Peripheral Arterial Disease: A Guide for Nephrologists
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Cardiovascular disease is a major source of morbidity and mortality for patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a strong predictor of coronary artery disease and a risk factor for mortality in the general population. This is of particular interest to nephrologists because the risk for PAD is increased in CKD. Often, PAD is overlooked as a source of morbidity and as a cardiovascular risk factor in this population. This review serves as an overview of the epidemiology, screening, diagnosis, and treatment of PAD with an emphasis on CKD.


Individuals with chronic kidney disease (CKD) are at increased risk for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (1–3), which include specific conditions such as coronary artery disease (CAD), congestive heart failure, and peripheral arterial disease (PAD). The term PAD is used to describe obstructive atherosclerosis of the lower extremities. It is traditionally defined by an ankle-brachial index (ABI) of <0.9. Nephrologists are often both primary physician and specialist for their patients with CKD, particularly those who are on dialysis. The patient population with CKD is particularly at risk for PAD; therefore, nephrologists must be knowledgeable about screening, diagnosis, and treatment strategies for this condition. Much of the literature regarding CVD in CKD has overlooked PAD (4). The recent American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines for management of PAD do not list CKD as a risk factor for PAD. Within the field of nephrology, the recommendations of Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) guidelines for screening and management of PAD in ESRD are largely based on evidence from studies of nondialysis patients and expert opinions. Recognition of PAD is important because of its association with increased risk for cardiovascular events. PAD is common in patients with CKD, with a prevalence of 24% in one cross-sectional analysis (5). It is associated with poor prognosis and often coexists with other conditions that are associated with adverse outcomes, such as CAD and diabetes. CKD is also associated with an increased risk for PAD (5–8). Recognition of this link is growing. The latest Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of PAD (TASC II) guidelines recognized CKD as a risk factor for PAD (9). This was largely based on findings of the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS) in which CKD was found to be independently associated with PAD in postmenopausal women (7). PAD is also of concern because of the progressive nature of the disease and risk for amputation. For the nondialysis patient with PAD, 1 to 3% with claudication will undergo an amputation in 5 yr (9). Among patients with ESRD, amputation for PAD is more prevalent compared with the general population (10). In addition, revascularization procedures among dialysis patients are often associated with subsequent amputation (11) and high mortality at 1 yr (12). This review details the diagnosis and therapy for PAD with a particular emphasis on patients with CKD.

Epidemiology/Risk Factors

PAD affects approximately 5% of adults in the United States who are 40 yr and older (13). The incidence of PAD increases with age. Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) reveals that the prevalence of PAD (Figure 1) (5) in the age group 50 to 59 yr is 2.5% and increases to 14.5% in the age group of >70 yr (14). Patients with impaired renal function have a greater than two-fold risk for developing PAD (5). The NHANES 1999–2000 found 24% of adults who were older than 40 yr and had a creatinine clearance <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² to have an ABI of <0.9 (5). In the dialysis population, according to United States Renal Data System report, the incidence of clinical PAD is 15% (15).

Traditional cardiovascular risk factors, such as tobacco use, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension, are also associated with PAD. Unmodifiable risk factors that are associated with PAD include black race, male gender, and age. Of the modifiable risk factors for PAD in the general population, tobacco abuse is likely the most important (16). The prevalence of PAD among those with diabetes is high, and many people’s diabetes is undiagnosed (17). Dyslipidemia plays an important role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and is strongly associated with PAD. Although hypertension is a risk factor for PAD, there is no clear evidence that antihypertensive medications alter disease progression.

No studies have examined risk factors for PAD specifically in patients with CKD. However, as mentioned previously, CKD itself is increasingly recognized as a risk factor for PAD. A recent study demonstrated CKD to be as significant a risk factor for PAD as compared with traditional factors, such as tobacco.
The reasons for increased incidence of PAD in the CKD population are not entirely clear. Patients who are on dialysis may also have unique factors that predispose them to PAD. Specifically, manifestations of kidney disease, such as hyperphosphatemia, hyperparathyroidism, and chronic inflammation, are implicated in the development of PAD. Hyperphosphatemia is common in dialysis patients. Evidence suggests that elevated serum phosphorus is an independent risk factor for PAD in dialysis patients (20). Dialysis patients are in a state of chronic inflammation as a result of “uremic” factors, the dialysis techniques, and oxidative stress. Chronic inflammatory states are often associated with impaired nutrition and hypoalbuminemia. Hypoalbuminemia in ESRD has been demonstrated as a risk factor for PAD and general vascular morbidity (21,22). Homocysteine levels, which are often elevated in dialysis patients, have been associated with PAD in this group (23). Other factors that have been linked to PAD in the general population but not specifically in patients with CKD include elevated C-reactive protein (24), and lipoprotein (a). Much of the data available regarding risk factors for PAD in CKD, including those discussed in this review, are from large cross-sectional studies. Longitudinal studies are needed to characterize further PAD risk factors in CKD.

Screening

The 2006 ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Peripheral Arterial Disease consider individuals in the following categories to be at risk for PAD: Age 70 yr and older, age 50 to 69 yr and a history of smoking and/or diabetes, age 40 to 49 and diabetes and at least one other risk factor for atherosclerosis, leg symptoms suggestive of claudication with exertion or ischemic pain at rest, abnormal lower extremity pulse examination, and known atherosclerosis at other sites (e.g., coronary, carotid, or renal arterial disease) (25). Individuals with PAD have up to a six-fold increased risk for death from CAD (26). Even individuals with asymptomatic PAD are at increased risk for cardiovascular events (27). Individuals with premature PAD (diagnosed by age 60 yr) may have an accelerated course of atherosclerosis and increased risk for CAD (28).

Given the increased incidence of PAD in CKD, the K/DOQI guidelines recommend screening all patients upon initiation of dialysis. Screening for and early diagnosis of PAD in this population may be important because of its association with increased mortality in dialysis patients (29–31) (Figure 2). However, the K/DOQI guidelines in this area must be read with caution given the lack of strength in evidence supporting them. Furthermore, these guidelines address only dialysis patients and do not make specific recommendations for those with CKD that does not require dialysis. Screening is a controversial issue in this population because there is no consensus regarding optimal treatment strategies. The issues regarding cardiovascular mortality, lower limb mortality, patient’s functional status, and candidacy for available medical and interventional therapies must be weighed when making the decision to screen for PAD in CKD. Patients with CKD and ESRD may not be candidates for revascularization, which would be an argument against screening in these situations. If the decision is made to screen, then an ABI should be performed. Most nephrology practices and dialysis units are not equipped for these measurements. ABI should be performed in the patient’s primary care office, if offered, or in many cases, the patient should be referred to a certified vascular laboratory or a vascular medicine specialist’s office. A vascular laboratory ABI is often preferred in CKD because these patients have a higher likelihood of calcified vessels, which can produced abnormally high ABI.

Figure 1. Prevalence of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) by age and gender, adults 40 years and older, United States, 1999 to 2000 (n = 2174). Error bars are 95% confidence intervals. *Estimate has relative Se >30%. Reprinted from Selvin and Erlinger (14), with permission.

Figure 2. Adjusted survival curves for new patients who had ESRD with and without peripheral vascular disease (PVD) in the United States and who began dialysis between May 1995 and December 2000 and were followed until 2001. Relative risk (RR) is adjusted for age, gender, and race. Reprinted from Stack (31), with permission from Elsevier.
values and thus require additional testing to confirm the diagnosis (29).

**Diagnosis**

Diagnosis begins with a detailed review of systems in patients who are at risk for PAD, as outlined in the ACC/AHA guidelines. The medical history should focus on symptoms of claudication, rest pain, impaired ability to walk, and nonhealing lower extremity wounds. Classically, patients with PAD present with claudication, defined as reproducible muscle pain that occurs with activity and improves with rest. It results from a discrepancy in the demand for and availability of blood flow to a particular muscle group. Conditions other than lower extremity atherosclerosis can result in claudication-like symptoms, such as compartment syndromes, deep venous thrombosis, and spinal stenosis. A detailed history and physical examination should distinguish between these other diagnoses. For example, a history of trauma, edema, or back problems may point to diagnoses other than PAD. Although claudication is traditionally associated with PAD, most patients present with atypical leg symptoms. Recent studies of patients with PAD document that only 10 to 33% of individuals demonstrate classic claudication symptoms (17,32). At more advanced stages, PAD may manifest as rest pain, nonhealing leg ulcers, or gangrene. Physical examination should focus on skin integrity (e.g., hair loss, presence of wounds or ulcers) and assessment of peripheral pulses. Diminished bilateral peripheral pulses, femoral bruits, and prolonged capillary refill are very specific for PAD (33).

Individuals with an appropriate clinical history and physical examination findings along with previously mentioned risk factors should undergo ABI, the standard noninvasive diagnostic test for PAD. This technique is well validated, and values are predictive of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (26). The ABI is based on the fact that in normal circulation, the systolic BP in the leg is higher or equal to that in the arm. In PAD, lower extremity arterial lesions lower the systolic pressure in the leg, leading to an ABI < 1.0. However, as with most diagnostic tests, the ABI has some limitations. There is no defined upper limit for ABI, but most studies use 1.3 as a cut point. Calcified vessels, as occurs commonly in patients with diabetes, in association with medial artery calcinosis, can result in ABI values that are supranormal or unmeasurable. Initially, it was believed that these supranormal ABI measurements were inconclusive. However, a recent study indicated that high ABI values may in fact be equally predictive of cardiovascular events and are associated with similar risk factor profiles (34).

The ABI may be difficult to interpret in patients with advanced CKD and dialysis patients, often giving very elevated measurements as a result of vascular calcifications (6). This has led some to recommend screening with alternative techniques in this population. The toe-brachial index and pulse-volume recordings have been demonstrated in one study to be more sensitive than ABI for diagnosing PAD in ESRD (35). A positive ABI often requires magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) to define the extent of disease. Magnetic resonance imaging has been validated for precise measures of femoral artery lumen area and vessel wall calcification and detecting areas of stenosis >50% (36). However, these tests are not without adverse effects. There are now concerns that the contrast that is used for these examinations, specifically gadolinium, is associated with the development of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) in patients with severely impaired renal function; therefore, use of MRA for patients with CKD may also be limited (37,38). A recent case series demonstrated that dialysis patients who were exposed to gadolinium from MRA developed NSF within 2 to 4 wk of exposure (38). This report and others have led to a Food and Drug Administration public health advisory for policies to limit gadolinium exposure in patients with CKD and dialysis patients. More data are needed regarding the association between gadolinium and NSF to determine whether the exposure poses significant clinical concern. However, given the current level of concern, caution is advised when ordering MRA with gadolinium in patients with GFR <30 ml/min.

**Therapy**

There are no randomized, controlled trial data for therapy for PAD in dialysis patients. Goals of therapy are both treatment of PAD and cardiovascular risk reduction. Measures for secondary prevention are similar to those for CAD, and PAD is now considered a CAD equivalent. Secondary preventive therapies include avoidance of smoking, use of statins, and BP control. Smoking cessation has been shown to slow disease progression in the general population as measured by increased walking times and decreased pain in those with intermittent claudication (39). There are no studies regarding impact of smoking cessation on PAD among patients with CKD. Among dialysis patients, current smoking is predictive of CVD, including PAD (40).

Lipoprotein management is a major component of CVD risk factor modification. Patients with PAD benefit from lipid control by reducing the incidence of cardiovascular events and PAD progression. Statins are first-line therapy for hyperlipidemia, and a number of trials have examined their effects in individuals with PAD. Among patients without CKD, these agents have been shown to reduce peripheral atherosclerosis (41) and increase pain-free walking (42–44). No randomized, controlled trials have documented the effects of statin therapy in PAD among patients with CKD. Multiple trials have documented a reduction of cardiovascular events in patients who have CKD and are on statin therapy (45,46). In dialysis patients with diabetes, however, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial did not demonstrate a protective effect of statin therapy on death from cardiac causes (47). Therefore, the benefits of statin therapy in this group are unclear. Studies are ongoing to define better the benefits of lipid-lowering therapy in CKD (48).

Studies of the effects of BP control on reducing cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction demonstrate benefits in the general population of patients with PAD. For example, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial demonstrated a reduction in cardiovascular events in patients who had PAD and were treated with ramipril (49). The impact of BP control on cardiovascular events among patients with CKD and PAD has not specifically been studied. Strict BP control is routine care for
patients with PAD. This is extended to patients with CKD and dialysis patients, who usually require BP control for management of their renal disease and cardioprotection as well.

Therapies for claudication include exercise programs and medical therapy. Exercise programs reduce claudication symptoms and increase walking times (50,51). The improvements that are gained from exercise are thought to be related to improved angiogenesis and nitric oxide release, which improves endothelial dysfunction and exercise tolerance (52–54).

An exercise program should consist of lower limb aerobic activity that lasts for 45 to 60 min three or more times per week. Supervised exercise programs that are designed for PAD rehabilitation are highly recommended; however, studies of patients who engage in adequate self-directed programs also demonstrate some benefits (55). The efficacy and the safety of exercise programs for patients with CKD and dialysis patients with PAD is unknown and represents an area of much-needed study.

The evidence for medical therapies that reduce symptoms and disease progression are strongest for antiplatelet therapies (56). There may be a modest benefit with clopidogrel over aspirin. The Clopidogrel versus Aspirin in Patients with Ischemic Events (CAPRIE) trial found a reduced cardiovascular risk in the clopidogrel-treated group (57). Clopidogrel is more costly than aspirin, which may have an impact the prescribing decision. Severe CKD was an exclusion criterion for enrollment in the CAPRIE trial, so benefits of clopidogrel in this group are unclear. The ACC/AHA guidelines do recommend clopidogrel as an aspirin alternative (25). However, the Transatlantic Inter-Society Consensus (TASC) guidelines recommend either aspirin or clopidogrel (58).

As an antiplatelet agent, clopidogrel can pose a perioperative bleeding risk. For example, patients who received clopidogrel and underwent coronary bypass procedures were shown to experience severe bleeding that required blood transfusions and reoperation compared with patients who did not receive clopidogrel (59). The peak effects of clopidogrel with respect to bleeding time and platelet function are anywhere from 3 to 7 d. For this reason, many recommend discontinuation of clopidogrel at least 5 d before elective surgery. However, transplantation often does not allow for such planning. For this reason and the associated increased risk for bleeding, some centers will refuse transplantation in patients who are receiving clopidogrel therapy. The authors stressed the importance of weighing the benefits of clopidogrel therapy versus other antiplatelet agents for PAD in the patient who has CKD and is awaiting transplantation.

Patients who have inadequate relief with aspirin and exercise and who are unable to undergo revascularization or decline this may be candidates for cilostazol or pentoxifylline. Cilostazol is a phosphodiesterase inhibitor that reduces platelet aggregation and acts as a mild vasodilator. Studies have documented its efficacy in reducing claudication and increasing walking times (60–63). Use of cilostazol is contraindicated in patients with congestive heart failure, although there are no studies in this population. In addition, information in the package insert indicates that cilostazol has altered lipid binding and reduced clearance in severe renal impairment. Caution is advised for use in individuals with a creatinine clearance <25 ml/min and in dialysis patients because of concerns that the highly protein-bound drug may not be adequately cleared with dialysis. However, this drug has not been studied in dialysis patients. Pentoxifylline is less efficacious than cilostazol but is often used as an adjunctive agent. Clearance is reduced in renal failure, so dosages must be adjusted appropriately in those settings.

Severe forms of PAD often manifest in the form of critical limb ischemia. Critical limb ischemia is defined by rest pain and ischemic skin lesions such as ulcers and gangrene. In the general population, revascularization is the optimal therapy for critical limb ischemia (25,64). Revascularization via percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) procedures is preferred. There are no randomized, controlled trial data regarding revascularization techniques in patients with CKD and dialysis patients. A retrospective analysis of patients who had CKD and underwent lower limb revascularization found lower rates of limb loss and mortality compared with ESRD (65). Mortality rates are inversely correlated with kidney function (66). Patients with ESRD often are not good candidates for PTA because of distal disease and vascular calcifications. However, a retrospective analysis of hemodialysis patients saw lower mortality and higher limb salvage rates in those who underwent percutaneous revascularization compared with surgical revascularization (11). The findings of these retrospective analyses may reflect selection bias, and large, randomized clinical trials are needed to determine better which patients with CKD and ESRD benefit from revascularization and whether outcomes are truly superior with percutaneous compared with surgical techniques.

Individuals with lesions that are not amenable to PTA are considered for surgical revascularization. Vascular surgery is considered high risk; therefore, patients must undergo appropriate preoperative screening. Younger patients without diabetes have the best outcomes (67). Age, male gender, diabetes, and hypertension were predictors of mortality after surgical revascularization in a study of long-term follow-up in patients who underwent these procedures (68). Venous bypass grafts have high rates (25 to 30%) of stenosis within the first year. Antiplatelet agents, particularly aspirin, are the only therapy that has been shown to improve graft patency (69,70).

Patients with CKD that does not require dialysis are at high risk for postoperative mortality and cardiovascular events (66). Unfortunately, revascularization outcomes are worse in individuals with ESRD (67,71). Particularly, there is higher incidence of perioperative mortality, prolonged hospitalization, limb loss after revascularization, and delayed wound healing (11,65,71). One study found that selected dialysis patients did well with revascularization, particularly those who were ambulatory and without uncontrolled infection and extensive tissue necrosis (11). There are no prospective data to guide selection of dialysis patients for revascularization. Therefore, given the poor outcomes of revascularization in dialysis patients and the high-risk nature of these surgical procedures in patients with CKD, there must be careful consideration before pursuing these procedures. If surgery is pursued, then these patients...
Table 1. PAD summary points for the nephrologist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factors</th>
<th>Modifiable</th>
<th>Nonmodifiable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>diabetes</td>
<td>male gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tobacco abuse</td>
<td>black race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CKD/ESRD</td>
<td>age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dyslipidemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hypertension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Classic Signs/Symptoms**
- Claudication
- Rest pain
- Leg ulcers
- Gangrene

**Screening**
- ABI
- TBI

**Diagnosis**
- MRI
- CT angiography
- CO₂ angiography
  (must consider risks of gadolinium and contrast exposure)

**Treatment**
- Medical (no prospective studies done in CKD/ESRD)
  - antiplatelet therapy
  - smoking cessation
  - statins
  - BP and glycemic control
- Nonmedical (must consider high risk for perioperative morbidity and mortality, eventual need for amputation)
  - percutaneous versus surgical revascularization amputation

**Conclusion**
PAD is an often overlooked condition and risk factor for CVD. It has an increased prevalence within the population with CKD. Screening for PAD in this population is of interest to the nephrologist because of its association with CAD and the disproportionately high rates of amputation among patients with CKD. Both the ACC/AHA and K/DOQI guidelines recommend screening individuals who are at risk. However, the evidence for screening in CKD is lacking. In addition, there is a paucity of study data for effective therapies for PAD in individuals with kidney disease, and this further complicates the decision to screen these patients. CKD increases the risk for morbidity, mortality, and limb loss after revascularization. Nephrologists and their patients must be knowledgeable of risks that are associated with therapies, as well as the increased risk for amputation. More studies are needed to determine which therapies for PAD are beneficial in patients with CKD and dialysis patients. Future research should focus on prospective analysis of preventive measures, revascularization strategies, and medical therapies for PAD in CKD.
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