRD using death as a competing risk. We assessed all-cause mortality using a Cox proportional hazard model because there is no competing risk, and we calculated the hazard ratio (HR). We calculated the incidence rate ratio (IRR) for all relapses over the entire follow-up period.

The frequency of patients with at least one malignancy was compared using Fisher’s exact test. Medication exposure was assessed by comparing the frequency of any use of prednisone, cyclophosphamide, and other immunosuppressants during each predefined time period after the end of the original trial (i.e., 18–24, 25–36, 37–48, and 49–60 months of follow-up). Treatment groups were compared independently for each time period using Fisher’s exact test.

Estimates of the effect of allocation to the azathioprine group, their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs), and associated P values were calculated for each outcome. A two-tailed P value <0.05 was considered significant for all analyses with no adjustments made for multiple comparisons. Subgroup analyses were performed to determine whether treatment effects were different for patients who were positive for an anti-proteinase 3 (PR3) antibody compared with patients who were negative for an anti-PR3 antibody. Sensitivity analyses were performed by adjusting for anti-PR3 antibody status and for serum creatinine, important risk factors for relapse. All analyses were performed with Stata 11 MP software (College Station, TX).
Results

Figure 1 summarizes the flow of patients. Of the 158 patients registered, 144 (93%) were randomized to either the azathioprine group (71 allocated to azathioprine after 3–6 months of cyclophosphamide) or the cyclophosphamide group (73 allocated to azathioprine after 12 months of cyclophosphamide). Of these patients, 125 (87%) completed long-term follow-up (63 of 71 [89%] in the azathioprine group and 62 of 73 [85%] in the cyclophosphamide group). All analyses included all 144 patients. These 144 patients contributed a total of 1016 patient-years of follow-up with a median follow-up time of 8.5 years. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Efficacy Outcomes

Sixty-three patients experienced a relapse: 37 (52%) in the azathioprine group and 26 (36%) in the cyclophosphamide group (sHR, 1.63; 95% CI, 0.99 to 2.71; P = 0.06) (Figure 2). The composite outcome of relapse and death was also more common in the azathioprine group (HR, 1.59; 95% CI, 1.00 to 2.54; P = 0.05). The incidence of relapse was not significantly higher in the azathioprine group (IRR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.82; P = 0.22). Of first relapses, 19 (27%) were renal in the azathioprine group compared with 16 (22%) in the cyclophosphamide group (sHR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.65 to 2.43; P = 0.50). ESRD occurred in eight patients (11%) in the azathioprine group and five patients (7%) in the cyclophosphamide group (sHR, 1.71; 95% CI, 0.56 to 5.19; P = 0.35). No significant differences were observed between groups with regard to the proportion of patients receiving cyclophosphamide, corticosteroids, and/or other immunosuppressants during months 19–60 (Table 2).

Subgroup analysis of patients who were positive for anti-PR3 antibody compared with those who were negative for anti-PR3 antibody did not reveal a significant difference between the azathioprine and cyclophosphamide groups (interaction P = 0.71). Of patients who were positive for anti-PR3 antibody, 27 (61%) in the azathioprine group and 19 (41%) in the cyclophosphamide group had at least one relapse (sHR, 1.66; 95% CI, 0.93 to 2.98). Of patients who were negative for anti-PR3 antibody, 10 (37%) in the azathioprine group and seven (26%) in the cyclophosphamide group had at least one relapse (sHR, 1.57; 95% CI, 0.59 to 4.18). In the sensitivity analysis adjusted for serum creatinine and proteinase 3 status, the sHR for the azathioprine group was not substantially changed (sHR, 1.56; 95% CI, 0.93 to 2.63).

Safety Outcomes

The groups did not differ significantly with respect to any of the safety outcomes. Death occurred in nine patients (13%) in the azathioprine group and 12 patients (16%) in the cyclophosphamide group (HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.32 to 1.79; P = 0.52). The distribution of causes of death did not differ significantly between groups (Table 3). Malignancies occurred in six patients (8%) in the azathioprine group and 11 patients (15%) in the cyclophosphamide group (P = 0.30).

Figure 1. | Patient flow. AZA, azathioprine group; CYC, cyclophosphamide group.
Discussion

We assessed the long-term outcomes of patients with generalized AAV that started maintenance therapy with azathioprine immediately after remission induction or after 12 months of cyclophosphamide therapy. Earlier initiation of azathioprine did not result in a statistically significant loss of efficacy although relapses were numerically more frequent and immunosuppressive drugs and glucocorticoids were used more frequently. Although there is no clear disadvantage associated with early initiation of azathioprine, further research is required to determine whether this treatment regimen increases the long-term risk of relapse.

Cyclophosphamide-related side effects such as infections, hemorrhagic cystitis, infertility, and malignancy raised serious concerns over its safety and prompted several trials exploring treatment regimens to limit exposure in AAV. However, cyclophosphamide is recognized as one of the factors that transformed outcomes for patients with AAV, and reducing its use could inadvertently worsen disease activity–related outcomes. Two long-term follow-up studies based on randomized trials assessing strategies to reduce cyclophosphamide exposure in AAV demonstrated a higher relapse risk in the treatment groups with limited or no cyclophosphamide exposure (12,13). Furthermore, the relative increase in relapse risk observed after cyclophosphamide-sparing therapy in these studies was comparable with the increase in relapse risk observed in the azathioprine group in our study (12,13). The consistency of these findings suggests that low cumulative cyclophosphamide exposure during the initial 6–12 months of treatment may increase longer-term relapse risk despite the lack of statistical significance in individual trials.

If higher initial exposure to cyclophosphamide reduces the risk of relapse in the long-term, the choice of induction regimen may be tailored to patients’ risk of relapse and risk of cyclophosphamide-related comorbidity. For example, patients with a high risk of a relapse and a low risk of cyclophosphamide-induced complications may rationally opt for longer durations of cyclophosphamide therapy. In the past, the need for further cyclophosphamide exposure as a

---

### Table 1. Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>AZA (n=71)</th>
<th>CYC (n=73)</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>56 (47–66)</td>
<td>57 (45–67)</td>
<td>57 (47–67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>41 (58)</td>
<td>35 (48)</td>
<td>76 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>46 (65)</td>
<td>46 (63)</td>
<td>92 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PR3*</td>
<td>44 (62)</td>
<td>46 (63)</td>
<td>90 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.93–2.41)</td>
<td>2.35 (1.21–3.51)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.09–2.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham vasculitis activity score</td>
<td>17.5 (7.5–24)</td>
<td>16 (10–24)</td>
<td>17 (10–24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the median (interquartile range; 25th to 75th percentile) or n (%). GPA, granulomatosis with polyangiitis/Wegener’s; anti-PR3*, anti-proteinase 3 antibody or positive for cytoplasmic ANCA if an ELISA was not performed; AZA, azathioprine group; CYC, cyclophosphamide group.

---

Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of relapse of ANCA-associated vasculitis by allocated maintenance treatment group. Cumulative incidence is adjusted for the competing risk of death. AZA, azathioprine group; CYC, cyclophosphamide group.
and between trials strengthens the consistency of results within that has been widely adopted (1,2,16). Patient follow-up version from cyclophosphamide to azathioprine, a practice of the few randomized controlled trials to assess early conversion to azathioprine and therefore higher lifetime cumulative doses, was part of the motivation for initial cyclophosphamide-sparing regimens. However, in the era of increasing choices of induction agents (e.g., rituximab) for major relapses, this may be less of a concern for the treatment of newly diagnosed disease. Furthermore, if higher initial exposure to cyclophosphamide results in fewer relapses, the lifetime exposure to cyclophosphamide may actually be reduced in selected patients.

In our long-term follow-up study, almost one half of all patients experienced a relapse and more than one half continued to receive immunosuppressive drugs up to 5 years after diagnosis. Furthermore, 21% of patients developed ESRD or died. These findings highlight that although outcomes have improved for patients with AAV, a need for more effective and safer treatment remains, which is a message that has not adequately powered to detect moderate differences in the risk of disease relapse. Thus, we are unable to exclude the possibility that a clinically important increase in the long-term risk of relapse with early conversion to azathioprine exists. Furthermore, there was a modest difference in the serum creatinine concentrations at the time of randomization. However, adjustment for potential confounders such as creatinine did not materially alter the results. We also lack information to determine the severity of the relapses that occurred after the 18-month trial period. A qualitative difference in the severity of relapses could make the observed difference in the frequency of relapses more or less clinically relevant. Data on cumulative drug doses during months 19–60 were not collected, and a more detailed comparison of drug exposures in the two treatment groups was not possible. Further follow-up and epidemiologic data, as well as data from ongoing trials, will help further clarify the potential risks and benefits of early conversion to azathioprine and the risks of increased cyclophosphamide exposure (e.g., malignancy).

Uncertainty remains regarding whether initiating azathioprine 3–6 months after induction of remission with cyclophosphamide is less effective for the prevention of relapses than initiating azathioprine after 12 months of cyclophosphamide treatment. There may be a trade-off between the higher risk of relapse and the risk of other adverse outcomes that are likely associated with higher cyclophosphamide exposure. Further study is required to determine whether some patients should have the initiation of azathioprine therapy delayed after achieving a clinical remission.

Table 2. Therapy beyond the 18-month trial period for patients in the CYCAZAREM trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time after Randomization (mo)</th>
<th>Cyclophosphamide</th>
<th>Other Immunosuppressantsa</th>
<th>Prednisolone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CYC</td>
<td>AZA</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–24</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–36</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37–48</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49–60</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Contributing causes of death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of Death</th>
<th>CYC (n=12)</th>
<th>AZA (n=9)</th>
<th>P Valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active vasculitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Patients can have more than one contributing cause of death. CYC, cyclophosphamide group; AZA, azathioprine group.

*P value from Fisher’s exact test.
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