A Practical Citrate Anticoagulation Continuous Venovenous Hemodiafiltration Protocol for Metabolic Control and High Solute Clearance
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Obstacles to the widespread use of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) include the need for anticoagulation, customized solutions, and complex protocols that carry an attendant risk for error, raise cost, and increase pharmacy and nursing workload. However, high solute clearance using CRRT with an effluent rate of 35 ml/kg per h has also recently been associated with improved survival in critically ill patients with acute renal failure. No published CRRT protocols using dilute regional citrate anticoagulation have achieved adequate metabolic control, effective anticoagulation, and high solute clearance in a practical, user-friendly, and economical manner. The safety and the efficacy of continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration at effluent rates of 35 ml/kg per h in critically ill acute renal failure patients were evaluated prospectively using a standardized bicarbonate-based dialysate; a systemic calcium infusion; and two separate trisodium citrate replacement solutions, a 0.67% solution and a 0.5% solution. All patients achieved adequate metabolic control, the desired effluent rate of 35 ml/kg per h, and high solute clearance. Use of the 0.67% citrate replacement solution resulted in mild alkalosis, whereas the 0.5% solution maintained appropriate acid–base balance. There was no difference in dialyzer survival between the 0.67 and 0.5% citrate groups (80 versus 82%; P = 0.60, Kaplan-Meier analysis). Dilute regional citrate as part of a CRRT protocol with a standard 25-mmol/L bicarbonate dialysate provides adequate metabolic control, high diffusive and convective clearance, and excellent dialyzer patency in a practical and cost-effective manner.
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Hemodiafiltration was accomplished using a blood flow rate of 100 to 150 ml/min. On the Prisma machine, the total effluent rate in milliliters per hour is equal to the sum of the replacement fluid rate, dialysate rate, and fluid removal rate. Effluent rates of 35 ml/kg per h were prescribed and determined by the patient’s body weight in kilograms at initiation of CVVHDF. We used effluent rate (ml/kg per h) as a surrogate for the dose of dialysis and calculated this value as follows: Effluent rate = [dialysate flow rate (ml/h) + replacement fluid flow rate (ml/h) + fluid removal rate (ml/h)/patient weight (kg)]

For example, a 70-kg patient would require a total effluent rate of 2450 ml/h (70 kg × 35 ml/kg per h). Rates for the replacement fluid, dialysate, and fluid removal then would be adjusted to achieve an effluent rate of 2450 ml/h. Replacement fluid and dialysate rates were set equally at initiation of CRRT and titrated according to the metabolic, anticoagulation, and fluid balance requirements of the patient. However, the total effluent rate remained constant.

The 0.67% TSC solution was prepared by pooling the following into an empty 3-L bag: 2500 ml of 0.45% NaCl, 500 ml of 4% citrate (4% TSC Solution; Baxter, McGraw Park, IL), and 6 ml of concentrated NaCl (4 mmol/ml). The 0.5% citrate solution was prepared by pooling the following into an empty 3-L bag: 2250 ml of 0.45% NaCl, 325 ml of 4% citrate (4% TSC Solution; Baxter), and 15 ml of concentrated NaCl (4 mmol/ml). The Bicarbonate-25 solution was prepared by pooling the following into an empty 4-L bag: 4000 ml of sterile water for injection, 240 ml of Normocarb (Dialysis Solutions Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada), 36 ml of concentrated NaCl (4 mmol/ml), and 9 ml of concentrated KCl (2 mmol/ml). The final concentration of Bicarbonate-25 solution contained 140 ml of NaCl, 118.5 ml of NaHCO₃, 0.58 mmol/L of magnesium, and 4 mmol/L of potassium. The calcium gluconate solution was prepared by adding 200 ml of 10% calcium gluconate solution to 1000 ml of 0.9% NaCl. Both citrate solutions and the dialysate were outsourced to Central Admixture Pharmacy Services, a nationwide network of state-licensed, Food and Drug Administration–registered pharmacies.

Both 0.67 and 0.5% TSC replacement solutions were delivered prefilter to maintain filter patency, and postfilter ionized calcium levels were measured from the postfilter blood sample port (blue in color) located on the return line of the Prisma device to guide the regional citrate dose. Calcium gluconate (38.75 mmol/L) was administered through a separate central venous line (or through the accessory infusion port of a large-bore multilumen central venous catheter) and initiated at 60 ml/h (Figure 1). The calcium gluconate infusion was titrated by 10-ml/h increments to maintain systemic ionized calcium levels between 0.9 and 1.3 mmol/L. The citrate replacement solutions were titrated by 100-ml/h increments to maintain postfilter ionized calcium levels between 0.25 and 0.5 mmol/L. Potassium, phosphorus, and magnesium were repleted separately, as needed.

Monitoring of Therapy

Serum and postfilter ionized calcium levels were measured 1 h after initiation of CRRT and then every 6 h thereafter. Arterial blood gases were measured at least daily. Serum electrolytes, including magnesium, calcium, and phosphorus, coagulation parameters, and complete blood count were monitored at least daily. Nursing staff were instructed to call for serum pH <7.20 or >7.45, bicarbonate <15 or >35 mmol/L, or systemic ionized calcium <0.9 or >1.3 mmol/L. When the systemic ionized calcium was <0.9 mmol/L, the calcium infusion was increased by 20 ml/h, and a level was rechecked in 1 h. When the systemic ionized calcium was >1.3 mmol/L, the calcium infusion was decreased by 10-ml/h increments until a therapeutic level was obtained. Any changes to the fluid removal rate, replacement fluid rate, or dialysate flow rate resulted in reciprocal adjustments to ensure a constant effluent rate of 35 ml/kg per h. Dialyzer filters were changed daily.
routinely every 72 h per the manufacturer’s recommendations. Monitoring for citrate toxicity was performed as described previously (18).

**Statistical Analyses**

Results are presented as means, medians, and interquartile ranges. Baseline characteristics and outcome measures were compared using the t test or the Wilcoxon rank sum test for quantitative variables, and the Pearson χ² test or Fisher exact test for proportions. Filter survival was compared using Kaplan-Meier survival statistics and the log rank test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Patient Clinical Characteristics at Initiation of CRRT**

The baseline characteristics of the 24 ICU patients who were treated with 0.67% citrate and the 32 ICU patients who were treated with 0.5% citrate replacement fluid are shown in Table 1. Metabolic and CRRT parameters are also summarized. At the initiation of CRRT, 15 (56%) of 24 patients in the 0.67% citrate group had sepsis, 13 (54%) were oliguric, 21 (88%) were intubated, and 14 (58%) required pressors for hemodynamic support. In the 0.5% citrate group, 13 (41%) of 32 patients had sepsis, 19 (59%) were oliguric, 26 (81%) were intubated, and 16 (50%) required pressors. There were no significant differences among baseline characteristics between the two groups.

**Patient Metabolic and Acid–Base Control on CRRT**

Acid–base and electrolyte control for the first 10 d of CRRT are shown for both the 0.67 and 0.5% citrate groups in Figure 2. The box plot diagrams display median values for pH, pCO₂, serum bicarbonate, sodium, and potassium for each day of CRRT, along with interquartile ranges and extreme values. In the 0.67% citrate group, median pH ranged from 7.40 to 7.45. Median serum bicarbonate and pCO₂ ranged from 21 to 27 mmol/L and 30 to 38 mmHg, respectively. In the 0.5% citrate group, median pH ranged from 7.36 to 7.43. Median serum bicarbonate and pCO₂ ranged from 21 to 25 mmol/L and 31 to 39 mmHg, respectively. Metabolic alkalosis during CRRT occurred more frequently in the 0.67% citrate group, compared with the 0.5% citrate group (P = 0.001, χ²). Eighteen of 24 patients in the 0.67% citrate group had a pH ≤ 7.50 (maximum pH 7.62) at some point during CRRT, whereas only nine of 32 patients in the 0.5% citrate group had a pH ≤ 7.50 (maximum pH 7.55). Alkalosis was mitigated by adjusting the rates of the replacement fluid and dialysate rather than by altering the composition of CRRT solutions. For example, to correct metabolic alkalosis in a patient who was on CRRT with a dialysate rate of 1500 ml/h and replacement fluid rate of 1500 ml/h, one would increase the dialysate flow rate to 1800 ml/h and decrease the replacement fluid rate to 1200 ml/h. Such changes notably maintain a constant effluent rate. Decreasing the replacement fluid rate reduces citrate delivery (and subsequent bicarbonate production); increasing the rate of the dialysate (where the bicarbonate concentration is 25 mmol/L) enhances bicarbonate removal, thus lowering the serum bicarbonate.

Because the dialysate is isotonic, problems with significant hypo- or hypernatremia were avoided. None of the 0.67% citrate patients and 3% of the 0.5% citrate patients developed hypernatremia (sodium ≥ 150 mmol/L), with the maximum sodium of 153 mmol/L, as compared with 23% of patients who previously received 2% citrate at our center (P < 0.01 for both groups, Fisher exact test) (19). Potassium levels were normalized using a dialysate potassium bath of 4 mmol/L. Median serum sodium and potassium levels for both groups ranged from 134 to 138 mmol/L and from 3.6 to 4.2 mmol/L, respectively. Because Bicarbonate-25 dialysate does not contain phosphorous, supplementation sometimes was necessary.

**Clotting and Ionized Calcium Data on CRRT**

In the 0.67% citrate group (n = 24), the mean number of CRRT days per patient was 9.3 ± 8. A total of 111 filters were used. After initiation of CRRT, 92% of filters were patent at 24 h, 80% at 48 h, and 69% at 72 h (Figure 3). In the 0.5% citrate...
group \((n = 32)\), the mean number of CRRT days per patient was \(7.8 \pm 8\). A total of 137 filters were used. Eighty-nine percent of filters were patent at 24 h, 82% at 48 h, and 80% at 72 h. There was no significant difference in filter patency between groups.

Systemic ionized calcium levels ranged from 0.73 to 1.45 mmol/L and from 0.78 to 1.54 mmol/L for the 0.67 and 0.5% citrate groups, respectively. For each abnormal systemic ionized calcium value, adjustment to the calcium infusion rate per protocol resulted in normalization of the ionized calcium level within 1 h. There were no instances of clinically significant hypocalcemia, and further adjustments to the infusion rate were minimal once a steady state was achieved. Most adjustments to the systemic calcium infusion occurred within 24 h of CRRT initiation. Despite varying the replacement fluid rate from 900 to 2000 mL/h, postfilter ionized calcium levels remained <0.5 mmol/L for both groups, except for one instance that corrected by increasing the replacement fluid rate. Postfilter ionized calcium levels ranged from 0.17 to 0.56 mmol/L and from 0.16 to 0.47 mmol/L in the 0.67 and 0.5% citrate groups, respectively. There were no bleeding episodes or instances of clinically significant citrate toxicity. The maximum total calcium to ionized calcium ratio was 2.8 in the 0.67% citrate group and 2.7 in the 0.5% citrate group. Overall, both citrate groups received 80% of prescribed CRRT therapy as compared with 68% as described by Venkataram et al. (20). Transportation for procedures and patient care issues, rather than subtherapeutic anticoagulation, mostly contributed to lost treatment time.

**Discussion**

This protocol, which uses standardized Bicarbonate-25 dialysate and dilute TSC as replacement fluid, is practical and a notable improvement over currently published citrate protocols. Table 2 (5–10) describes the most recent CVVHDF protocols using citrate for regional anticoagulation. The distinctive feature of this protocol is the use of only three standardized solutions, which together allow for high solute clearance and anticoagulation. Other citrate protocols use customized solutions, which often then require further adjustments in pharmacy to meet metabolic and electrolyte requirements. This protocol uses standardized solutions and achieves metabolic control as well as a constant effluent rate simply by altering solution flow rates, rather than by changing their composition. The main advantages of this citrate protocol, compared with other citrate protocols, are as follows:

1. It consistently provides high solute clearance. Recent data suggest that higher dialysis doses lead to improved clinical outcomes. Schiffl et al. (21) demonstrated this finding for intermittent hemodialysis, and Ronco et al. (2) confirmed this using CVVH. Even when weight-based dosing is not used with our

### Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients on CVVHDF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>0.67% Citrate</th>
<th>0.5% Citrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (yr)</td>
<td>63 ± 15</td>
<td>59 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female</td>
<td>11:13</td>
<td>22:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of ARF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic/others</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean APACHE II</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight (kg)</td>
<td>95 ± 15</td>
<td>90 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BUN (mg/dl)</td>
<td>91 ± 37</td>
<td>73 ± 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pH</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.34 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pCO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>33 ± 11</td>
<td>34 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HCO3 (mmol/L)</td>
<td>19 ± 5</td>
<td>19 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Na (mmol/L)</td>
<td>139 ± 7</td>
<td>137 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean K (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRRT characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean days of CRRT/patient</td>
<td>9.3 ± 8</td>
<td>7.8 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CRRT effluent rate (ml/kg per h)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood flow (ml/min)</td>
<td>117 ± 12</td>
<td>116 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean replacement fluid rate (ml/h)</td>
<td>1200 ± 229</td>
<td>1211 ± 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean fluid removal rate (ml/h)</td>
<td>186 ± 57</td>
<td>129 ± 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dialysate rate (ml/h)</td>
<td>1919 ± 437</td>
<td>1775 ± 542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are presented as means ± SD. For all comparisons between groups, \(P = NS\). ARF, acute renal failure; APACHE II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy. \(^{a}\) At initiation of CRRT.
The replacement fluid and dialysate rates at 1000 to 1500 ml/h, with any fluid removal rate, achieves high solute clearance. Solution rates were adjusted in this study primarily to compensate for changes in the fluid removal rate and thereby maintain an effluent of 35 ml/kg per h. As not all nephrologists use a weight-based protocol or maintain a constant effluent rate, our standard orders initiate the replacement fluid ≥1000 ml/h and dialysate ≥1000 ml/h. As a result, the only changes usually required on a daily basis, depending on desired volume status, are to the fluid removal rate. Even without a weight-based dose, excellent metabolic control and high solute clearance are achieved. Unlike the 0.67% protocol, rate changes were not required for metabolic control using 0.5% TSC; adjustments were made to keep the effluent rate constant as the fluid removal rate changed.

Figure 2. Metabolic and electrolyte control on CVVHDF for patients who received 0.67 and 0.5% citrate (results are presented as medians and interquartile ranges).
2. It uses standardized solutions that require no additional modifications. Although some protocols use commercial solutions, additives are often adjusted according to an individual’s metabolic needs, and sometimes customization is necessary. Our protocol, in contrast, uses standard compositions for the citrate replacement fluid, the dialysate (which is now commercially available), and the calcium gluconate infusion. After initiation of CRRT, the composition of each fluid remains unchanged. This has allowed for batch preparation of solutions and batch testing by an admixture pharmacy unit. If CRRT is discontinued, then unused solutions are available for other patients and not discarded. As we currently manage 17 Prisma devices and treat >300 patients per year, this protocol has clearly been practical and cost-effective.

3. Because electrolytes are at physiologic concentrations, the risk for metabolic catastrophe is minimized. Imagine the metabolic consequences of inadvertently substituting concentrated citrate, in which the sodium concentration in commercially available solutions may be as high as 408 mmol/L, for the dialysate solution and then increasing the flow rate from 200 ml/h (a common rate for 4% TSC) to 1000 ml/h (a common rate for dialysate). We have also encountered metabolic problems using concentrated citrate for anticoagulation and a low-sodium dialysate, as per Mehta’s protocol. If the citrate solution is omitted or the low-sodium dialysate is substituted mistakenly for citrate, then the resulting hypotension may be fatal. With our protocol, any accidental interchanges of the dialysate and replacement solutions or their respective rates results in negligible metabolic consequences as a result of the dilute citrate concentration and physiologic content of electrolytes.

4. Only three solutions are required, reducing the risk for error. Even with three solutions, we have been humbled by how often CRRT solutions and rates are set incorrectly. One can only imagine the escalation of errors that may occur when additional solutions are used, as required with other protocols.

5. Citrate 0.5% provides a blood citrate concentration of 2 to 6 mmol/L with replacement fluid rates ranging from 1 to 2 L/h. It was demonstrated previously that a blood citrate concentration of 3 to 6 mmol/L corresponds to a systemic ionized calcium level <0.35 mmol/L (22). Table 3 illustrates the blood citrate concentration for varying blood flow and replacement fluid rates using the 0.5% citrate protocol. For ranges in blood flow rates between 100 and 180 ml/min and replacement fluid rates between 1 and 2 L/h, ionized calcium levels are easily maintained at <0.5 mmol/L.

Four citrate protocols use a three-way stopcock or Y-connector (5,8–10). This device is placed at the end of the arterial limb of the venous access for the citrate infusion, whereas replacement fluid is given as usual through the prefILTER replacement fluid port. Because the stopcock is outside the CRRT circuit, net fluid removal measured by the CRRT device does not include the citrate infusion rate. Thus, nursing staff become responsible for including the amount of citrate infused when net fluid balance is calculated. Only two protocols use dilute citrate and a total of three solutions. In 2003, Dorval et al. (7) prospectively evaluated 14 patients over 72 h using a citrate anticoagulation regimen for CVVHDF. Although they showed that citrate as replacement fluid simplified CRRT, only four of 14 patients actually received a dialysate (and thus CVVHDF), and the rest received CVVH. Potassium and phosphorus were added to the replacement fluid as needed, according to patient requirements. In addition, the ultrafiltration rate was limited to 2 L/h, as a result of the risk for citrate toxicity. Gabutti et al. (6) evaluated 12 patients using dilute citrate as both replacement fluid and dialysate. In their approach, the compositions of the dialysate and/or replacement fluid were titrated on the basis of systemic pH. Although their protocol simplified citrate use with CVVHDF, it was limited by having to reduce the dialysate and ultrafiltration rates at high pH, because both solutions contained citrate. As a result, some patients with a high pH received only replacement fluid and no dialysate. Furthermore, five patients were switched from citrate to heparin for uncertain reasons, and the ultrafiltration rate for all patients was limited to 2 L/h. Finally, filter survival was only 15% at 48 h. The remaining citrate protocols shown in Table 2 are more complicated, require additional solutions and mixtures, and have lower filter survival rates.

Some patients who received 0.67% citrate developed mild alkalois and required adjustment to the replacement fluid rate and dialysate rate for correction. Alkalosis later was mitigated in the second patient cohort by dilution of the citrate replacement solution to 0.5%. With 0.5% citrate, changes to the dialysate rate or replacement fluid rate occurred only when the fluid removal rate was altered, to keep the effluent rate at 35 ml/kg per h. Because acid–base status was controlled adequately with the 0.5% solution, further rate adjustments were unnecessary.

The protocol described here results from extensive experience and appraisal of our CRRT records. It became evident in dealing with various ICU environments and personnel that a streamlined, standard CRRT protocol was necessary to reduce errors in prescription, formulation, and administration (23). Detailed records of the preparation and delivery of CRRT at our center have been maintained since 1999. The majority of errors
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>BFR (ml/min)</th>
<th>Citrate Solution (mM/L)</th>
<th>Citrate Rate</th>
<th>Replacement Solution (mM/L)</th>
<th>Replacement Solution Flow Rate</th>
<th>Dialysate Composition (mM/L)</th>
<th>D Rate</th>
<th>Ca Solution (mM of Elemental Ca/L)</th>
<th>Ca Rate</th>
<th>Circuit Survival Time 48 h</th>
<th>No. of CRRT Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mehta et al., 1990 (10)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>TSC⁺ 4% Citrate 140 Na 408</td>
<td>140 to 220 ml/h (19.6 to 30.8 mM/h)</td>
<td>Prefilter: NS 0.9% Postfilter: NS 0.9% and Variable</td>
<td>Prefilter: 500 ml/h Postfilter: 0.2 to 1.5 L/h</td>
<td>Na 117 Cl 81 to 121 K 0 to 4 Mg 1 Dextrose 0.1% HCO₃ 0</td>
<td>1 L/h</td>
<td>CaCl 0.8%</td>
<td>40 to 60 ml/h</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katsogiannis et al., 2000 (9)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100 to 125</td>
<td>TSC⁺ 4% Citrate 140 Na 408</td>
<td>140 to 190 ml/h (19.6 to 26.6 mM/h)</td>
<td>Prefilter: Na 150.3 Cl 121 HCO₃ 33.3 K 3 to 4 Mg 0.7</td>
<td>Prefilter 1 to 1.5 L/h</td>
<td>Na 117 Cl 121.5 K 3 to 4 Mg 0.7</td>
<td>1 to 1.5 L/h</td>
<td>CaCl 0.75%</td>
<td>40 to 60 ml/h</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabutti et al., 2002 (6)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Citrate 13.3 Na 139.9 Mg 0.75 (K as needed)</td>
<td>1.5 L/h (23 mM/h)</td>
<td>See citrate solution</td>
<td>See citrate solution</td>
<td>Citrate 13.3 Na 139.9 Mg 0.75 (K as needed)</td>
<td>500 ml/h</td>
<td>5% CaCl or 350 mM/L</td>
<td>Mean rate: 10 ml/h or 3.31 ml/h</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorval et al., 2003 (7)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Hemocitrasol-20 Na 145 Citrate 20 Glucose 10 (K and PO₄ as needed)</td>
<td>1.25 L/h (25 mM/h)</td>
<td>See citrate solution</td>
<td>See citrate solution</td>
<td>(Dialysate added in only 27% patients) NS 0% Na 154</td>
<td>1 L/h</td>
<td>as needed</td>
<td>Mg 16 mM/L and 1% CaCl 70 mM/L</td>
<td>50 ml/h or 3.5 ml/h</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobe et al., 2003 (8)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ACD-A Citrate 113 Na 224</td>
<td>190 ml/h (17 mM/h)</td>
<td>Prefilter: NS 0.9% or 0.5 NS</td>
<td>0 to 1 L. (started for HCO₃ &gt; 25)</td>
<td>Normocarb® Na 140 HCO₃ 35 Cl 106.5 Mg 0.75 (K as needed)</td>
<td>1 to 1.5 L/h</td>
<td>CaCl 4 g in 1 L of D₅W</td>
<td>50 ml/h</td>
<td>approx. 30%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cointault et al., 2004 (5)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>ACD-A Citrate 113 Na 224</td>
<td>290 ml/h (30 mM/h)</td>
<td>Prefilter: Hemosol and Hemosol with Bicarbonate Na 144 HCO₃ 35 Lactate 3 Mg 0.5 Calcium 1.75 (mixture of two solutions)</td>
<td>1.2 L/h</td>
<td>Hemosol and Hemosol with Bicarbonate Na 144 HCO₃ 35 Lactate 3 Mg 0.5 Ca 1.75 (mixture of solutions are varied to adjust bicarbonate)</td>
<td>1.2 L/h</td>
<td>CaCl 45.6 mM/L</td>
<td>30 ml/h or 1.37 ml/h</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolwani et al., 2005 (15)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100 to 150</td>
<td>TSC 0.5% Citrate 18 Na 140</td>
<td>1 to 1.5 L/h (18 to 27 mM/h)</td>
<td>See citrate solution</td>
<td>See citrate solution</td>
<td>Na 140 K 4 HCO₃ 25 Mg 0.58 (similar solution commercially available)</td>
<td>1 to 2 L/h</td>
<td>Ca gluconate 35.75 mM/L</td>
<td>60 ml/h or 2.3 ml/h</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BFR, blood flow rate; D, dialysate; TSC⁺, trisodium citrate; ACD-A, anticoagulant citrate dextrose solution, Formula A.*
were in administration, namely either using improperly formulated solutions or inadvertently substituting replacement fluid for dialysate, or vice versa. Fortunately, established safety measures identified most errors quickly, and there have been no adverse outcomes or fatalities. Errors of calcium administration have also been reported (23), and color-coded bags were subsequently developed to minimize the risk for such errors. Close monitoring with paired serum and postfilter ionized calcium ensures identified most errors quickly, and there have been no hypo- or hypercalcemia.

Our protocol has permitted significant cost curtailment in the delivery of CRRT. This has largely resulted from standardization of solutions, less waste, and fewer dialyzer changes for clotting. The solution cost for CRRT at our center, per patient per day, has declined from $370 to $290 between 1999 and 2005, mainly from reduced pharmacy costs and the commercial availability of PrismaSate B25GK4/O (5-L bag: 140 mmol/L sodium, 89 mmol/L chloride, 18 mmol/L potassium, 0.75 mmol/L magnesium, 3 mmol/L lactate, 22 mmol/L bicarbonate, and 110 mg/dl dextrose; Gambro, Lakewood, CO).

## Conclusions

By using dilute citrate and a bicarbonate-based dialysate, this protocol provides effective metabolic control, high ultrafiltration rates, and adequate anticoagulation of the CRRT circuit, without increasing the risk for citrate toxicity. Compositional solution changes are avoided, thereby containing cost, reducing workload, and minimizing errors. The bleeding risk is also negligible. These solutions are uncomplicated and yet highly versatile. They are safe, effective, practical, and represent a significant step toward the more widespread acceptance of CRRT as the modality of choice for renal replacement in critically ill patients with ARF.

## Appendix 1: University Alabama at Birmingham CVVHDF Protocol

**Device.** Cobe Prisma Machine, M100 prepump infusion set, AN69 dialyzer membrane

**MD to Nurse/Pharmacy.** Patient is to be started on CRRT with Bicarbonate-25 as dialysate and TSC 0.5% as the replacement fluid.

### Table 3. Blood citrate concentration for varying BFR and replacement fluid rates using 0.5% citrate replacement fluid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BFR (ml/min)</th>
<th>Citrate at RF 1 L/h (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Citrate at RF 1.5 L/h (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Citrate at RF 2 L/h (mmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RF, replacement fluid rate. A blood concentration of citrate of 3 to 6 mmol/L corresponds to a systemic ionized calcium concentration <0.35 mmol/L (22).*

### Instructions for dialysis nurse:

- Prisma Warmer to be used if patient’s core temperature is ≤94°F.
- Heparin (10,000 units/ml) is to be instilled to each dialysis catheter port if patient becomes disconnected from CRRT machine, with 5000 units heparin mixed with 1 ml of normal saline (for a total of 1.5 ml to each port). For patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, Alteplase is to be used as alternative agent.
- Dialysis catheter care: Sterile dressing change daily with mask per hospital policy.
- Normal saline 0.9% 20 ml per syringe pump.
- If blood flow through the dialysis catheter is <120 ml/min and the access pressure is >150 mm Hg, then notify the dialysis nurse to perform assessment of catheter flow or catheter function.

### Priming, as Determined by Nephrology

Heparinized prime solution: Dialysis nurse to administer 2000 units of heparin in 1 L of normal saline 0.9% as flush. Prime pump with two bags of solution and discard.

Or

Unheparinized prime solution: Dialysis nurse to administer 1 L of normal saline 0.9%. Prime pump with two bags of solution and discard.

### Citrate Protocol

**Blood flow rate:** 150 ml/min (100 to 150 ml/min).

**Fluid removal rate:** As determined by nephrology, in ml/min.

- Check chemistry 10 profile from patient before initiation of CRRT and every 6 h thereafter. Notify renal fellow if bicarbonate is <15 mmol/L or >35 mmol/L.
- Check ionized calcium from blue port of CRRT machine (postfilter) at 1 h after initiation of CRRT and every 6 h thereafter. Notify renal fellow if postfilter ionized calcium is >0.5 mmol/L.
- Check serum ionized calcium from patient 1 h after initiation of CRRT and then every 6 h thereafter. Notify renal fellow if serum ionized calcium is outside designated range of 0.9 to 1.3 mmol/L.
- ICU nurse to obtain both serum ionized calcium and postfilter ionized calcium simultaneously.
- Check arterial blood gases before initiation of CRRT and notify renal fellow if pH >7.45 or <7.20 and/or bicarbonate >30 or <15 mmol/L.

### Replacement Fluid Orders

- Use TSC 0.5% as replacement solution.
- Final concentration: 140 mmol/L Na, 89 mmol/L Cl, 18 mmol/L citrate.
- Flow rate (ml/h) of TSC to be determined by nephrology, e.g., 1000 ml/h.

### Dialysate Fluid Orders

- Use Bicarbonate-25 solution as dialysate.
- Final concentration: 140 mmol/L Na, 4 mmol/L K, 118.5 mmol/L Cl, 25 mmol/L HCO3, 0.58 mmol/L Mg.
- Flow rate (ml/h) to be determined by nephrology, e.g., 1000 ml/h.

### Calcium Fluid Orders

- Calcium gluconate, 38.75 mmol/L (1 amp: 4.65 mEq or 90 mg elemental calcium) in 1 L of normal saline 0.9%.
- Start rate of calcium gluconate drip at 60 ml/h. Check serum ionized calcium level from patient every 6 h. For serum ionized calcium >1.3 mmol/L, decrease rate by 10 ml/h; 0.9 to 1.3 mmol/L, no change; 0.8 to 0.9 mmol/L, increase rate by 10 ml/h; <0.8 mmol/L, increase rate by 20 ml/h and call nephrology fellow.
• All changes to dialysis orders (e.g., fluid removal rates, adjustment of flow rates) must be confirmed by nephrology.

• Nephrology must be notified immediately if patient becomes disconnected from CRRT machine (specific contact numbers for dialysis RN and renal fellow are provided for full 24-h period).
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